

THE INTERNATIONAL SCIENCE AND EVIDENCE BASED EDUCATION ASSESSMENT Education 2030



Published in 2022 by the United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization | Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Education for Peace and Sustainable Development, 35 Ferozshah Road, New Delhi 110001, India © UNESCO MGIEP 2022

ISBN 978-93-91756-04-8



This publication is available in Open Access under the Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO (CC-BY-SA 3.0 IGO) licence (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/igo/). By using the content of this publication, the users accept to be bound by the terms of use of the UNESCO Open Access Repository (http://www.unesco.org/open-access/terms-use-ccbysa-en).

The designations employed and the presentation of material throughout this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of UNESCO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The ideas and opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors; they are not necessarily those of UNESCO and do not commit the Organization.

Cover illustration: Prasun Mazumdar Design | https://pmdindia.in/ Graphic design: Prasun Mazumdar Design | https://pmdindia.in/ Cover design: Prasun Mazumdar Design | https://pmdindia.in/ Illustrations: Prasun Mazumdar Design | https://pmdindia.in/ Typeset by: Prasun Mazumdar Design | https://pmdindia.in/ Printed by: Lustra Printing Press Edited by: The Editorial Collective | http://www.editorialcollective.com.au/

Printed in New Delhi, India

The publication can be cited as: Duraiappah, A.K.,* van Atteveldt, N.M.,* Borst, G., Bugden, S., Ergas, O., Gilead, T., Gupta, L., Mercier, J., Pugh, K., Singh, N.C. and Vickers, E. A. (eds.) (2022) *Reimagining Education: The International Science and Evidence based Education Assessment*. New Delhi: UNESCO MGIEP.

* Indicates co-first editorship, as editors have contributed equally.



REIMAGINING EDUCATION: THE INTERNATIONAL SCIENCE AND EVIDENCE BASED EDUCATION ASSESSMENT

Citation: Duraiappah, A.K.* and van Atteveldt, N.M.* (2022) Synopsis, Reimagining Education: The International Science and Evidence based Education Assessment. New Delhi: UNESCO MGIEP.

*Indicates co-first authorship, as authors have contributed equally.

THE INTERNATIONAL SCIENCE AND EVIDENCE BASED EDUCATION (ISEE) ASSESSMENT: WHY IS IT NECESSARY?

Education matters for people at all stages of life. But what is the purpose of education? This quintessential question must be asked before we can assess if our education systems are delivering on their promise. Should the goal of education be to develop human flourishing, or should it be to meet the demands of 'homo economicus'?

The way the future evolves very much depends on education. Today's mindsets on how we live, the economic and political systems we adopt, the formal and informal

rules and regulations - the governance - that societies adopt, the way we perceive environmental and social problems are all very much influenced by the type (or lack) of education provided by past and present generations. The speed at which the world is changing, especially driven by technological progress and in transitioning from an industrial to a knowledge society, suggests that education can never be static and that the discourse on education, as Dewey in 1923 asserted, 'should never come to an end'. It should be continuously evolving in response to the needs of society and the planet.

Therefore, now is the time to take stock and look ahead. A starting point is to ask two fundamental questions.

1. Are education systems serving the right purpose?

S Y N O P S I S

2. Are they equipped to address the pressing challenges we face today?

To answer these questions, a systematic assessment of the existing knowledge on education and learning is urgently needed. An assessment grounded in science¹ and evidence drawn from a multitude of disciplines, encompassing the entire complexity of learning and education, should consider the following:

- the goals of current education systems and their relevance to today's societal needs;

- the broad socio-political contexts in which education is embedded; and

- the state of the art for learning processes drawing from the sciences of learning. While other reviews and reports have addressed pieces of this complex education ecosystem, a transdisciplinary approach drawing on science and evidence is urgently needed to understand the multifaceted complex education systems across the globe. The International Science and Evidence based Education (ISEE) Assessment is the first to use an integrated conceptual framework that requires the separate streams of knowledge to be integrated to answer the two overarching questions above.

