



## COMUNICATO STAMPA

### **ISDE rinnova la richiesta di moratoria per l'avvio delle sperimentazioni 5G**

*A cura di: Dr. Agostino Di Ciaula – Presidente Comitato Scientifico ISDE Italia*

Lo scorso 5 maggio l'AGCOM ha approvato la delibera (n. 231/18/CONS) che regola le procedure per l'assegnazione e le regole di utilizzo delle frequenze per il 5G, apprendo di fatto a livello nazionale la strada che la sperimentazione sta inaugurando. Sono rimasti inascoltati sia il documento con il quale circa 180 scienziati e medici di 35 Paesi hanno voluto sottolineare i rischi del 5G (<https://emfscientist.org/index.php/emf-scientist-appeal>), sia la richiesta di moratoria avanzata da ISDE a livello nazionale (<http://www.isde.it/richesta-moratoria-per-le-sperimentazioni-5g-su-tutto-il-territorio-nazionale/>) e internazionale ([http://www.isde.org/5G\\_appeal.pdf](http://www.isde.org/5G_appeal.pdf)). Nelle audizioni precedenti la stesura della delibera si legge addirittura che è stata da alcuni operatori “richiamata l'attenzione del regolatore sugli stringenti limiti alle emissioni elettromagnetiche presenti in Italia, che potrebbero porre un freno allo sviluppo degli impianti radio”, richiedendo “una revisione dell'attuale normativa” nonostante questa, sulla base di numerosissime e autorevoli evidenze scientifiche, non sia già ora assolutamente in grado di tutelare la salute umana e sia da rivedere, al contrario, in senso enormemente più restrittivo.

Con le “sperimentazioni” inizialmente 4 milioni di italiani saranno esposti a campi elettromagnetici ad alta frequenza, con densità espositive e frequenze sino ad ora inesplorate su così ampia scala. Dopo settembre l'operazione avrà respiro nazionale. Sottovalutare o ignorare il valore delle evidenze scientifiche disponibili non appare eticamente accettabile. Come osservato da Lorenzo Tomatis, questo “equivale ad accettare che un effetto potenzialmente dannoso di un agente ambientale può essere determinato solo a posteriori, dopo che quell'agente ha avuto tempo per causare i suoi effetti deleteri”.

Per queste ragioni ISDE intende rinnovare la richiesta di una moratoria per l'utilizzo del 5G su tutto il territorio nazionale sino a quando non sia adeguatamente pianificato un coinvolgimento attivo degli enti pubblici deputati al controllo ambientale e sanitario (Ministero Ambiente, Ministero Salute, ISPRA, ARPA, dipartimenti di prevenzione), non siano messe in atto valutazioni preliminari di rischio secondo metodologie codificate e un piano di monitoraggio dei possibili effetti sanitari sugli esposti, che dovrebbero in ogni caso essere opportunamente informati dei potenziali rischi

Arezzo, 12 Giugno 2018

Per Informazioni:

**Associazione Medici per l'Ambiente - ISDE Italia**

Via XXV Aprile, 34 - 52100 Arezzo

Tel: 0575-23612 E-mail: [isde@isde.it](mailto:isde@isde.it)

Web: [www.isde.it](http://www.isde.it)

## International Society of Doctors for Environment



# 5G networks in European Countries: appeal for a standstill in the respect of the precautionary principle

April 2018

**Author:** Agostino Di Ciaula  
ISDE Scientific Office

The document by the European Commission “5G for Europe: An Action Plan” (September 2016) aimed to describe “*an action plan for timely and coordinated deployment of 5G networks in Europe through a partnership between the Commission, Member States, and Industry*”. This document was targeted to introduce early the new 5G networks by 2018 and, subsequently, to a “*commercial large scale introduction by the end of 2020 at the latest*”.

Following this document, several member States are planning in these months, at a national level, preliminary “5G experimentations” by private phone operators, aimed at testing the network at frequencies over 6 GHz, before the final introduction of the typical 5G frequencies (over 30 GHz, millimeter waves).

A document by the Italian Communication Authority (AGCOM, March 28, 2017) stated that “*the 5G networks will serve an elevated number of devices and will connect, according to the prevalent hypothesis based on ongoing standardization developments, about 1 million devices per Km2. This device density will cause an increase of the traffic and the need to install small cells in order to allow adequate connectivity performances, with subsequent increment of the density of the installed antennas*”.

In Italy, as an example, the “5G experimentation” will involve, in three different geographical areas (north, center, south), about 4 million of uninformed and unaware citizens. The residents will be exposed, during this “experimentation” to frequencies and with a device

density never employed before on a large scale.

Although typical radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF) exposure levels are usually below current regulatory limits in European countries<sup>1, 2</sup>, the real health impact of the advancement and spreading in communication technology is still under debate<sup>3</sup>. Several studies have documented the ability of RF-EMF to induce oxidative stress<sup>4, 5</sup> (mainly by an increased production of reactive oxygen species)<sup>6-12</sup>, and oxidative DNA base damage<sup>13</sup>. Of note, biological effects have also been recorded at exposure levels below the regulatory limits, leading to growing doubts about the real safety of the currently employed ICNIRP standards<sup>14-16</sup>.

Previous evidences led the IARC in the year 2011 to classify the RF-EMF as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B). After the year 2011, more recent studies strengthen the link between RF-EMF and cancer onset<sup>17-22</sup> and highlighted new possible health risks mainly in terms of reproductive<sup>23-25</sup>, neurologic<sup>26-31</sup> and metabolic diseases<sup>32-35</sup>.

Furthermore, specific preliminary evidence showed the exposure to frequencies over 30GHz could alter gene expression<sup>16, 36-39</sup>, increase the temperature of the skin<sup>40</sup>, stimulate cell proliferation<sup>41-43</sup>, alter the functions of cell membrane<sup>44, 45</sup> and neuro-muscular systems<sup>46-52</sup>, and are able to modulate the synthesis of proteins involved in inflammatory and immunologic processes<sup>53</sup>, with possible systemic effects.

Further studies are certainly needed in order to better and fully explore the biological effects caused by the exposure to these specific RF-EMF frequencies accompanied by high exposure density. The available evidence, however, is sufficient to justify the possibility of health effects (in particular on the more vulnerable subjects, as children and pregnant women) secondary to a technological “experimentation” conceived with commercial aims.

We believe it should be unethical to ignore the available evidence waiting a possible “*a posteriori*” demonstration of health damages in the presence of a present and potentially manageable risk for public health.

Thus, in the respect of the precautionary principle and of the WHO principle “health in all policies”, we believe suitable the request of a standstill for the “5G experimentations” throughout Europe until an adequate and active involvement of public institutions operating in the field of environmental health (health ministry, environmental ministry, national environmental and health agencies) will be effectively planned.

This involvement should be aimed to correctly and preliminarily perform risk analyses and environmental health monitoring plans, possibly suggesting alternative or adequate measures to reduce the level of risk in the exposed population.

## References

1. Sagar S, Dongus S, Schoeni A, et al. Radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure in everyday microenvironments in Europe: A systematic literature review. *Journal of exposure science & environmental epidemiology* 2017.
2. Urbinello D, Joseph W, Huss A, et al. Radio-frequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) exposure levels in different European outdoor urban environments in comparison with regulatory limits. *Environment international* 2014; **68**: 49-54.
3. Di Ciaula A. Towards 5G communication systems: Are there health implications? *International journal of hygiene and environmental health* 2018.
4. Dasdag S, Akdag MZ. The link between radiofrequencies emitted from wireless technologies and oxidative stress. *Journal of chemical neuroanatomy* 2016; **75**(Pt B): 85-93.
5. Yakymenko I, Tsybulin O, Sidorik E, Henshel D, Kyrylenko O, Kyrylenko S. Oxidative mechanisms of biological activity of low-intensity radiofrequency radiation. *Electromagnetic biology and medicine* 2016; **35**(2): 186-202.
6. Friedman J, Kraus S, Hauptman Y, Schiff Y, Seger R. Mechanism of short-term ERK activation by electromagnetic fields at mobile phone frequencies. *The Biochemical journal* 2007; **405**(3): 559-68.
7. Kazemi E, Mortazavi SM, Ali-Ghanbari A, et al. Effect of 900 MHz Electromagnetic Radiation on the Induction of ROS in Human Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells. *Journal of biomedical physics & engineering* 2015; **5**(3): 105-14.
8. Kesari KK, Kumar S, Behari J. 900-MHz microwave radiation promotes oxidation in rat brain. *Electromagnetic biology and medicine* 2011; **30**(4): 219-34.
9. Sun Y, Zong L, Gao Z, Zhu S, Tong J, Cao Y. Mitochondrial DNA damage and oxidative damage in HL-60 cells exposed to 900MHz radiofrequency fields. *Mutation research* 2017; **797-799**: 7-14.
10. Oyewopo AO, Olaniyi SK, Oyewopo CI, Jimoh AT. Radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation from cell phone causes defective testicular function in male Wistar rats. *Andrologia* 2017; **49**(10).
11. Houston BJ, Nixon B, King BV, De Iuliis GN, Aitken RJ. The effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation on sperm function. *Reproduction* 2016; **152**(6): R263-R76.
12. Chauhan P, Verma HN, Sisodia R, Kesari KK. Microwave radiation (2.45 GHz)-induced oxidative stress: Whole-body exposure effect on histopathology of Wistar rats. *Electromagnetic biology and medicine* 2017; **36**(1): 20-30.
13. Duan W, Liu C, Zhang L, et al. Comparison of the genotoxic effects induced by 50 Hz extremely low-frequency electromagnetic fields and 1800 MHz radiofrequency electromagnetic fields in GC-2 cells. *Radiation research* 2015; **183**(3): 305-14.
14. Starkey SJ. Inaccurate official assessment of radiofrequency safety by the Advisory Group on Non-ionising Radiation. *Reviews on environmental health* 2016; **31**(4): 493-503.
15. Redmayne M. International policy and advisory response regarding children's exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF). *Electromagnetic biology and medicine* 2016; **35**(2): 176-85.
16. Habauzit D, Le Quement C, Zhadobov M, et al. Transcriptome analysis reveals the contribution of thermal and the specific effects in cellular response to millimeter wave exposure. *PloS one* 2014; **9**(10): e109435.

17. Wang Y, Guo X. Meta-analysis of association between mobile phone use and glioma risk. *Journal of cancer research and therapeutics* 2016; **12**(Supplement): C298-C300.
18. Yang M, Guo W, Yang C, et al. Mobile phone use and glioma risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PloS one* 2017; **12**(5): e0175136.
19. Momoli F, Siemiatycki J, McBride ML, et al. Probabilistic multiple-bias modelling applied to the Canadian data from the INTERPHONE study of mobile phone use and risk of glioma, meningioma, acoustic neuroma, and parotid gland tumors. *American journal of epidemiology* 2017.
20. Hardell L, Carlberg M, Soderqvist F, Mild KH. Case-control study of the association between malignant brain tumours diagnosed between 2007 and 2009 and mobile and cordless phone use. *International journal of oncology* 2013; **43**(6): 1833-45.
21. Carlberg M, Hardell L. Evaluation of Mobile Phone and Cordless Phone Use and Glioma Risk Using the Bradford Hill Viewpoints from 1965 on Association or Causation. *BioMed research international* 2017; **2017**: 9218486.
22. Lerchl A, Klose M, Grote K, et al. Tumor promotion by exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields below exposure limits for humans. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications* 2015; **459**(4): 585-90.
23. Gye MC, Park CJ. Effect of electromagnetic field exposure on the reproductive system. *Clinical and experimental reproductive medicine* 2012; **39**(1): 1-9.
24. Sepehrimanesh M, Kazemipour N, Saeb M, Nazifi S, Davis DL. Proteomic analysis of continuous 900-MHz radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure in testicular tissue: a rat model of human cell phone exposure. *Environmental science and pollution research international* 2017; **24**(15): 13666-73.
25. Falzone N, Huyser C, Becker P, Leszczynski D, Franken DR. The effect of pulsed 900-MHz GSM mobile phone radiation on the acrosome reaction, head morphometry and zona binding of human spermatozoa. *International journal of andrology* 2011; **34**(1): 20-6.
26. Schoeni A, Roser K, Roosli M. Memory performance, wireless communication and exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields: A prospective cohort study in adolescents. *Environment international* 2015; **85**: 343-51.
27. Huber R, Treyer V, Schuderer J, et al. Exposure to pulse-modulated radio frequency electromagnetic fields affects regional cerebral blood flow. *The European journal of neuroscience* 2005; **21**(4): 1000-6.
28. Del Vecchio G, Giuliani A, Fernandez M, et al. Continuous exposure to 900MHz GSM-modulated EMF alters morphological maturation of neural cells. *Neuroscience letters* 2009; **455**(3): 173-7.
29. Barthelemy A, Mouchard A, Bouji M, Blazy K, Puigsegur R, Villegier AS. Glial markers and emotional memory in rats following acute cerebral radiofrequency exposures. *Environmental science and pollution research international* 2016; **23**(24): 25343-55.
30. Kim JH, Yu DH, Huh YH, Lee EH, Kim HG, Kim HR. Long-term exposure to 835 MHz RF-EMF induces hyperactivity, autophagy and demyelination in the cortical neurons of mice. *Scientific reports* 2017; **7**: 41129.
31. Zhang Y, She F, Li L, et al. p25/CDK5 is partially involved in neuronal injury induced by radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure. *International journal of radiation biology* 2013; **89**(11): 976-84.
32. Sangun O, Dundar B, Comlekci S, Buyukgebiz A. The Effects of Electromagnetic Field on the Endocrine System in Children and Adolescents. *Pediatric endocrinology reviews : PER* 2015; **13**(2): 531-45.