Science and evidence are now widely accepted as a necessary condition for most policymaking. The success of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) in influencing policy by bringing the best science and evidence to the table has been instrumental in shaping climate change policy. However, the road has not been smooth, with many

¹We define science as the pursuit and application of knowledge and understanding of the natural and social world following a systematic methodology based on evidence (The Science Council, https://sciencecouncil.org/about-science/our-definition-of-science/).



critics questioning the validity of the science and the evidence provided. The same can be said of the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, which brought to the fore the power of multidisciplinary science and evidence in informing policy-making for the sustainable use of biodiversity and ecosystem services for the well-being of humanity.

The field of education is no different. However, unlike in the environmental field, no previous attempts have been made to undertake an integrated transdisciplinary international assessment of science and evidence in the field of education. Education policy has been widely influenced by anecdotal information and is seldom backed up by transdisciplinary consensus science and evidence. However, our knowledge of learning processes and their bidirectional relationship with their contexts is rapidly increasing due to advancements in all disciplines addressing educational issues, and particularly over the past two decades by research from the field

of mind, brain and education. But the exchange of knowledge and information across the various disciplines working on education is challenging, as is the translation of new findings from this transdisciplinary research into educational policy.

Recognizing the need for, but absence of, a transdisciplinary approach to education and the limited use of science and evidence in education policymaking further strengthens the need for the ISEE Assessment. The term 'assessment' here refers to a critical evaluation of the state of existing knowledge on education and learning by a team of independent experts drawn from a broad range of relevant disciplines and from across the world. The knowledge base is peer-reviewed scientific literature, but also includes credible grey literature. The Assessment report consists of 25 chapters, which have undergone a blind peer-review process. It assesses findings from across disciplines through deliberative discussions amongst the team of diverse

SYNOPSIS

experts throughout the project. The accompanying Summary for Decision-Makers (SDM) addresses overarching key questions and translates the answers into policyrelevant recommendations. In addition, the Assessment highlights gaps in knowledge and suggests potential future research agendas. To be clear, the ISEE Assessment is of a very different nature from international largescale student assessments, such as the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA). Assessments like the one we present here have proved extremely fruitful in other domains (e.g. IPCC) to synthesize information available from a wide range of disciplines. This has never before been performed for education.

THE ISEE ASSESSMENT CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK AND STRUCTURE

The ISEE Assessment launched in September 2019 with an

expert meeting hosted by the Chief Scientist's Office, Quebec, Montreal and included approximately 20 scientists from around the world. Expertise was drawn from a range of education-related disciplines, such as international comparative education, human developmental and education psychology, neuroscience, cognitive science, economy and philosophy. This group gathered over three days to deliberate if an assessment of education would be beneficial, what it could contribute to education and what should be the conceptual framework. Although there were many disagreements among the experts, two common findings emerged: the need for an assessment of this nature; and the need for a transdisciplinary, multicultural and multiperspective lens to rethink the education agenda for the twenty-first century.

Developing a conceptual framework is an essential first step when undertaking an assessment of this nature. The



Figure 1. The ISEE Assessment Conceptual Framework of lifelong learning

ISEE Assessment Conceptual Framework (CF) aims to capture the key interlinkages between critical components of the education and learning system as understood by the education community represented by the group of experts convened at the first expert workshop. The CF presented above provides the basis for understanding and unpacking the complexity of the knowledge on education and learning across the world.

WORKING GROUP 1: EDUCATION AND HUMAN FLOURISHING

Working Group 1 on human flourishing unpacks Box 1 and explores the interdependency between Boxes 1 and 4 in the CF. Chapter 1 provides an overview of the working group and the rationale for the chapters presented in the volume. Chapter 1 also evaluates the concept of human flourishing and explores whether a definition can be

SYNOPSIS

used in education systems that allows context-sensitivity but still offers a common set of parameters. A main finding is that any education system for the future must acknowledge that volatility, uncertainty, complexity and ambiguity are central characteristics of our world, and education systems must rise to meet these challenges. Chapter 2 reports that since the Second World War, educational policy and, in particular, education's role in human development has advanced along two parallel tracks with the dominant pathway focusing on the economy, while the other track, which takes a broader humanistic view emphasizing non-economic and non-instrumental objectives for human flourishing, is relegated. Chapter 3 presents recent advances in cognitive and affective science that demonstrate the skills associated with flourishing can be cultivated through education, in the same way as literacy and numeracy. The chapter also outlines that about 82 per cent of teachers in teacher surveys consider there is a

disproportionate focus on exams in education in contrast to the well-being of students. A similar observation emerged with 73 per cent of parents preferring to send their children to a school where they would be happy even if their exam results were not as good as those achieved in high-stress exam oriented schools. Most students (81 per cent) indicated they wanted to learn more about how to look after their mental wellbeing.