33. Meo SA, Alsubaie Y, Almubarak Z, Almutawa H, AlQasem Y, Hasanato RM. Association of Exposure to Radio-Frequency Electromagnetic Field Radiation (RF-EMFR) Generated by Mobile Phone Base Stations with Glycated Hemoglobin (HbA1c) and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *International journal of environmental research and public health* 2015; **12**(11): 14519-28.
34. Shahbazi-Gahrouei D, Hashemi-Beni B, Ahmadi Z. Effects of RF-EMF Exposure from GSM Mobile Phones on Proliferation Rate of Human Adipose-derived Stem Cells: An In-vitro Study. *Journal of biomedical physics & engineering* 2016; **6**(4): 243-52.
35. Lin KW, Yang CJ, Lian HY, Cai P. Exposure of ELF-EMF and RF-EMF Increase the Rate of Glucose Transport and TCA Cycle in Budding Yeast. *Frontiers in microbiology* 2016; **7**: 1378.
36. Le Quement C, Nicolaz CN, Habauzit D, Zhadobov M, Sauleau R, Le Drean Y. Impact of 60-GHz millimeter waves and corresponding heat effect on endoplasmic reticulum stress sensor gene expression. *Bioelectromagnetics* 2014; **35**(6): 444-51.
37. Soubere Mahamoud Y, Aite M, Martin C, et al. Additive Effects of Millimeter Waves and 2-Deoxyglucose Co-Exposure on the Human Keratinocyte Transcriptome. *PloS one* 2016; **11**(8): e0160810.
38. Le Quement C, Nicolas Nicolaz C, Zhadobov M, et al. Whole-genome expression analysis in primary human keratinocyte cell cultures exposed to 60 GHz radiation. *Bioelectromagnetics* 2012; **33**(2): 147-58.
39. Millenbaugh NJ, Roth C, Sypniewska R, et al. Gene expression changes in the skin of rats induced by prolonged 35 GHz millimeter-wave exposure. *Radiation research* 2008; **169**(3): 288-300.
40. Zhadobov M, Alekseev SI, Le Drean Y, Sauleau R, Fesenko EE. Millimeter waves as a source of selective heating of skin. *Bioelectromagnetics* 2015; **36**(6): 464-75.
41. Szabo I, Rojavin MA, Rogers TJ, Ziskin MC. Reactions of keratinocytes to in vitro millimeter wave exposure. *Bioelectromagnetics* 2001; **22**(5): 358-64.
42. Li X, Liu C, Liang W, et al. Millimeter wave promotes the synthesis of extracellular matrix and the proliferation of chondrocyte by regulating the voltage-gated K<sup>+</sup> channel. *Journal of bone and mineral metabolism* 2014; **32**(4): 367-77.
43. Li X, Du M, Liu X, et al. Millimeter wave treatment promotes chondrocyte proliferation by upregulating the expression of cyclin-dependent kinase 2 and cyclin A. *International journal of molecular medicine* 2010; **26**(1): 77-84.
44. Cosentino K, Beneduci A, Ramundo-Orlando A, Chidichimo G. The influence of millimeter waves on the physical properties of large and giant unilamellar vesicles. *Journal of biological physics* 2013; **39**(3): 395-410.
45. Di Donato L, Cataldo M, Stano P, Massa R, Ramundo-Orlando A. Permeability changes of cationic liposomes loaded with carbonic anhydrase induced by millimeter waves radiation. *Radiation research* 2012; **178**(5): 437-46.
46. Gordon ZV, Lobanova EA, Kitsovskaia IA, Tolgskaia MS. [Study of the biological effect of electromagnetic waves of millimeter range]. *Biulleten' eksperimental'noi biologii i meditsiny* 1969; **68**(7): 37-9.
47. Alekseev SI, Ziskin MC, Kochetkova NV, Bolshakov MA. Millimeter waves thermally alter the firing rate of the Lymnaea pacemaker neuron. *Bioelectromagnetics* 1997; **18**(2): 89-98.
48. Pakhomov AG, Prol HK, Mathur SP, Akyel Y, Campbell CB. Search for frequency-specific effects of millimeter-wave radiation on isolated nerve function. *Bioelectromagnetics* 1997; **18**(4): 324-34.
49. Khramov RN, Sosunov EA, Koltun SV, Ilyasova EN, Lednev VV. Millimeter-wave effects on electric activity of crayfish stretch receptors. *Bioelectromagnetics* 1991; **12**(4): 203-14.

50. Alekseev SI, Gordienko OV, Radzievsky AA, Ziskin MC. Millimeter wave effects on electrical responses of the sural nerve in vivo. *Bioelectromagnetics* 2010; **31**(3): 180-90.
51. Pikov V, Arakaki X, Harrington M, Fraser SE, Siegel PH. Modulation of neuronal activity and plasma membrane properties with low-power millimeter waves in organotypic cortical slices. *Journal of neural engineering* 2010; **7**(4): 045003.
52. Shapiro MG, Priest MF, Siegel PH, Bezanilla F. Thermal mechanisms of millimeter wave stimulation of excitable cells. *Biophysical journal* 2013; **104**(12): 2622-8.
53. Sypniewska RK, Millenbaugh NJ, Kiel JL, et al. Protein changes in macrophages induced by plasma from rats exposed to 35 GHz millimeter waves. *Bioelectromagnetics* 2010; **31**(8): 656-63.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/ijheh](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ijheh)



## Review

# Towards 5G communication systems: Are there health implications?



Agostino Di Ciaula<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Division of Internal Medicine, Hospital of Bisceglie (ASL BAT), Bisceglie, Italy

<sup>b</sup> International Society of Doctors for Environment (ISDE), Arezzo, Italy

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

5G  
MMW  
RF-EMF  
Cancer  
Noncommunicable diseases  
Prevention

## ABSTRACT

The spread of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF) is rising and health effects are still under investigation. RF-EMF promote oxidative stress, a condition involved in cancer onset, in several acute and chronic diseases and in vascular homeostasis. Although some evidences are still controversial, the WHO IARC classified RF-EMF as “possibly carcinogenic to humans”, and more recent studies suggested reproductive, metabolic and neurologic effects of RF-EMF, which are also able to alter bacterial antibiotic resistance. In this evolving scenario, although the biological effects of 5G communication systems are very scarcely investigated, an international action plan for the development of 5G networks has started, with a forthcoming increment in devices and density of small cells, and with the future use of millimeter waves (MMW). Preliminary observations showed that MMW increase skin temperature, alter gene expression, promote cellular proliferation and synthesis of proteins linked with oxidative stress, inflammatory and metabolic processes, could generate ocular damages, affect neuro-muscular dynamics. Further studies are needed to better and independently explore the health effects of RF-EMF in general and of MMW in particular. However, available findings seem sufficient to demonstrate the existence of biomedical effects, to invoke the precautionary principle, to define exposed subjects as potentially vulnerable and to revise existing limits. An adequate knowledge of pathophysiological mechanisms linking RF-EMF exposure to health risk should also be useful in the current clinical practice, in particular in consideration of evidences pointing to extrinsic factors as heavy contributors to cancer risk and to the progressive epidemiological growth of noncommunicable diseases.

## 1. Introduction

The distribution of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF) in the everyday environment is rapidly growing, with the majority of emitting devices working in the frequency range above 100 kHz up to some GHz.

Although typical RF-EMF exposure levels are usually below current regulatory limits in European countries (Sagar et al., 2017; Urbinello et al., 2014), the real health impact of the advancement and spreading in communication technology is still under investigation.

It has been documented by several studies the ability of RF-EMF to induce oxidative stress (Dasdag and Akdag, 2016; Yakymenko et al., 2016) (mainly by an increased production of reactive oxygen species) (Chauhan et al., 2017; Friedman et al., 2007; Houston et al., 2016; Kazemi et al., 2015; Kesari et al., 2011; Oyewopo et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017), and oxidative DNA base damage (Duan et al., 2015).

These findings might have systemic relevance, since chronic oxidative stress is involved, in humans, in the onset and progression of several cancers (Kruk and Aboul-Enein, 2017), in metabolic (Newsholme et al., 2016; Tangvarasittchai, 2015), reproductive

(Agarwal et al., 2012; Agarwal and Bui, 2017), neurodegenerative diseases (Cahill-Smith and Li, 2014), and in vascular homeostasis (Bachschmid et al., 2013).

The WHO International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), classified, in the year 2011, the RF-EMF as “possibly carcinogenic to humans” (Group 2B). Besides this statement, recent studies linked RF-EMF with possible non-oncologic health risks, mainly in terms of reproductive (Falzone et al., 2011; Gye and Park, 2012; Sepehrimanesh et al., 2017), neurologic (Barthelemy et al., 2016; Del Vecchio et al., 2009; Huber et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2017b; Schoeni et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2013) and metabolic diseases (Lin et al., 2016; Meo et al., 2015; Sangun et al., 2015; Shahbazi-Gahrouei et al., 2016).

The latest opinion of the Scientific Committee on Emerging and Newly Identified Health Risks (SCENIHR) on potential health effects from electromagnetic fields concluded (year 2015), at variance with the IARC, that “RF-EMF exposure do not show an increased risk of brain tumors” and, in general, pointed to a lack of clear adverse health effects deriving from RF-EMF exposure (Scientific Committee on Emerging Newly Identified Health, 2015). These conclusions have been criticized underlying that SCENIHR should have searched for demonstration of

E-mail address: [agostinodiciaula@tiscali.it](mailto:agostinodiciaula@tiscali.it).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2018.01.011>

Received 25 November 2017; Received in revised form 19 January 2018; Accepted 20 January 2018  
1438-4639/ © 2018 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved.

certain causal effects, rather than for the possibility of health risks related with RF-EMF exposure, and that “even where the report documents good quality, peer reviewed study evidence for potential risk, these data are simply dismissed”(Sage et al., 2015).

On the other hand, the “Bioinitiative Report” (<http://www.bioinitiative.org>) an extensive review on biological and health effects of EMF written by an independent international study group, concluded in the year 2012 for the need, according to available evidences, to adopt a new precautionary action level for chronic exposure to RF-EMF (0.3–0.6 nW/cm<sup>2</sup>, corresponding to 0.04 V/m) which is hundreds of time lower than the international reference levels indicated by the International Commission on Non-Ionising Radiation Protection (ICNIRP, 41 V/m for 900 MHz, 58 V/m for 1800 MHz and 61 V/m for 2100 MHz) (ICNIRP, 1998), which only consider acute effects, and not chronic, low exposures, and are based on thermal, and not on biological effects of RF-EMF exposure(Hardell, 2017; Redmayne, 2016).

In this uncertain, confused and evolving scientific scenario, in September 2016 the European Commission published a document titled “5G for Europe: An Action Plan” (Commission, 2016), aimed to describe “an action plan for timely and coordinated deployment of 5G networks in Europe through a partnership between the Commission, Member States, and Industry”. This document was targeted to early introduce the new 5G (5th Generation) networks by 2018 and, subsequently, to a “commercial large scale introduction by the end of 2020 at the latest”. Following this document, several member States are currently planning, at a national level, preliminary “5G experimentations” by private phone operators, aimed at testing the network at frequencies over 6 GHz before the final introduction, on the medium-long term, of the typical 5G frequencies (over 30 GHz, millimeter waves) (AGCOM, 2017), never employed before with a large number of devices and on a large scale in urbanized contexts.

A document by the Italian Authority for Security in Communication (AGCOM, March 28, 2017) (AGCOM, 2017) stated that “the 5G networks will serve an elevated number of devices and will connect, according to the prevalent hypothesis based on ongoing standardization developments, about 1 million devices per Km<sup>2</sup>. This device density will cause an increase of the traffic and the need to install small cells in order to allow adequate connectivity performances, with subsequent increment of the density of the installed antennas”.

A recent systematic review on 133 diseases and injuries showed that 23% of global deaths and 22% of global disabilities adjusted life years (DALYs) can be attributed to environmental risks in the year 2012, and that this burden is dominated by noncommunicable diseases (Pruss-Ustun et al., 2017). Furthermore, according to the World Health Organization, noncommunicable diseases kill 40 million people each year, equivalent to 70% of all deaths globally (WHO, 2017). These findings are paralleled by evidences documenting that intrinsic risk factors contribute only in less than 30% of cases to the development of cancer (Wu et al., 2016), and that there is a progressive rise in the incidence of childhood cancer (Steliarova-Foucher et al., 2017) (including central nervous system tumors in adolescents and young adults, in particular in European Countries (Georgakis et al., 2017)), and of a number of chronic diseases including neurodevelopmental disorders (Fombonne, 2009), psychiatric and neurodegenerative diseases (i.e. dementia (Prince et al., 2015), Parkinson (Savica et al., 2016) and Alzheimer's disease (Brookmeyer et al., 2007)), metabolic disorders as obesity (Flegal et al., 2016; Stevens et al., 2012), type 2 (Jaacks et al., 2016; Shaw et al., 2010) and type 1 diabetes (Patterson et al., 2012; Tuomilehto, 2013)). From this point of view, the correct assessment of the relationships between environmental exposures and both cancer and non-oncologic noncommunicable diseases could strongly contribute in reducing the global burden of these health hazards, mainly in terms of primary prevention. The potential health consequences of the continuing spread of RF-EMF exposure, if confirmed, could be of interest mainly due to these implications and to the central role of public policies in the management and prevention of these pathologic

conditions.

Thus, aim of the present review is to explore the more recent (i.e. following the IARC statement in the year 2011) peer-reviewed studies on biological and health effects of RF-EMF, and to check the available evidences on the effects of millimeter waves, which will be employed worldwide, in the medium-long term, in 5G communication systems.

## 2. Materials and methods

Articles have been selected by using the PubMed ([www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed)) bibliographic database with keywords including the terms “electromagnetic fields”; “cellular phone”; “mobile phone”; “base station”; “RF-EMF”; “radiofrequency”; “millimeter waves”; “wi-fi”; “MMW”; “5G”; “cellular networks”. Peer reviewed original articles published in the English language until January 2018 (health/biological effects of exposure to millimeter waves) or in the period 2011–January 2018 (general effects of RF-EMF exposure) were considered.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. General effects of RF-EMF exposure: evidences following the IARC statement

#### 3.1.1. Cancer

In the year 2011 the WHO IARC classified RF-EMF as “possibly carcinogenic to humans” (Group 2B) (IARC, 2013) based on evidences documenting an increased risk for glioma associated with wireless phone use. The IARC monograph comprehensively discussed the literature on the relationships between RF-EMF and cancer available before the publication of the final report.

After the IARC statement, a case-control study has documented an increased risk of brain tumor in mobile phone users or after cordless phone use (latency > 15–20 years)(Hardell et al., 2013). Additionally, a large study on 1678 patients with glioma demonstrated a decreased survival per year of latency for mobile phone use (Carlberg and Hardell, 2014).

Conversely, a prospective study in a cohort of UK women did not demonstrate a significant association between mobile phone use and increased incidence of glioma, meningioma or non-central nervous system (CNS) cancers, although an increased risk for acoustic neuroma was shown in long term users vs never users, with the risk increasing with duration of use (Benson et al., 2013). Furthermore, a Korean study on 285 patients with glioma and 285 matched controls did not show significant relationships between gliomas and use of mobile phones. However, the existence of a non-significant increased risk among ipsilateral users and some methodological limitation (i.e. possible recall and selection bias), lead the Authors to suggest the need for further evaluation, in particular in longer time users (Yoon et al., 2015).

Results from the French CERENAT multicenter, case-control study did not show associations between mobile phone use and brain tumors when comparing users vs non-users, although a significant positive association (glioma, meningioma) was evident in the heaviest users when considering life-long cumulative duration and number of calls (glioma) (Courreau et al., 2014).

A Swiss census-based cohort study did not suggest relationships between modeled exposure from broadcast transmitters and childhood cancer (i.e. all cancers, leukemia, CNS) in terms of hazards ratios (exposed to a predicted RF-EMF below 0.05 V/m, vs the highest exposure category, ≥ 0.2 V/m), although the linear exposure-response analysis with CNS cancers reached statistical significance (positive correlation) for all types of transmitters. The elevated risk of CNS tumors in the time-to-event analysis, however, was not confirmed in the incidence density analysis (Hauri et al., 2014).

A meta-analysis exploring papers published until the end of March 2014 (24 studies, 26,846 cases, 50,013 controls) reported a higher risk

of intracranial tumor (mobile phone use over 10 years) and for the ipsilateral location, although Authors indicated the need for further studies to confirm this epidemiological association (Bortkiewicz et al., 2017).

Of note, a recent re-analysis (correcting for possible biases) of Canadian data from the multinational INTERPHONE study demonstrated an odds ratio of 2.2 for glioma (95% confidence interval 1.3–4.1, highest quartile of phone users vs non regular users), and an increased risk of meningioma, acoustic neurinoma and parotid gland tumors in relation to mobile phone use (Momoli et al., 2017). The finding of an increased risk of parotid gland tumors did not confirm conclusions from a previous study showing no significant relationships between these tumors and light to moderate exposure to wireless phones during less than 10 years (Soderqvist et al., 2012).

As far as animal studies were concerned, exposure of AKR/J mice (used as lymphoma model) to RF-EMF for 45 min/day, 5 days/week, for a total of 42 weeks (SAR 4.0 W/kg) did not generate differences in lymphoma and splenomegaly incidence between sham- and exposed animals (Lee et al., 2011). This finding confirmed a previous evidence showing, in AKR/J mice, the lack of effects from exposure to UMTS test signals (24 h per day, 7 days per week, 0.4 W/kg SAR) (Sommer et al., 2007).

On the other hand, a recent experimental study documented cancer-promoting effects of RF-EMF on mice (tumors of the lung, liver, lymphomas) at low to moderate exposure levels (0.04 and 0.4 W/kg SAR), well below current exposure limits (Lerchl et al., 2015).

Finally, a recent study in rats also suggested that RF-EMS effects could be mediated, at least in part, by epigenetic mechanisms, since a short term (4 h) exposure to RF radiation from GSM cell phone was able to affect the methylation pattern of the estrogen receptor (ER $\alpha$ ) gene, which plays a critical role in colorectal cancer (Mokarram et al., 2017).

### 3.1.2. Reproductive effects

A recent longitudinal cohort study on 153 men attending a fertility clinic failed to demonstrate (by nurse administered questionnaires) significant relationships between mobile phone use and semen quality (Lewis et al., 2017).

However, a recent review on the effects of RF-EMF on sperm function identified 21 out of 27 studies documenting negative effects of exposure (i.e. impaired sperm motility, increased production of reactive oxygen species, increased DNA damage, reduced levels of anti-oxidants) (Houston et al., 2016).

A number of studies with positive findings in humans (Agarwal et al., 2009; De Iuliis et al., 2009; Erogul et al., 2006; Falzone et al., 2011; Fejes et al., 2005; Gorpichenko et al., 2014; Wdowiak et al., 2007; Zalata et al., 2015) have been paralleled by recent animal studies documenting, following RF-EMF exposure, oxidative and nitrosative stress-mediated DNA damage resulting in cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in spermatogenic cell lines (Solek et al., 2017), reduced testosterone levels (Kesari and Behari, 2012; Kumar et al., 2013; Sepehrimanesh et al., 2014) with shrinkage of testicular size (Kumar et al., 2013), overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Kesari and Behari, 2012), disrupted ovarian cycle in prepuberal rats (i.e. decreased follicle number, increased number of atretic follicles and apoptotic index levels) following prenatal exposure (Turedi et al., 2016), higher percentage of dead embryos at the 2-cell stage in EMF-exposed mice, as compared with controls, with an increased loss of cell viability in experimental blastocysts (Safian et al., 2016).

On the other hand, as far as RF-EMF exposure during pregnancy is concerned, a recent animal study showed that whole body exposure to different signals (average SARs of 0.08 and 0.4 W/kg) of pregnant rats (20 h/day from gestational day 7 to weaning) and F1 offspring rats (up to 6 weeks of age) did not generate adverse effects on pregnancy nor on the development of animals (Shirai et al., 2017).

### 3.1.3. Metabolic effects

A large occupational study in 1073 workers in a power plant showed a significant positive correlation between EMF exposure (combined RF-EMF and low-frequency EMF) and serum levels of LDL-cholesterol, with no effects on total cholesterol, HDL and triglycerides (Wang et al., 2016).

Preliminary observations in pediatric age also suggested a possible effect of environmental RF-EMF exposure on glucose metabolism. In a group of 159 students from elementary schools exposed to RF-EMF from mobile phone base stations, significantly higher blood levels of glycated hemoglobin were measured in children exposed to high (9.601 nW/cm<sup>2</sup> at frequency of 925 MHz), as compared to those exposed to low (1.909 nW/cm<sup>2</sup>) RF-EMF 6 h daily, five days in a week (Meo et al., 2015).

In an animal model, the exposure to mobile phone radiations for more than 15 min/day for a total period of 3 months induced a significant increment in fasting serum levels of glucose and insulin in rats, as compared to non-exposed animals, with a significant increment in insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (Meo and Al Rubeaan, 2013).

The effect of RF-EMF exposure on insulin release was not confirmed by a more recent study in rats exposed to RF radiation (SAR 2 W/kg) 6 h/day for one week. The same study, however, showed in exposed animals histological damages in the liver (i.e. inflammatory changes in the portal spaces) and in the pancreas (i.e. damaged cells in the islet of Langerhans), linked with the duration of the exposure (Mortazavi et al., 2016).

An animal study on rabbits exposed (whole body) in the short term to 1800 MHz GSM-like radiofrequency radiation (15 min/day for seven days) showed no effects on markers of oxidative stress and on serum levels of glucose, uric acid and aminotransferase, although cholesterol levels were significantly higher in exposed pregnant animals than in non-exposed groups. Short term RF exposure alone, however, was not able to induce the same effect on serum cholesterol in non-pregnant animals (Kismali et al., 2012).

*In vitro*, RF-EMF at 2 GHz has been able to up-regulate the expression of genes involved in glucose transportation and the tricarboxyl acid cycle, modulating cell response (in terms of energy metabolism) to this form of environmental exposure (Lin et al., 2016).

Finally, as shown by an *in vitro* model, RF-EMF generated by a GSM mobile phone was able to reduce cell viability and proliferation rates of human mesenchymal stem cells derived from adipose tissue, with effects depending on the duration of the exposure (Shahbazi-Gahrouei et al., 2016).

### 3.1.4. Neurologic effects

In an animal model, brain exposure of adolescent rats to RF-EMF (45 min, SAR 0, 1.5 or 6 W/Kg, 5 days/week from postnatal day 32–62) did not generate any neurobiological impairment compared to sham-exposed controls (Stasinopoulou et al., 2016). Absence of harmful effects of long-term RF-EMF exposure (2 h per day, 5 days per week, from an age of 14 days to 19 months, GSM-modulated 900 MHz RF-EMF, brain SAR 0, 0.7, 2.5 and 10 W/kg) on neuro-development, learning skills and behavior was also showed in exposed female Wistar rats (Klose et al., 2014).