Chapter 4 presents some perspectives and suggestions on curriculum, assessment and teaching reforms towards an education for flourishing following six curricular domains and six learning trajectories: learning to know and think, learning to do and evaluate, learning to learn, learning to live together, learning to live with nature and learning to be and become. This chapter recommends a slight adaptation of UNESCO's four pillars of education by introducing two additional pillars to equip education systems to better address today's societal and environmental



challenges. Chapter 5 completes the work of this working group by providing recommendations for strengthening schools towards an education for flourishing based on an assessment of existing school practices and environments.

WORKING GROUP 2: EDUCATION AND CONTEXT

Working Group 2 on contexts aims to understand how our social, economic and political systems influence, and are influenced by, our education systems (the interdependent link between Box 2 and Box 3 in the **CF**). Furthermore, it examines how these contextual factors relate to diverse conceptions of the purpose of education (the interdependent link between Box 1 and Box 2). The first four chapters look at the macro level: the social, political, economic and environmental contextual factors the group considers as having a critical influence in the design of education systems across the globe. The group looked at the political economy of education, as well as how global social phenomena such

as colonialism and more recently climate change and sustainability issues have influenced education systems. These chapters look at how equitable education systems have been over the past fifty years and develop interesting insights into how meritocracy frequently touted today as the great equalizer – actually threatens the equity and sustainability of education systems, fuelling acute competitive intensity and narrowing the experience of learning for millions. The concept of 'hereditary meritocracy' is shown to be a rising trend among Ivy League educational institutions in the United States, where the majority of the students are from the top 1 per cent of the income distribution while a minority come from households in the bottom 60 per cent. In addition, the chapter informs how socio-economic disparities affect the learning of the over 1 billion children who are impacted by poverty.

Chapter 2 on environmental contexts highlights the limitations

SYNOPSIS

of approaches to 'education for sustainable development', given that education remains wedded to a fundamentally human capital oriented vision looking at nature purely from an instrumentalist view rather than as an existential and intrinsic element of human flourishing. An important dimension in today's education systems is the notion of conflict and its implications for education. Chapter 5 reports that the psychological impact of conflict (and related, trauma and poverty) on learning is huge and that, as far as possible, education systems must recognize and accommodate these impacts when designing curriculum, assessments and teacher training. Approximately 37 per cent of primary school aged refugee children are out of school, while only 24 per cent have access to secondary education and a dismal 3 per cent to higher education. Both Chapters 5 and 8 (on curriculum) stress the role that education can and often does play in causing conflict, through fostering intolerance, xenophobia and societal division.

Chapters 6 and 7 of Working Group 2 then address the nature and extent of recent advances in neuroscience and technology as these relate to education, assessing how developments in these fields have both influenced, and have been influenced by, contextual factors (political, commercial, cultural, etc.). The final set of three chapters assesses how contexts have shaped, and are shaped by, key institutional features of our education systems that include curriculum and pedagogy (Chapter 8), assessment (Chapter 9) and the teaching profession (Chapter 10). These chapters elaborate how curriculum, assessment and teacher training are influenced by the political, social and economic climate in which education systems are embedded. Taken as a whole, the analysis presented in Working Group 2, while underlining the crucial importance of education in today's world, also reminds us of education's darker aspects (e.g. its potential to fuel conflict, as well as ameliorate it) and of its limitations as a resource for solving the world's problems if the contextual factors are



not aligned towards peace and sustainability. A key conclusion is the need to balance hope in education's transformative potential with awareness that fully realizing its capacity to promote human flourishing requires farreaching changes in our political and socio-economic order.