On the other hand, however, a number of *in vitro* effects of RF-EMF exposure have been detected on neurons, in particular during brain development (early exposure).

Pre- and postnatal exposure to an electric field intensity of 3.7 V/m for 12 h/day during pregnancy and for 22 days after parturition was linked to the detection, in 22-day old pups, of pyramidal cell loss and glia fibrillary acidic protein over-expression in the CA4 region of the hippocampus (Stasinopoulou et al., 2016).

In a murine model of neural stem cells, increasing exposure duration to GSM 900-MHz RF-EMF markedly decreased the stem cell proliferation and cell differentiation into neurons, with “devastating” effects (as defined by Authors) on neurogenesis (Eghlidospour et al., 2017).

Similar results were shown in another model exploring murine embryonic neural stem cells, in which exposure to 1800 MHz RF-EMF at specific absorption rate (SAR) values of 4 W/kg for 3 days inhibited the neurite outgrowth of differentiated neurons, with reduced expression of the proneural genes Ngn1 and NeuroD (Chen et al., 2014).

Besides neurodevelopmental effects, functional alterations of neurons have been described.

Exposure to 835 MHz RF-EMF (4.0 W/kg SAR, for 5 h daily) significantly decreased, in mice, the density of synaptic vesicles in the presynaptic boutons of cortical neurons, with a marked reduction in the expression of synapsins I/II genes and proteins (Kim et al., 2017a).

The exposure of Sprague Dawley male rats for 15 min at a SAR of 0, 1.5, or 6 W/kg lead to an increment in total glial fibrillary acidic protein in the striatum at 1.5W/Kg, in the hippocampus and in the olfactory bulb at 6 W/Kg. Animals showed reduced long-term memory as a consequence of the RF-EMF-induced astrogliosis (Barthelemy et al., 2016).

The involvement of epigenetic mechanisms affecting gene expression could also be supposed based on results from a study showing, in Sprague-Dawley rats exposed to RF-EMF (900 MHz, 1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> for 14 or 28 days, 3 h/day, SAR varying between 0.016 [whole body exposure] and 2 W/kg [head]), impaired spatial memory and a damage in the permeability of the blood-brain barrier secondary to the activation of the mkp-1/ERK pathway (i.e. m kp-1 expression resulting in ERK phosphorylation), as compared to unexposed animals (Tang et al., 2015).

Mice exposed to 835 MHz RF-EMF at a SAR of 4.0 W/kg for 5 h/day during 12 weeks showed an increased induction of autophagy genes, with accumulation of autolysosome in neural cells. These alterations were paralleled by myelin sheath damage, with exposed animals showing hyperactivity-like behavior (Kim et al., 2017b).

Interestingly, the possibility of behavioral effects secondary to RF-EMF exposure has also been suggested in humans. In fact, in a large cohort of Bavarian children and adolescents exposed to RF-EMF far below the reference level (assesses by personal dosimeter), a relationship was documented between the measured exposure to RF fields in the highest quartile and the occurrence of behavioral problems (Thomas et al., 2010).

A study based on the Danish National Birth Cohort (phone interviews) failed to demonstrate associations between prenatal cell phone use and neurodevelopmental delays among infants aged 6 and 18 months (Divan et al., 2011). More recent results from the same group, however, demonstrated that cell phone use was linked with behavioral problems in children aged 7 years (Divan et al., 2012). These results confirmed previous observations documenting that prenatal (and, although to a lesser extent, postnatal) exposure to cell phones was associated with behavioral alterations at the age of school entry (Divan et al., 2008).

A Dutch cohort study (based on retrospective questionnaires) including a total of 2618 subjects failed to demonstrate positive relationships between maternal cell/cordless phone use during pregnancy and behavioral problems in 7 years old children (Guxens et al., 2013). These negative results have not been confirmed by a more recent and large study in five birth cohorts (83,884 mother-child pairs), demonstrating an increased risk of behavioral problems (i.e. hyperactivity, inattention) in offspring from mothers who were cell phone users during pregnancy, as compared to no cell phone use. Residual concerns, however, derives from some uncontrolled confounding factors (Birks et al., 2017).

A large population-based cohort study has recently shown that perceived exposure to mobile-phone base stations was linked with the increase in modeled exposure and with the occurrence of nonspecific symptoms and sleep disturbances (Martens et al., 2017).

Recently, a cross-sectional survey on 2150 students retrospectively demonstrated an increased occurrence of headache, fatigue and sleep disturbances in mobile phone users, with a dose-response relationship.

The same study showed limited associations with vicinity to base stations and lack of relationships with measured school EMF levels (Durusoy et al., 2017).

The long-term effects of RF-EMF exposure on the progression of Alzheimer disease has been studied in an animal model (5xFAD mice), showing that 1950MHz RF-EMF at a SAR of 5.0 W/kg for 2hours/day and 5days/week for 8 months improved the cognitive deficits in the exposed animals, and that this finding was paralleled by an increased glucose metabolism in the hippocampus and amygdala regions of the brains, as compared to sham-exposed mice (Son et al., 2017). The finding of positive effects of RF-EMF exposure on animal models of Alzheimer disease confirms previous observations (Banaceur et al., 2013; Jeong et al., 2015).

In humans, a Danish retrospective cohort study reported a 30–40% decrement in the risk of hospitalization for Alzheimer disease in men who had a mobile phone subscription since 10 years or more (Schuz et al., 2009), and another prospective survey described, in a group of older participants with elderly-related cognitive decline, better cognitive performances (including memory and attention) in those who were frequent mobile phone users (Ng et al., 2012). However, the epidemiological findings linking a better cognitive profile with mobile phone use could be expression of either causal or consequential association, and a meta-analysis exploring the effects of a short-term exposure to RF-EMF on human cognitive performance excluded the presence of significant positive impacts (Barth et al., 2012). A more recent meta-analysis on the consequences of electromagnetic fields emitted by GSM phones on working memory in humans found no difference between RF-EMF and sham exposed subjects, pointing to the need of further studies (Zubko et al., 2017)

### 3.1.5. Microbiological effects

In isolated colonies from human skin microbiota (genus *Staphylococcus*) the microbial growth pattern after exposure was increased or suppressed, demonstrating a possible disrupting effect of RF-EMF. Results from this preliminary study also point to a possible role on the recorded responses played by individual historic exposure to RF-EMF and life style (Crabtree et al., 2017).

As shown by a recent study on cultures of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Escherichia coli* exposed to a GSM 900 MHz mobile phone simulator or to a 2.4 GHz Wi-Fi router, RF-EMF is able to induce antibiotic resistance in these microorganisms (Taheri et al., 2017).

This study expands previous observations on antibiotic susceptibility of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* exposed to a common wi-fi router, which showed a fall in the microbial sensitivity to all tested antibiotics after 8 h of exposure, following a transient initial rise in sensitivity after 4.5 h (Taheri et al., 2015).

Furthermore, it has been demonstrated a multidrug resistance in bacteria like *Bacillus* and *Clostridium* spp. surviving near telecommunication-based stations (Adebayo et al., 2014).

### 3.2. Possible specific effects of the exposure to millimeter waves and 5G networks

Millimeter waves (MMW) are characterized by a range from 30 to 300 GHz and constitute the extremely high frequency band of RF-EMF.

It is expected (although not fully demonstrated) that devices employing MMW will work with low power and, due to the small penetration depth of the radiation, the exposure should involve only superficial tissues.

However, mainly due to the low power, this technology requires a high density of small cells and a proliferation of devices is expected. This combination of factors will increase chance of human exposure to RF-EMF.

Furthermore, also hypothetically assuming that 5G networks will not increase the exposure level in the human environment when they will be fully operative, in the first stage of 5G implementation (i.e. at

least some years), 5G networks will operate in parallel with current mobile systems, with an unavoidable global increase in the exposure level.

Despite MMW have been suggested for biomedical applications (Zhadobov et al., 2015) (also considering their hypoalgesic effects (Radzievsky et al., 2001; Usichenko et al., 2006; Usichenko et al., 2003; Ziskin, 2013)), specific preliminary evidences showed as the exposure to frequency over 30 GHz could alter gene expression (Habauzit et al., 2014; Le Quement et al., 2012; Le Quement et al., 2014; Millenbaugh et al., 2008; Soubere Mahamoud et al., 2016), increase the temperature of the skin (Zhadobov et al., 2015), stimulate cell proliferation (Li et al., 2010; Li et al., 2014), alter the functions of cell membrane (Cosentino et al., 2013; Di Donato et al., 2012) and neuro-muscular systems (Alekseev et al., 2010; Alekseev et al., 1997; Gordon et al., 1969; Khramov et al., 1991; Pakhomov et al., 1997; Pikov et al., 2010; Shapiro et al., 2013).

Although the effects of exposure are limited to superficial tissues, systemic effects cannot be ruled out, due to irradiation of cutaneous vessels and surrounding tissues (Alekseev and Ziskin, 2009). This hypothesis seems to be confirmed, in an animal model, by the release of macrophage-activating mediators into the plasma following exposure to 35 GHz millimeter waves at 75 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> (Sypniewska et al., 2010).

In human skin cells, the exposure at MMW (60.4 GHz) with an incident power density of 20 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> (corresponding to the maximum incident power density authorized for public use) is able to alter the function of the endoplasmic reticulum (Le Quement et al., 2014) and, in keratinocytes, the expression (Habauzit et al., 2014; Soubere Mahamoud et al., 2016) of genes involved in cellular communication and endoplasmic reticulum homeostasis (Soubere Mahamoud et al., 2016).

It has been shown, in cultures of human keratinocytes, that exposure at 20 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> lead to a differential expression of 665 genes and that this effect was not completely related to the thermal effects of MMW. According to the Authors of this study, “the high number of modified genes (665) shows that the ICNIRP current limit is probably too permissive to prevent biological response” (Habauzit et al., 2014).

Some evidence suggested that MMW exposure *per se* has apparently no direct effects on gene expression (Habauzit et al., 2014; Koyama et al., 2016). However, modulation of gene expression is possible in the case of disturbed cell homeostasis, as demonstrated in human keratinocytes treated with a glycolysis inhibitor (2-deoxyglucose), in which MMW altered the expression of six genes (SOCS3, SPRY2, TRIB1, FAM46A, CSRNP1 and PPP1R15A) involved in cell signaling/transduction pathways and encoding transcription factors or inhibitors of cytokine pathways, with concerns about possible negative long-term effects of MMW exposure on metabolic stressed cells (Soubere Mahamoud et al., 2016).

In rats exposed *in vitro* to 35 GHz MMW at 75 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>, an increased macrophage expression of several proteins associated with inflammation, oxidative stress, and energy metabolism was recorded (Sypniewska et al., 2010), making possible systemic effects secondary to exposure.

MMW are also able to promote the synthesis of extracellular matrix and cell proliferation in chondrocytes (Li et al., 2010; Li et al., 2014), stimulating their energy metabolism and protein synthesis probably by affecting the voltage-gated K(+) channel (Li et al., 2014).

Conversely, antiproliferative effects of MMW exposure have been described in the case of cultured erythromyeloid leukemia cell line K562, with an enhancement of the glycolytic aerobic pathway and without significant cell death increment (Beneduci et al., 2007). Antiproliferative effects have been also shown in other tumoral human stable cell lines (probably through absorption of MMW by water) (Chidichimo et al., 2002), but not in RPMI 7932 human skin melanoma cells (Beneduci, 2009), normal human skin fibroblast (NB1RBG) and human glioblastoma (A172) cells (Yaekashiwa et al., 2017).

*In vitro* observations on human cryopreserved spermatozoa showed

an increased fraction of mobile spermatozoa following MMW exposure (0.03 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>), without impairment of membrane integrity and nuclear chromatin status (Volkova et al., 2014).

In animal models, it has been shown that acute exposure to MMW (60 GHz for 6 min) could generate ocular damage (both eyelid and eye globes) in rabbits (Kojima et al., 2009). These findings confirm an early report on rats showing MMW-induced changes in the lens predisposing to cataract development (Prost et al., 1994). Data on ocular effects of MMW, however, are controversial. In fact, other observations showed that single (8 h) or repeated (five separate 4-h exposure on consecutive days) exposure to 60 GHz radiation at 10 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> did not cause ocular damage in rabbits and nonhuman primates (Kues et al., 1999), and that exposure to 60 gigahertz (GHz) radiation for 24 h at 1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> was not able to induce genotoxicity in human eye cells (Koyama et al., 2016).