WORKING GROUP 3: EDUCATION AND THE LEARNING EXPERIENCE

Working Group 3 on the learning experience assesses the relationship between the 'what', 'how', 'where' and 'when' of learning, and how they relate to UNESCO's pillars of education, in light of state-ofthe-art evidence from the science of learning, and studies of the socio-economic, environmental and other challenges we face today (the interdependent links between Box 4 with Boxes 3 and 1 in the CF). Building on the definition of education and learning as a 'relational' process (Working Group 1) and insights from brain imaging studies, the role of social and emotional learning (SEL) is incorporated into all four aspects of learning. Chapter 4 on social and emotional foundations of learning highlights that the learning experience at the individual level is intrinsically cognitive, emotional and social, as there is no clear dissociation between cognitive and emotional functions of the brain; rather learning occurs from the interconnectedness of neural networks across many functions. The chapter reports that although SEL improves learning outcomes by 7 to 11 per cent, it only constitutes about 7 and 4 per cent of learning in primary and secondary education respectively.

Chapter 2 on brain development and maturation highlights the non-linear nature of brain development and learning as a result of a lifelong dynamic and mutually interacting interplay between nature and nurture, contrary to the long-held belief in the competing forces between biology and culture. Although the themes of individual differences and learning differences overlap to some extent, experts from Working **Group 3** strongly felt that separate chapters on individual differences and learning differences and

S Y N O P S I S

disabilities were needed. Therefore, Chapter 3 provides new evidence demonstrating that individual differences in human development and learning arise from reciprocal interactions between biological, psychological and sociological factors. It calls for an integrated multidisciplinary approach to the study of human development, and its conceptualization in education. Chapter 4 provides details of SEL, what it entails and offers to the learning experience. The chapter underscores the high returns to investment in SEL and its contribution to not only academic achievement but also to social issues such as bullying, substance abuse, aggression, and depression, among others. Chapter 5 emphasizes the importance of building a strong foundation of academic skills, such as literacy and numeracy, to scaffold other skills and develop flourishing. This underscores the importance of the integration of SEL with the more traditional competencies of literacy and numeracy within education systems to reach for human flourishing, which we call the 'whole-brain approach'.

The chapter also emphasizes the importance of mother tongue instruction in the first formative years before second languages are introduced to achieve the best possible learning outcomes while highlighting the findings of the **2016 UNESCO Global Monitoring Report** that about 40 per cent of the global population does not have access to instruction in the language they understand.

Chapter 6 raises important questions relating to inclusive education versus special needs education and presents findings suggesting that care should be taken when designing inclusive education policies. Emphasizing that one in every five to ten children expresses some form of learning difference such as dyslexia or dyscalculia, it highlights that particular attention should be given to disabilities that are invisible but significantly affect learning. About 40 per cent of countries do not collect data on prevalence, school attendance and school completion for students with disabilities/differences, limiting informed and effective



policy-making to close gaps in access and learning under the inclusive education umbrella. The call for universal, preventive screening emerges as a clear policy recommendation, while also recognizing that careful implementation is essential. **Chapter 7** addresses 'where we learn' and explores how built spaces, natural spaces and digital spaces affect learning. It looks at the roles of these different kinds of spaces for learning, attainment, interpersonal relationships, skills development, well-being and behaviours across UNESCO's four pillars of education. The chapter also explores how learning spaces can be actively shaped, felt and understood through practices and policies that occur within and around them.

WORKING GROUP 4: EDUCATION -DATA AND EVIDENCE

The ISEE Assessment was initiated with the idea of using science and evidence as its founding pillars. However, we soon noticed that the terms evidence and data

prompted a slew of questions and clarifications that we did not anticipate. Recognizing the diversity of views and perspectives of what a science and evidence based assessment means, a small group of experts was commissioned to provide more clarity and guidance on what evidence means and how data can and should be used in education practice and policymaking. This working group's focus is on seeking the best way to provide answers to the questions: what worked?; what is working best generally?; and will a given intervention work here and now? A new taxonomy of eight tiers or levels of evidence guides matching available evidence to these questions and assess the strength of this evidence. The experts in this group provide a deeper understanding of how effect size and consistency of effect sizes influence learning outcomes, and how they can - and cannot - be used in practice and policy guidance. They also illustrate the potential of this modern approach to evidence based education by discussing the EEF (Education

SYNOPSIS

Endowment Fund) Evidence Database, effectively providing a proof of concept regarding some of the key ideas put forward as the new norm.

Working Group 4, in particular Chapter 3, highlights the importance of understanding and interpreting uncertainty. The concepts of p-values and statistical significance, together with confidence intervals, are explained and recommended as the new standard practice to be used when presenting empirical evidence in support of practice and policy-making. The core finding from Working Group 4 is that science and evidence based education practice and decisionmaking are evolving into a more complex set of questions, but are potentially very fruitful undertakings, for which it is key to understand the limitations of extant data and evidence in striving to create, obtain and use recent evidence. A clear and transparent discourse surrounding the assumptions and caveats in the analysis should always be provided so that practitioners and decisionmakers are aware of limitations and uncertainties.

GOVERNANCE AND SOCIAL PROCESS OF THE ISEE ASSESSMENT

The ISEE Assessment is a first of its kind for the field of education. Most studies reviewing education and learning primarily take a single disciplinary lens with very little collaboration, especially across traditional educational study disciplines and the newer science of learning disciplines. A key component for a successful endeavour of this nature is mutual respect and acceptance of multiple perspectives and a culture of 'agree to disagree'. In addition, an open culture is needed in which experts keep an open mind, truly listen to others and are fearless in asking questions to ensure transparency in assumptions and terminology. Finally, there must be a process in place to facilitate consensus building across all experts in order to create a synthesis of findings to be used by policy-



makers. Achieving the above will strengthen education systems and facilitate learning for the benefit of the individual and society.

An Advisory Board guided by two co-chairs was formed, comprising eminent persons from academia, business and policy, to provide support and guidance to the Assessment. The primary function was to ensure the relevance and credibility of the Assessment exercise. The overall scientific work of the Assessment was guided by the two Assessment co-chairs, one from the social sciences and the other from the natural sciences. The primary responsibility of the Assessment co-chairs was to ensure smooth collaboration across the various disciplines within and across working groups and to ensure the strictest scientific rigour was applied to the Assessment exercise. The co-chairs also were responsible for synthesizing the Assessment findings in the SDM document and a shorter headliners document that conveys the key messages and policy recommendations from the ISEE Assessment.

Each working group had two senior co-chairs supported by a junior co-chair, always combining experts from traditional educational studies and the sciences of learning community. Recruitment for these positions was a non-trivial process. Many early invitations were politely rejected because the work was outside those individuals' comfort zones, as well as requiring them to find common ground and come to shared consensual conclusions with experts and scientists outside their own communities and bubbles. This in itself was an important finding as a new social contract for education is designed and implemented by member countries in response to **UNESCO's Futures of Education** report released in November 2021.

Once the group leaders were identified, the arduous process of identifying the authors and structure of the chapters for the various working groups took place. The tendency to identify familiar faces and colleagues was only natural and therefore stringent requirements for each chapter

SYNOPSIS

to ideally have at the minimum two disciplines represented were established, alongside the strong recommendation to reach a representative author team in terms of geographic location and gender. However, the process was not always perfect and sometimes a chapter has leaned further towards a particular discipline or perspective than we ideally would have liked.

In order to minimize disciplinary bias but also to ensure scientific credibility, a blind peer-review process was put in place. Review editors, again from different disciplines, were identified to oversee the review process to ensure legitimacy, credibility and the optimal selection of the most appropriate reviewers for each of the chapters across all four working groups. The secretariat overseeing the logistics of the assessment was responsible for compiling the review comments and supporting the review editors to ensure all comments were adequately addressed by the respective chapter authors before they were approved for publication.