Studies in animal models suggest neurologic outcomes following MMW exposure, in terms of EEG alterations secondary to MMW-induced stress reactions (due to the increase in skin temperature) (Xie et al., 2011) and altered neuronal and neuromuscular functions (Alekseev et al., 2010; Alekseev et al., 1997; Gordon et al., 1969; Khramov et al., 1991; Pakhomov et al., 1997; Piko et al., 2010; Shapiro et al., 2013).

Finally, the microbiological effects of MMW exposure have also been explored.

Exposure of *E. Coli* to 99 GHz for 1 h did not affect bacteria viability and colony characterization. Following a 19 h exposure, the number of colonies forming units was slightly increased (half order of magnitude higher) as compared with sham-exposed and control suspensions, in the absence of effects on bacterial metabolic activity (Cohen et al., 2010). Several other observations show altered (depressed) bacterial growth and activity following the exposure to MMW (Torgomyan and Trchounian, 2015), which could positively affect the sensitivity of microorganisms to active chemicals, including antibiotics (Bulgakova et al., 1996; Soghomonyan et al., 2016; Tadevosyan et al., 2008; Torgomian et al., 2013; Torgomyan et al., 2012; Torgomyan et al., 2011; Torgomyan and Trchounian, 2015). A recent review suggested that these effects might be independent from thermal effect of MMW, mainly acting on bacterial plasma membrane, genome and metabolic pathways (Soghomonyan et al., 2016).

#### 4. Conclusions

Evidences about the biological properties of RF-EMF are progressively accumulating and, although they are in some case still preliminary or controversial, clearly point to the existence of multi-level interactions between high-frequency EMF and biological systems, and to the possibility of oncologic and non-oncologic (mainly reproductive, metabolic, neurologic, microbiologic) effects.

Biological effects have also been recorded at exposure levels below the regulatory limits, leading to growing doubts about the real safety of the currently employed ICNIRP standards (Habauzit et al., 2014; Redmayne, 2016; Starkey, 2016).

Particular concerns derive from the wide (and rapidly increasing) density of wireless devices and antennas (also in view of the forthcoming 5G networks), from the increased susceptibility to RF-EMF in children (Meo et al., 2015; Redmayne, 2016; Redmayne and Johansson, 2015; Sangun et al., 2015), and from the effects of RF-EMF at a cellular and molecular level, in particular regarding the ability to promote oxidative processes (Friedman et al., 2007; Kazemi et al., 2015; Kesari and Behari, 2012), DNA damage (Duan et al., 2015; Solek et al., 2017), alterations of gene expression (Chen et al., 2014; Habauzit et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2017a; Le Quement et al., 2012; Le Quement et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2016; Millenbaugh et al., 2008; Soubere Mahamoud et al., 2016) and to influence the development of stem cells (Chen et al., 2014; Eghlidisopour et al., 2017; Shahbazi-Gahrouei et al., 2016).

Epigenetic mechanisms modulating gene expression following exposure to environmental toxics are frequently involved in the

pathogenesis of a number of chronic diseases, mainly in the case of early exposures determining developmental effects and the onset of chronic diseases later during life (Bianco-Miotto et al., 2017; Bird, 2007; Di Ciaula and Portincasa, 2014). Of note, the epigenome seems also to have a relevant role following RF-EMF exposure, which is able to produce micro-RNA modulation (Dasdag et al., 2015a,b), chromatin remodeling and alterations of DNA repairing processes (Belyaev et al., 2009; Markova et al., 2005) and to affect the DNA methylation pattern (Mokarram et al., 2017).

Further experimental and epidemiologic studies are urgently needed in order to better and fully explore the health effects caused in humans by the exposure to generic or specific (i.e. MMW) RF-EMF frequencies in different age groups and with increasing exposure density.

However, underestimating the relevance of available results (in particular those from *in vitro* and animal models) do not appear to be ethically acceptable since, as has been observed reasoning in terms of primary prevention, it “is equivalent to accepting that a potential hazardous effect of an environmental agent can be assessed only *a posteriori*, after the agent has had time to cause its harmful effects” (Tomatis, 2002).

Results already available should be sufficient to invoke the respect of the precautionary principle (Hau et al., 2014; Lo, 2009) considering the large number of subjects involved in this form of environmental exposure and classifiable as “vulnerable” (Bracken-Roche et al., 2017), and possible interactions between multiple and heterogeneous exposures, overcoming the single-pollutant approach with the measurement of the absorbed internal dose of multiple pollutants (the concept of exposome (Wild, 2012)).

In the respect of the WHO principle “health in all policies”, the development of new RF-EMF communication networks should be paralleled by adequate and active involvement of public institutions operating in the field of environmental health, by a revision of the existing exposure limits and by policies aimed to reduce the level of risk in the exposed population.

On the other hand, an adequate knowledge of pathophysiological mechanisms linking RF-EMF exposure to health risk should also be useful in the current clinical practice, in particular in consideration of evidences pointing to the role of extrinsic factors as heavy contributors to cancer risk (Wu et al., 2016) and to the progressive epidemiological growth of noncommunicable diseases (Pruss-Ustun et al., 2017).

## References

- Adebayo, E.A., Adeeyo, A.O., Ayandele, A.A., Omomowo, I.O., 2014. Effect of radio-frequency radiation from telecommunication base stations on microbial diversity and antibiotic resistance. *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage.* 18, 669–674.
- Agarwal, A., Aponte-Mellado, A., Premkumar, B.J., Shaman, A., Gupta, S., 2012. The effects of oxidative stress on female reproduction: a review. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.*: RB&E 10, 49.
- Agarwal, A., Bui, A.D., 2017. Oxidation-reduction potential as a new marker for oxidative stress: correlation to male infertility. *Invest. Clin. Urol.* 58, 385–399.
- Agarwal, A., Desai, N.R., Makker, K., Varghese, A., Mouradi, R., Sabanegh, E., Sharma, R., 2009. Effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic waves (RF-EMW) from cellular phones on human ejaculated semen: an *in vitro* pilot study. *Fertil. Steril.* 92, 1318–1325.
- AGCOM, 2017. Indagine Conoscitiva Concernente Le Prospettive Di Sviluppo Dei Sistemi Wireless E Mobili Verso La Quarta Generazione (5G) E l'utilizzo Di Nuove Porzioni Di Spettro Al Di Sopra Dei 6 GHz Ai Sensi Della Delibera n.557/16/cons. Autorità per le Garanzie nelle Comunicazioni (AGCOM), Rome.
- Alekseev, S.I., Gordienko, O.V., Radzievsky, A.A., Ziskin, M.C., 2010. Millimeter wave effects on electrical responses of the sural nerve *in vivo*. *Bioelectromagnetics* 31, 180–190.
- Alekseev, S.I., Ziskin, M.C., 2009. Millimeter-wave absorption by cutaneous blood vessels: a computational study. *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* 56, 2380–2388.
- Alekseev, S.I., Ziskin, M.C., Kochetkova, N.V., Bolshakov, M.A., 1997. Millimeter waves thermally alter the firing rate of the *Lymnaea* pacemaker neuron. *Bioelectromagnetics* 18, 89–98.
- Bachschmid, M.M., Schildknecht, S., Matsui, R., Zee, R., Haeussler, D., Cohen, R.A., Pimental, D., Loo, B., 2013. Vascular aging: chronic oxidative stress and impairment of redox signaling-consequences for vascular homeostasis and disease. *Ann. Med.* 45, 17–36.
- Banaceur, S., Banasr, S., Sakly, M., Abdelmelek, H., 2013. Whole body exposure to 2.4 GHz WIFI signals: effects on cognitive impairment in adult triple transgenic mouse models of Alzheimer's disease (3xTg-AD). *Behav. Brain Res.* 240, 197–201.
- Barth, A., Ponocny, I., Gnambs, T., Winkler, R., 2012. No effects of short-term exposure to mobile phone electromagnetic fields on human cognitive performance: a meta-analysis. *Bioelectromagnetics* 33, 159–165.
- Barthelemy, A., Mouchard, A., Bouji, M., Blazy, K., Puigsegur, R., Villegier, A.S., 2016. Glial markers and emotional memory in rats following acute cerebral radiofrequency exposures. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 23, 25343–25355.
- Belyaev, I.Y., Markova, E., Hillert, L., Malmgren, L.O., Person, B.R., 2009. Microwaves from UMTS/GSM mobile phones induce long-lasting inhibition of 53BP1/gamma-H2AX DNA repair foci in human lymphocytes. *Bioelectromagnetics* 30, 129–141.
- Beneduci, A., 2009. Evaluation of the potential *in vitro* antiproliferative effects of millimeter waves at some therapeutic frequencies on RPMI 7932 human skin malignant melanoma cells. *Cell Biochem. Biophys.* 55, 25–32.
- Beneduci, A., Chidichimo, G., Tripepi, S., Perrotta, E., Cufone, F., 2007. Antiproliferative effect of millimeter radiation on human erythromyeloid leukemia cell line K562 in culture: ultrastructural- and metabolic-induced changes. *Bioelectrochemistry* 70, 214–220.
- Benson, V.S., Pirie, K., Schuz, J., Reeves, G.K., Beral, V., Green, J., Women, Million, Study, C., 2013. Mobile phone use and risk of brain neoplasms and other cancers: prospective study. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 42, 792–802.
- Bianco-Miotto, T., Craig, J.M., Gasser, Y.P., van Dijk, S.J., Ozanne, S.E., 2017. Epigenetics and DOHaD: from basics to birth and beyond. *J. Dev. Origins Health Dis.* 8, 513–519.
- Bird, A., 2007. Perceptions of epigenetics. *Nature* 447, 396–398.
- Birks, L., Guxens, M., Papadopoulou, E., Alexander, J., Ballester, F., Estarlich, M., Gallastegi, M., Ha, M., Haugen, M., Huss, A., Kheifets, L., Lim, H., Olsen, J., Santa-Marina, L., Sudan, M., Vermeulen, R., Vrijkotte, T., Cardis, E., Vrijheid, M., 2017. Maternal cell phone use during pregnancy and child behavioral problems in five birth cohorts. *Environ. Int.* 104, 122–131.
- Bortkiewicz, A., Gadzicka, E., Szymczak, W., 2017. Mobile phone use and risk for intracranial tumors and salivary gland tumors – a meta-analysis. *Int. J. Occup. Med. Environ. Health* 30, 27–43.
- Bracken-Roche, D., Bell, E., Macdonald, M.E., Racine, E., 2017. The concept of ‘vulnerability’ in research ethics: an in-depth analysis of policies and guidelines. *Health Res. Policy Syst.* 15, 8.
- Brookmeyer, R., Johnson, E., Ziegler-Graham, K., Arrighi, H.M., 2007. Forecasting the global burden of Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 3, 186–191.
- Bulgakova, V.G., Grushina, V.A., Orlova, T.I., Petrykina, Z.M., Polin, A.N., Noks, P.P., Kononenko, A.A., Rubin, A.B., 1996. The effect of millimeter-band radiation of nonthermal intensity on sensitivity of *Staphylococcus* to various antibiotics. *Biofizika* 41, 1289–1293.
- Cahill-Smith, S., Li, J.M., 2014. Oxidative stress, redox signalling and endothelial dysfunction in ageing-related neurodegenerative diseases: a role of NADPH oxidase 2. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 78, 441–453.
- Carlberg, M., Hardell, L., 2014. Decreased survival of glioma patients with astrocytoma grade IV (glioblastoma multiforme) associated with long-term use of mobile and cordless phones. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 11, 10790–10805.
- Chauhan, P., Verma, H.N., Sisodia, R., Kesari, K.K., 2017. Microwave radiation (2.45 GHz)-induced oxidative stress: whole-body exposure effect on histopathology of Wistar rats. *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 36, 20–30.
- Chen, C., Ma, Q., Liu, C., Deng, P., Zhu, G., Zhang, L., He, M., Lu, Y., Duan, W., Pei, L., Li, M., Yu, Z., Zhou, Z., 2014. Exposure to 1800 MHz radiofrequency radiation impairs neurite outgrowth of embryonic neural stem cells. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 5103.
- Chidichimo, G., Beneduci, A., Nicoletta, M., Critelli, M., De Rose, R., Tkatchenko, Y., Abonante, S., Tripepi, S., Perrotta, E., 2002. Selective inhibition of tumoral cells growth by low power millimeter waves. *Anticancer Res.* 22, 1681–1688.
- Cohen, I., Cahan, R., Shani, G., Cohen, E., Abramovich, A., 2010. Effect of 99 GHz continuous millimeter wave electro-magnetic radiation on *E. coli* viability and metabolic activity. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 86, 390–399.
- Commission, E., 2016. Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. 5G for Europe: An Action Plan. European Commission, Brussels.
- Cosentino, K., Beneduci, A., Ramundo-Orlando, A., Chidichimo, G., 2013. The influence of millimeter waves on the physical properties of large and giant unilamellar vesicles. *J. Biol. Phys.* 39, 395–410.
- Coureau, G., Bouvier, G., Lebailly, P., Fabbro-Peray, P., Gruber, A., Leffondre, K., Guillamo, J.S., Loiseau, H., Mathoulin-Pelissier, S., Salamon, R., Baldi, I., 2014. Mobile phone use and brain tumours in the CERENAT case-control study. *Occup. Environ. Med.* 71, 514–522.
- Crabtree, D.P.E., Herrera, B.J., Kang, S., 2017. The response of human bacteria to static magnetic field and radiofrequency electromagnetic field. *J. Microbiol.* 55, 809–815.
- Dasdag, S., Akdag, M.Z., 2016. The link between radiofrequencies emitted from wireless technologies and oxidative stress. *J. Chem. Neuroanat.* 75, 85–93.
- Dasdag, S., Akdag, M.Z., Erdal, N., Ay, O.I., Ay, M.E., Yilmaz, S.G., Tasdelen, B., Yegin, K., 2015a. Effects of 2.4 GHz radiofrequency radiation emitted from Wi-Fi equipment on microRNA expression in brain tissue. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 91, 555–561.
- Dasdag, S., Akdag, M.Z., Erdal, N., Ay, O.I., Ay, M.E., Yilmaz, S.G., Tasdelen, B., Yegin, K., 2015b. Long term and excessive use of 900 MHz radiofrequency radiation alter microRNA expression in brain. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 91, 306–311.
- De Iuliis, G.N., Newey, R.J., King, B.V., Aitken, R.J., 2009. Mobile phone radiation induces reactive oxygen species production and DNA damage in human spermatozoa *in vitro*. *PLoS One* 4, e6446.
- Del Vecchio, G., Giuliani, A., Fernandez, M., Mesirca, P., Bersani, F., Pinto, R., Ardoino, L., Lovisolo, G.A., Giardino, L., Calza, L., 2009. Continuous exposure to 900 MHz GSM-modulated EMF alters morphological maturation of neural cells. *Neurosci. Lett.* 455, 173–177.

- Di Ciaula, A., Portincasa, P., 2014. Fat, epigenome and pancreatic diseases: interplay and common pathways from a toxic and obesogenic environment. *Euro. J. Internal Med.* 25, 865–873.
- Di Donato, L., Cataldo, M., Stano, P., Massa, R., Ramundo-Orlando, A., 2012. Permeability changes of cationic liposomes loaded with carbonic anhydrase induced by millimeter waves radiation. *Radiat. Res.* 178, 437–446.
- Divan, H.A., Kheifets, L., Obel, C., Olsen, J., 2008. Prenatal and postnatal exposure to cell phone use and behavioral problems in children. *Epidemiology* 19, 523–529.
- Divan, H.A., Kheifets, L., Obel, C., Olsen, J., 2012. Cell phone use and behavioral problems in young children. *J. Epidemiol. Commun. Health* 66, 524–529.
- Divan, H.A., Kheifets, L., Olsen, J., 2011. Prenatal cell phone use and developmental milestone delays among infants. *Scand. J. Work, Environ. Health* 37, 341–348.
- Duan, W., Liu, C., Zhang, L., He, M., Xu, S., Chen, C., Pi, H., Gao, P., Zhang, Y., Zhong, M., Yu, Z., Zhou, Z., 2015. Comparison of the genotoxic effects induced by 50 Hz extremely low-frequency electromagnetic fields and 1800 MHz radiofrequency electromagnetic fields in GC-2 cells. *Radiat. Res.* 183, 305–314.
- Durusoy, R., Hassoy, H., Ozkurt, A., Karababa, A.O., 2017. Mobile phone use, school electromagnetic field levels and related symptoms: a cross-sectional survey among 2150 high school students in Izmir. *Environ. Health: a Global Access Sci. Source* 16, 51.
- Eghlidisopour, M., Ghanbari, A., Mortazavi, S.M.J., Azari, H., 2017. Effects of radio-frequency exposure emitted from a GSM mobile phone on proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis of neural stem cells. *Anat. Cell Biol.* 50, 115–123.
- Erogul, O., Oztas, E., Yildirim, I., Kir, T., Aydur, E., Komesli, G., Irkilata, H.C., Irmak, M.K., Peker, A.F., 2006. Effects of electromagnetic radiation from a cellular phone on human sperm motility: an *in vitro* study. *Arch. Med. Res.* 37, 840–843.
- Falzone, N., Huyser, C., Becker, P., Leszczynski, D., Franken, D.R., 2011. The effect of pulsed 900-MHz GSM mobile phone radiation on the acrosome reaction, head morphology and zona binding of human spermatozoa. *Int. J. Androl.* 34, 20–26.
- Fejes, I., Zavaczki, Z., Szollosi, J., Koloszar, S., Daru, J., Kovacs, L., Pal, A., 2005. Is there a relationship between cell phone use and semen quality? *Arch. Androl.* 51, 385–393.
- Flegel, K.M., Kruszon-Moran, D., Carroll, M.D., Fryar, C.D., Ogden, C.L., 2016. Trends in Obesity Among Adults in the United States, 2005 to 2014. *JAMA* 315, 2284–2291.
- Fombonne, E., 2009. Epidemiology of pervasive developmental disorders. *Pediatr. Res.* 65, 591–598.
- Friedman, J., Kraus, S., Hauptman, Y., Schiff, Y., Seger, R., 2007. Mechanism of short-term ERK activation by electromagnetic fields at mobile phone frequencies. *Biochem. J.* 405, 559–568.
- Georgakis, M.K., Panagopoulou, P., Papathoma, P., Tragiannidis, A., Ryzhov, A., Zivkovic-Perisic, S., Eser, S., Taraszkiewicz, L., Sekerija, M., Zagar, T., Antunes, L., Zborovskaya, A., Bastos, J., Florea, M., Coza, D., Demetriou, A., Agius, D., Strahinja, R.M., Sfakianos, G., Nikas, I., Kosmidis, S., Razis, E., Pourtsidis, A., Kantzanou, M., Dessypris, N., Petridou, E.T., 2017. Central nervous system tumours among adolescents and young adults (15–39 years) in Southern and Eastern Europe: registration improvements reveal higher incidence rates compared to the US. *Eur. J. Cancer* 86, 46–58.
- Gordon, Z.V., Lobanova, E.A., Kitovskaia, I.A., Tolgskaia, M.S., 1969. Study of the biological effect of electromagnetic waves of millimeter range. *Bulleten' eksperimental'noi biologii i meditsiny* 68, 37–39.
- Gorpichenko, I., Nikitin, O., Banya, O., Shulyak, A., 2014. The influence of direct mobile phone radiation on sperm quality. *Cent. Eur. J. Urol.* 67, 65–71.
- Guxens, M., van Eijnsden, M., Vermeulen, R., Loomans, E., Vrijkotte, T.G., Komhout, H., van Strien, R.T., Huss, A., 2013. Maternal cell phone and cordless phone use during pregnancy and behaviour problems in 5-year-old children. *J. Epidemiol. Community Health* 67, 432–438.
- Gye, M.C., Park, C.J., 2012. Effect of electromagnetic field exposure on the reproductive system. *Clin. Exp. Reprod. Med.* 39, 1–9.
- Habauzit, D., Le Quement, C., Zhadobov, M., Martin, C., Aubry, M., Sauleau, R., Le Drean, Y., 2014. Transcriptome analysis reveals the contribution of thermal and the specific effects in cellular response to millimeter wave exposure. *PLoS One* 9, e109435.
- Hardell, L., 2017. World Health Organization, radiofrequency radiation and health – a hard nut to crack (Review). *Int. J. Oncol.* 51, 405–413.
- Hardell, L., Carlberg, M., Soderqvist, F., Mild, K.H., 2013. Case-control study of the association between malignant brain tumours diagnosed between 2007 and 2009 and mobile and cordless phone use. *Int. J. Oncol.* 43, 1833–1845.
- Hau, M., Cole, D., Vanderlinde, L., MacFarlane, R., Mee, C., Archbold, J., Campbell, M., 2014. Development of a guide to applying precaution in local public health. *Int. J. Occup. Environ. Health* 20, 174–184.
- Hauri, D.D., Spycher, B., Huss, A., Zimmermann, F., Grotzer, M., von der Weid, N., Spoerri, A., Kuehni, C.E., Roosli, M., Swiss National C, Swiss Paediatric Oncology, Oncology, G., 2014. Exposure to radio-frequency electromagnetic fields from broadcast transmitters and risk of childhood cancer: a census-based cohort study. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 179, 843–851.
- Houston, B.J., Nixon, B., King, B.V., De Iuliis, G.N., Aitken, R.J., 2016. The effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation on sperm function. *Reproduction* 152, R263–R276.
- Huber, R., Treyer, V., Schuderer, J., Berthold, T., Buck, A., Kuster, N., Landolt, H.P., Achermann, P., 2005. Exposure to pulse-modulated radio frequency electromagnetic fields affects regional cerebral blood flow. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 21, 1000–1006.
- IARC,, 2013. Non-ionizing radiation, part 2: radiofrequency electromagnetic fields. In: Organization, W.H. (Ed.), IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans. WHO – International Agency for Research on Cancer, Geneva.
- ICNIRP,, 1998. Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields (up to 300 GHz). International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection. *Health Phys.* 74, 494–522.
- Jaacks, L.M., Siegel, K.R., Gujral, U.P., Narayan, K.M., 2016. Type 2 diabetes: a 21 st century epidemic: best practice & research. *Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 30, 331–343.
- Jeong, Y.J., Kang, G.Y., Kwon, J.H., Choi, H.D., Pack, J.K., Kim, N., Lee, Y.S., Lee, H.J., 2015. 1950 MHz electromagnetic fields ameliorate abeta pathology in Alzheimer's disease mice. *Curr. Alzheimer Res.* 12, 481–492.
- Kazemi, E., Mortazavi, S.M., Ali-Ghanbari, A., Sharifzadeh, S., Ranjbaran, R., Mostafavi-Pour, Z., Zal, F., Haghani, M., 2015. Effect of 900 MHz electromagnetic radiation on the induction of ROS in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *J. Biomed. Phys. Eng.* 5, 105–114.
- Kesari, K.K., Behari, J., 2012. Evidence for mobile phone radiation exposure effects on reproductive pattern of male rats: role of ROS. *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 31, 213–222.
- Kesari, K.K., Kumar, S., Behari, J., 2011. 900-MHz microwave radiation promotes oxidation in rat brain. *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 30, 219–234.
- Khramov, R.N., Sosunov, E.A., Koltun, S.V., Ilyasova, E.N., Lednev, V.V., 1991. Millimeter-wave effects on electric activity of crayfish stretch receptors. *Bioelectromagnetics* 12, 203–214.
- Kim, J.H., Kim, H.J., Yu, D.H., Kweon, H.S., Huh, Y.H., Kim, H.R., 2017a. Changes in numbers and size of synaptic vesicles of cortical neurons induced by exposure to 835 MHz radiofrequency-electromagnetic field. *PLoS One* 12, e0186416.
- Kim, J.H., Yu, D.H., Huh, Y.H., Lee, E.H., Kim, H.G., Kim, H.R., 2017b. Long-term exposure to 835 MHz RF-EMF induces hyperactivity, autophagy and demyelination in the cortical neurons of mice. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 41129.
- Kismali, G., Ozgur, E., Guler, G., Akcay, A., Sel, T., Seyhan, N., 2012. The influence of 1800 MHz GSM-like signals on blood chemistry and oxidative stress in non-pregnant and pregnant rabbits. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 88, 414–419.
- Klose, M., Grote, K., Späthmann, O., Streckert, J., Clemens, M., Hansen, V.W., Lerchl, A., 2014. Effects of early-onset radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure (GSM 900 MHz) on behavior and memory in rats. *Radiat. Res.* 182, 435–447.
- Kojima, M., Hanazawa, M., Yamashiro, Y., Sasaki, H., Watanabe, S., Taki, M., Suzuki, Y., Hirata, A., Kamimura, Y., Sasaki, K., 2009. Acute ocular injuries caused by 60-Ghz millimeter-wave exposure. *Health Phys.* 97, 212–218.
- Koyama, S., Narita, E., Shimizu, Y., Suzuki, Y., Shiina, T., Taki, M., Shinohara, N., Miyakoshi, J., 2016. Effects of long-term exposure to 60 GHz millimeter-wavelength radiation on the genotoxicity and heat shock protein (Hsp) expression of cells derived from human eye. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 13.
- Kruk, J., Aboul-Enein, H.Y., 2017. Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species in carcinogenesis: implications of oxidative stress on the progression and development of several cancer types. *Mini Rev. Med. Chem.* 17, 904–919.
- Kues, H.A., D'Anna, S.A., Osiander, R., Green, W.R., Monahan, J.C., 1999. Absence of ocular effects after either single or repeated exposure to 10 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> from a 60 GHz CW source. *Bioelectromagnetics* 20, 463–473.
- Kumar, S., Behari, J., Sisodia, R., 2013. Influence of electromagnetic fields on reproductive system of male rats. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 89, 147–154.
- Le Quement, C., Nicolas Nicolaz, C., Zhadobov, M., Desmots, F., Sauleau, R., Aubry, M., Michel, D., Le Drean, Y., 2012. Whole-genome expression analysis in primary human keratinocyte cell cultures exposed to 60 GHz radiation. *Bioelectromagnetics* 33, 147–158.
- Le Quement, C., Nicolaz, C.N., Habauzit, D., Zhadobov, M., Sauleau, R., Le Drean, Y., 2014. Impact of 60-GHz millimeter waves and corresponding heat effect on endoplasmic reticulum stress sensor gene expression. *Bioelectromagnetics* 35, 444–451.
- Lee, H.J., Jin, Y.B., Lee, J.S., Choi, S.Y., Kim, T.H., Pack, J.K., Choi, H.D., Kim, N., Lee, Y.S., 2011. Lymphoma development of simultaneously combined exposure to two radiofrequency signals in AKR/J mice. *Bioelectromagnetics* 32, 485–492.
- Lerchl, A., Klose, M., Grote, K., Wilhelm, A.F., Späthmann, O., Fiedler, T., Streckert, J., Hansen, V., Clemens, M., 2015. Tumor promotion by exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields below exposure limits for humans. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 459, 585–590.
- Lewis, R.C., Minguez-Alarcon, L., Meeker, J.D., Williams, P.L., Mezei, G., Ford, J.B., Hauser, R., Team, E.S., 2017. Self-reported mobile phone use and semen parameters among men from a fertility clinic. *Reprod. Toxicol.* 67, 42–47.
- Li, X., Du, M., Liu, X., Chen, W., Wu, M., Lin, J., Wu, G., 2010. Millimeter wave treatment promotes chondrocyte proliferation by upregulating the expression of cyclin-dependent kinase 2 and cyclin A. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 26, 77–84.
- Li, X., Liu, C., Liang, W., Ye, H., Chen, W., Lin, R., Li, Z., Liu, X., Wu, M., 2014. Millimeter wave promotes the synthesis of extracellular matrix and the proliferation of chondrocyte by regulating the voltage-gated K<sup>+</sup> channel. *J. Bone Miner. Metab.* 32, 367–377.
- Lin, K.W., Yang, C.J., Lian, H.Y., Cai, P., 2016. Exposure of ELF-EMF and RF-EMF increase the rate of glucose transport and TCA cycle in budding yeast. *Front. Microbiol.* 7, 1378.
- Lo, C.F., 2009. Risks, scientific uncertainty and the approach of applying precautionary principle. *Med. Law* 28, 283–300.
- Markova, E., Hillert, L., Malmgren, L., Persson, B.R., Belyaev, I.Y., 2005. Microwaves from GSM mobile telephones affect 53BP1 and gamma-H2AX foci in human lymphocytes from hypersensitive and healthy persons. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 113, 1172–1177.
- Martens, A.L., Slottje, P., Timmermans, D.R.M., Kromhout, H., Reedijk, M., Vermeulen, R.C.H., Smid, T., 2017. Modeled and perceived exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields from mobile-phone base stations and the development of symptoms over time in a general population cohort. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 186, 210–219.
- Meo, S.A., Al Rubeaan, K., 2013. Effects of exposure to electromagnetic field radiation (EMFR) generated by activated mobile phones on fasting blood glucose. *Int. J. Occup. Med. Environ. Health* 26, 235–241.
- Meo, S.A., Alsubaie, Y., Almubarak, Z., Almutawa, H., AlQasem, Y., Hasanato, R.M., 2015. Association of exposure to radio-frequency electromagnetic field radiation (RF-EMFR) generated by mobile phone base stations with glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 12,

- 14519–14528.
- Millenbaugh, N.J., Roth, C., Sypniewska, R., Chan, V., Eggers, J.S., Kiel, J.L., Blystone, R.V., Mason, P.A., 2008. Gene expression changes in the skin of rats induced by prolonged 35 GHz millimeter-wave exposure. *Radiat. Res.* 169, 288–300.
- Mokarram, P., Sheikhi, M., Mortazavi, S.M.J., Saeb, S., Shokrpour, N., 2017. Effect of exposure to 900 MHz GSM mobile phone radiofrequency radiation on estrogen receptor methylation status in colon cells of male sprague dawley rats. *J. Biomed. Phys. Eng.* 7, 79–86.
- Momoli, F., Siemiatycki, J., McBride, M.L., Parent, M.E., Richardson, L., Bedard, D., Platt, R., Vrijheid, M., Cardis, E., Krewski, D., 2017. Probabilistic multiple-bias modelling applied to the Canadian data from the INTERPHONE study of mobile phone use and risk of glioma, meningioma, acoustic neuroma, and parotid gland tumors. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 186 (7), 885–893.
- Mortazavi, S.M., Owji, S.M., Shoaiei-Fard, M.B., Ghader-Panah, M., Mortazavi, S.A., Tavakoli-Golpayegani, A.,aghani, M., Taeb, S., Shokrpour, N., Koohi, O., 2016. GSM 900 MHz microwave radiation-induced alterations of insulin level and histopathological changes of liver and pancreas in rat. *J. Biomed. Phys. Eng.* 6, 235–242.
- Newsholme, P., Cruzat, V.F., Keane, K.N., Carlessi, R., de Bittencourt Jr., P.I., 2016. Molecular mechanisms of ROS production and oxidative stress in diabetes. *Biochem. J.* 473, 4527–4550.
- Ng, T.P., Lim, M.L., Niti, M., Collinson, S., 2012. Long-term digital mobile phone use and cognitive decline in the elderly. *Bioelectromagnetics* 33, 176–185.
- Oyewopo, A.O., Olaniyi, S.K., Oyewopo, C.I., Jimoh, A.T., 2017. Radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation from cell phone causes defective testicular function in male Wistar rats. *Andrologia* 49.
- Pakhomov, A.G., Prol, H.K., Mathur, S.P., Akyel, Y., Campbell, C.B., 1997. Search for frequency-specific effects of millimeter-wave radiation on isolated nerve function. *Bioelectromagnetics* 18, 324–334.
- Patterson, C.C., Gyurus, E., Rosenbauer, J., Cinek, O., Neu, A., Schober, E., Parslow, R.C., Joner, G., Svensson, J., Castell, C., Bingley, P.J., Schoenle, E., Jarosz-Chobot, P., Urbonaite, B., Rothe, U., Krzisnik, C., Ionescu-Tirgoviste, C., Weets, I., Kovcova, M., Stipancic, G., Samardzic, M., de Beaufort, C.E., Green, A., Dahlquist, G.G., Soltesz, G., 2012. Trends in childhood type 1 diabetes incidence in Europe during 1989–2008: evidence of non-uniformity over time in rates of increase. *Diabetologia* 55, 2142–2147.
- Pikov, V., Arakaki, X., Harrington, M., Fraser, S.E., Siegel, P.H., 2010. Modulation of neuronal activity and plasma membrane properties with low-power millimeter waves in organotypic cortical slices. *J. Neural Eng.* 7, 045003.
- Prince, M., Guerchet, M., Prina, M., 2015. The Epidemiology and Impact of Dementia: Current State and Future Trends. World Health Organization, Geneva. [http://www.who.int/mental\\_health/neurology/dementia/dementia\\_thematicbrief\\_epidemiology.pdf](http://www.who.int/mental_health/neurology/dementia/dementia_thematicbrief_epidemiology.pdf).
- Prost, M., Olchowik, G., Hautz, W., Gweda, R., 1994. Experimental studies on the influence of millimeter radiation on light transmission through the lens. *Klin. Oczna* 96, 257–259.
- Pruss-Ustun, A., Wolf, J., Corvalan, C., Neville, T., Bos, R., Neira, M., 2017. Diseases due to unhealthy environments: an updated estimate of the global burden of disease attributable to environmental determinants of health. *J. Public Health* 39, 464–475.
- Radzievsky, A.A., Rojavin, M.A., Cowan, A., Alekseev, S.I., Radzievsky Jr., A.A., Ziskin, M.C., 2001. Peripheral neural system involvement in hypoalgesic effect of electromagnetic millimeter waves. *Life Sci.* 68, 1143–1151.
- Redmayne, M., 2016. International policy and advisory response regarding children's exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF). *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 35, 176–185.
- Redmayne, M., Johansson, O., 2015. Radiofrequency exposure in young and old: different sensitivities in light of age-relevant natural differences. *Rev. Environ. Health* 30, 323–335.
- Safian, F., Khalili, M.A., Khoradmehr, A., Anbari, F., Soltani, S., Halvaei, I., 2016. Survival assessment of mouse preimplantation embryos after exposure to cell phone radiation. *J. Reprod. Infertil.* 17, 138–143.
- Sagar, S., Dongus, S., Schoeni, A., Roser, K., Eeftens, M., Struchen, B., Foerster, M., Meier, N., Adem, S., Roosli, M., 2017. Radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure in everyday microenvironments in Europe: a systematic literature review. *J. Exposure Sci. Environ. Epidemiol.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jes.2017.13>. [Epub ahead of print].
- Sage, C., Carpenter, D., Hardell, L., 2015. Comments on SCENIHR: opinion on potential health effects of exposure to electromagnetic fields. *Bioelectromagnetics* 36, 480–484 (2015) *Bioelectromagnetics*.
- Sangun, O., Dundar, B., Comlekci, S., Buyukgebiz, A., 2015. The effects of electromagnetic field on the endocrine system in children and adolescents. *Pediatr. Endocrinol. Rev.*: PER 13, 531–545.
- Savica, R., Grossardt, B.R., Bower, J.H., Ahlskog, J.E., Rocca, W.A., 2016. Time trends in the incidence of parkinson disease. *JAMA Neurol.* 73, 981–989.
- Schoeni, A., Roser, K., Roosli, M., 2015. Memory performance, wireless communication and exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields: a prospective cohort study in adolescents. *Environ. Int.* 85, 343–351.
- Schuz, J., Waldemar, G., Olsen, J.H., Johansen, C., 2009. Risks for central nervous system diseases among mobile phone subscribers: a Danish retrospective cohort study. *PLoS One* 4, e4389.
- Scientific Committee on Emerging Newly Identified Health Risks, 2015. Opinion on potential health effects of exposure to electromagnetic fields. *Bioelectromagnetics* 36, 480–484.
- Sepehriamanesh, M., Kazemipour, N., Saeb, M., Nazifi, S., Davis, D.L., 2017. Proteomic analysis of continuous 900-MHz radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure in testicular tissue: a rat model of human cell phone exposure. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* Int. 24, 13666–13673.
- Sepehriamanesh, M., Saeb, M., Nazifi, S., Kazemipour, N., Jelodar, G., Saeb, S., 2014. Impact of 900 MHz electromagnetic field exposure on main male reproductive hormone levels: a *Rattus norvegicus* model. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* 58, 1657–1663.
- Shahbazi-Gahrouei, D., Hashemi-Beni, B., Ahmadi, Z., 2016. Effects of RF-EMF exposure from GSM mobile phones on proliferation rate of human adipose-derived stem cells: an in-vitro study. *J. Biomed. Phys. Eng.* 6, 243–252.
- Shapiro, M.G., Priest, M.F., Siegel, P.H., Bezanilla, F., 2013. Thermal mechanisms of millimeter wave stimulation of excitable cells. *Biophys. J.* 104, 2622–2628.
- Shaw, J.E., Sicree, R.A., Zimmet, P.Z., 2010. Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2010 and 2030. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 87, 4–14.
- Shirai, T., Wang, J., Kawabe, M., Wake, K., Watanabe, S.I., Takahashi, S., Fujiwara, O., 2017. No adverse effects detected for simultaneous whole-body exposure to multiple-frequency radiofrequency electromagnetic fields for rats in the intrauterine and pre- and post-weaning periods. *J. Radiat. Res. (Tokyo)* 58, 48–58.
- Soderqvist, F., Carlberg, M., Hardell, L., 2012. Use of wireless phones and the risk of salivary gland tumors: a case-control study. *Eur. J. Cancer Prev.* 21, 576–579.
- Soghomonyan, D., Trchouanian, K., Trchouanian, A., 2016. Millimeter waves or extremely high frequency electromagnetic fields in the environment: what are their effects on bacteria? *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 100, 4761–4771.
- Solek, P., Majchrowicz, L., Bloniarz, D., Krotoszynska, E., Koziorowski, M., 2017. Pulsed or continuous electromagnetic field induce p53/p21-mediated apoptotic signaling pathway in mouse spermatogenic cells in vitro and thus may affect male fertility. *Toxicology* 382, 84–92.
- Sommer, A.M., Bitz, A.K., Streckert, J., Hansen, V.W., Lerchl, A., 2007. Lymphoma development in mice chronically exposed to UMTS-modulated radiofrequency electromagnetic fields. *Radiat. Res.* 168, 72–80.
- Son, Y., Kim, J.S., Jeong, Y.J., Jeong, Y.K., Kwon, J.H., Choi, H.D., Pack, J.K., Kim, N., Lee, Y.S., Lee, H.J., 2017. Long-term RF exposure on behavior and cerebral glucose metabolism in 5xFAD mice. *Neurosci. Lett.* 666, 64–69.
- Soubere Mahamoud, Y., Aite, M., Martin, C., Zhadobov, M., Sauleau, R., Le Drean, Y., Habauzit, D., 2016. Additive effects of millimeter waves and 2-deoxyglucose co-exposure on the human keratinocyte transcriptome. *PLoS One* 11, e0160810.
- Starkey, S.J., 2016. Inaccurate official assessment of radiofrequency safety by the Advisory Group on Non-ionising Radiation. *Rev. Environ. Health* 31, 493–503.
- Stasinopoulou, M., Fragopoulou, A.F., Stamatakis, A., Mantziaras, G., Skourlakou, K., Papassideri, I.S., Stylianopoulou, F., Lai, H., Kostomitopoulos, N., Margaritis, L.H., 2016. Effects of pre- and postnatal exposure to 1880–1900 MHz DECT base radiation on development in the rat. *Reprod. Toxicol.* 65, 248–262.
- Steliarova-Foucher, E., Colombet, M., Ries, L.A.G., Moreno, F., Dolya, A., Bray, F., Hesseling, P., Shin, H.Y., Stiller, A., I. contributors, 2017. International incidence of childhood cancer, 2001–10: a population-based registry study. *Lancet Oncol.* 18, 719–731.
- Stevens, G.A., Singh, G.M., Lu, Y., Danaei, G., Lin, J.K., Finucane, M.M., Bahalim, A.N., McIntire, R.K., Gutierrez, H.R., Cowan, M., Paciorek, C.J., Farzadfar, F., Riley, L., Ezzati, M., 2012. Global Burden of Metabolic Risk Factors of Chronic Diseases Collaborating, G., National, regional, and global trends in adult overweight and obesity prevalences. *Popul. Health Metrics* 10, 22.
- Sun, Y., Zong, L., Gao, Z., Zhu, S., Tong, J., Cao, Y., 2017. Mitochondrial DNA damage and oxidative damage in HL-60 cells exposed to 900 MHz radiofrequency fields. *Mutat. Res.* 797–799, 7–14.
- Sypniewska, R.K., Millenbaugh, N.J., Kiel, J.L., Blystone, R.V., Ringham, H.N., Mason, P.A., Witzmann, F.A., 2010. Protein changes in macrophages induced by plasma from rats exposed to 35 GHz millimeter waves. *Bioelectromagnetics* 31, 656–663.
- Tadevosyan, H., Kalantaryan, V., Trchouanian, A., 2008. Extremely high frequency electromagnetic radiation enforces bacterial effects of inhibitors and antibiotics. *Cell Biochem. Biophys.* 51, 97–103.
- Taheri, M., Mortazavi, S.M., Moradi, M., Mansouri, S., Hatam, G.R., Nouri, F., 2017. Evaluation of the Effect of Radiofrequency Radiation Emitted From Wi-Fi Router and Mobile Phone Simulator on the Antibacterial Susceptibility of Pathogenic Bacteria *Listeria Monocytogenes* and *Escherichia Coli* 15 Dose-response: a publication of International Hormesis Society (1559325816688527).
- Taheri, M., Mortazavi, S.M., Moradi, M., Mansouri, S., Nouri, F., Mortazavi, S.A., Bahmanzadegan, F., 2015. *Klebsiella pneumonia*, a microorganism that approves the non-linear responses to antibiotics and window theory after exposure to wi-Fi 2.4 GHz electromagnetic radiofrequency radiation. *J. Biomed. Phys. Eng.* 5, 115–120.
- Tang, J., Zhang, Y., Yang, L., Chen, Q., Tan, L., Zuo, S., Feng, H., Chen, Z., Zhu, G., 2015. Exposure to 900 MHz electromagnetic fields activates the mpk-1/ERK pathway and causes blood-brain barrier damage and cognitive impairment in rats. *Brain Res.* 1601, 92–101.
- Tangvarasittachai, S., 2015. Oxidative stress, insulin resistance, dyslipidemia and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *World J. Diabetes* 6, 456–480.
- Thomas, S., Heinrich, S., von Kries, R., Radon, K., 2010. Exposure to radio-frequency electromagnetic fields and behavioural problems in Bavarian children and adolescents. *Eur. J. Epidemiol.* 25, 135–141.
- Tomatis, L., 2002. Primary prevention protects public health. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 982, 190–197.
- Torgomanian, E., Organian, V., Blbulian, C., Trchouanian, A., 2013. Changes in ion transport through membranes, ATPase activity and antibiotics effects in *Enterococcus hirae* after low intensity electromagnetic irradiation of 51,8 and 53,0 GHz frequencies. *Biofizika* 58, 674–680.
- Torgomyan, H., Ohanyan, V., Blbulyan, S., Kalantaryan, V., Trchouanian, A., 2012. Electromagnetic irradiation of *Enterococcus hirae* at low-intensity 51.8- and 53.0-GHz frequencies: changes in bacterial cell membrane properties and enhanced antibiotics effects. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 329, 131–137.
- Torgomyan, H., Tadevosyan, H., Trchouanian, A., 2011. Extremely high frequency electromagnetic irradiation in combination with antibiotics enhances antibacterial effects

- on Escherichia coli. *Curr. Microbiol.* 62, 962–967.
- Torgomyan, H., Trchounian, A., 2015. The enhanced effects of antibiotics irradiated of extremely high frequency electromagnetic field on Escherichia coli growth properties. *Cell Biochem. Biophys.* 71, 419–424.
- Tuomilehto, J., 2013. The emerging global epidemic of type 1 diabetes. *Curr. Diabetes Rep.* 13, 795–804.
- Turedi, S., Hancı, H., Colakoglu, S., Kaya, H., Odaci, E., 2016. Disruption of the ovarian follicle reservoir of prepubertal rats following prenatal exposure to a continuous 900-MHz electromagnetic field. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 92, 329–337.
- Urbinello, D., Joseph, W., Huss, A., Verloock, L., Beekhuizen, J., Vermeulen, R., Martens, L., Roosli, M., 2014. Radio-frequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) exposure levels in different European outdoor urban environments in comparison with regulatory limits. *Environ. Int.* 68, 49–54.
- Usichenko, T.I., Edinger, H., Gizhko, V.V., Lehmann, C., Wendt, M., Feyerherd, F., 2006. Low-intensity electromagnetic millimeter waves for pain therapy. *Evid.-Based Complementary Altern. Med.: eCAM* 3, 201–207.
- Usichenko, T.I., Ivashkivsky, O.I., Gizhko, V.V., 2003. Treatment of rheumatoid arthritis with electromagnetic millimeter waves applied to acupuncture points—a randomized double blind clinical study. *Acupunct. Electro-Ther. Res.* 28, 11–18.
- Volkova, N.A., Pavlovich, E.V., Gapon, A.A., Nikolov, O.T., 2014. Effects of millimeter-wave electromagnetic exposure on the morphology and function of human cryopreserved spermatozoa. *Bull. Exp. Biol. Med.* 157, 574–576.
- Wang, Z., Wang, L., Zheng, S., Ding, Z., Liu, H., Jin, W., Pan, Y., Chen, Z., Fei, Y., Chen, G., Xu, Z., Yu, Y., 2016. Effects of electromagnetic fields on serum lipids in workers of a power plant. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 23, 2495–2504.
- Wdowiak, A., Wdowiak, L., Wiktor, H., 2007. Evaluation of the effect of using mobile phones on male fertility. *Ann. Agric. Environ. Med.: AAEM* 14, 169–172.
- WHO, 2017. Non-Communicable Diseases – Fact Sheet No. 355. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland(updated June 2017 available at: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs355/en/>).
- Wild, C.P., 2012. The exposome: from concept to utility. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 41, 24–32.
- Wu, S., Powers, S., Zhu, W., Hannun, Y.A., 2016. Substantial contribution of extrinsic risk factors to cancer development. *Nature* 529, 43–47.
- Xie, T., Pei, J., Cui, Y., Zhang, J., Qi, H., Chen, S., Qiao, D., 2011. EEG changes as heat stress reactions in rats irradiated by high intensity 35 GHz millimeter waves. *Health Phys.* 100, 632–640.
- Yaekashiwa, N., Otsuki, S., Hayashi, S., Kawase, K., 2017. Investigation of the non-thermal effects of exposing cells to 70–300 GHz irradiation using a widely tunable source. *J. Radiat. Res. (Tokyo)*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jrr/rxx075>. [Epub ahead of print].
- Yakymenko, I., Tsybulin, O., Sidorik, E., Henshel, D., Kyrylenko, O., Kyrylenko, S., 2016. Oxidative mechanisms of biological activity of low-intensity radiofrequency radiation. *Electromagn. Biol. Med.* 35, 186–202.
- Yoon, S., Choi, J.W., Lee, E., An, H., Choi, H.D., Kim, N., 2015. Mobile phone use and risk of glioma: a case-control study in Korea for 2002–2007. *Environ. Health Toxicol.* 30, e2015015.
- Zalata, A., El-Samanoudy, A.Z., Shaalan, D., El-Baiomy, Y., Mostafa, T., 2015. In vitro effect of cell phone radiation on motility, DNA fragmentation and clusterin gene expression in human sperm. *Int. J. Fertilil. Steril.* 9, 129–136.
- Zhadobov, M., Alekseev, S.I., Le Drean, Y., Sauleau, R., Fesenko, E.E., 2015. Millimeter waves as a source of selective heating of skin. *Bioelectromagnetics* 36, 464–475.
- Zhang, Y., She, F., Li, L., Chen, C., Xu, S., Luo, X., Li, M., He, M., Yu, Z., 2013. p25/CDK5 is partially involved in neuronal injury induced by radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure. *Int. J. Radiat. Biol.* 89, 976–984.
- Ziskin, M.C., 2013. Millimeter waves: acoustic and electromagnetic. *Bioelectromagnetics* 34, 3–14.
- Zubko, O., Gould, R.L., Gay, H.C., Cox, H.J., Coulson, M.C., Howard, R.J., 2017. Effects of electromagnetic fields emitted by GSM phones on working memory: a meta-analysis. *Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 32, 125–135.