THE OUTPUTS

The results of the ISEE Assessment are presented in four volumes, each presenting the findings from each of the four working groups. As mentioned earlier, three working groups present state-of-the-art knowledge on education and learning based on the CF developed for the ISEE Assessment, and one on the meaning and use of data and evidence. Needless to say, there are many interlinkages across these working groups and attempts have been made to insert crossreferences where necessary.

The SDM is an essential output from the ISEE Assessment. The SDM is presented not as a summary of each working group, but as a synthesis across all the working groups. The SDM is structured along five key questions of relevance for policy-makers. This involved 'harvesting' the answers to each question from all four volumes and presenting them in an integrated fashion that reflects the complexity and



interconnectedness among the various components within the education sector. The SDM presents the overarching key messages, findings and recommendations that emerge from the full ISEE Assessment report.

A headliners document forms part of the overall package, providing a brief overview and reflecting the key take-home messages and policy recommendations. It is meant to offer a snapshot of the ISEE Assessment and is a quick reference primarily for decisionmakers and policy-makers.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The ISEE Assessment is a first for the education sector. It brings together a critical mass of experts and scientists working in the field of education. The process of bringing together over 300 experts and scientists from a range of disciplines has been a challenging task but offers an exciting learning experience of transdisciplinary collaboration within education. The two-anda-half year journey produced new insights but, more importantly, provides the basis for future such assessments. The assessment process and the findings suggest that transdisciplinary research and collaboration is a necessary condition for any education policy-making, especially at the global level. The insights emerging when a range of disciplines combine their relevant research and perspectives are invaluable, offering understandings that sometimes contradict conventional intuitions. It is also important to emphasize the process of consensus building among experts coming from multiple disciplines on findings which might be controversial or uncertain.

This first assessment highlights the richness of evidence and data on learning and education systems, but it also demonstrates how fragmented and compartmentalized these are across the world. Another key observation from the Assessment

SYNOPSIS

is that many of the experts and scientists were uncomfortable assigning confidence levels to the findings and the subsequent recommendations. This will need attention if we are to ground the science of learning into education policy-making. An international science organization representing multiple disciplines with a mandate on education should ideally carry out an assessment like the ISEE Assessment periodically in the future.

In 2021 UNESCO called for a new social contract in 'Reimagining Our Futures together: A New Social Contract for Education'. We are optimistic that the take-home messages, key findings and policy recommendations put forward by the ISEE Assessment will guide countries across the globe when designing the blueprint for this new social contract. An education for human flourishing using a whole-brain, learnercentric approach acknowledges the interconnectedness between cognitive, social and emotional dimensions, and how these are influenced heavily by societal and contextual factors. Furthermore, recognizing and understanding the vast individual differences in development and learning is key when designing any social contract on education in any part of the world.

A U T H O R S



Abigail Hackett, Manchester Metropolitan University a.hackett@mmu.ac.uk

Adam Wood, University College London a.wood@ucl.ac.uk

Adriano Linzarini, UNESCO MGIEP adriano.linzarini@protonmail.com

Akiyoshi Yonezawa, Tohoku University akiyoshi.yonezawa.a4@tohoku.ac.jp

Alaidde Berenice Villanueva Aguilera, Durham University alaidde.b.villanueva@durham.ac.uk

Alejandra Cristina Mizala, Universidad de Chile amizala@uchile.cl

Alejandrina Cristia, Ecole normale supérieure (ENS) alecristia@gmail.com

Alex Wilson, University of Saskatchewan alex.wilson@usask.ca Alida Hudson, The Ohio State University, hudson.634@osu.edu

Amber Gove, RTI International agove@rti.org

Amy Ellis-Thompson, Education Endowment Foundation amy.ellis-thompson@ eefoundation.org.uk

Anantha K. Duraiappah, UNESCO MGIEP ak.duraiappah@unesco.org

Andrew Fuligni, University of California, Los Angeles afuligni@ucla.edu

Angela H. Gutchess, Brandeis University, gutchess@brandeis.edu

Angela Page, University of Newcastle apage1@newcastle.edu.au

Angus Hikairo Macfarlane, University of Canterbury angus.macfarlane@canterbury.ac.nz Ann Dowker, University of Oxford ann. dowker@psy.ox.ac.uk

Anna Bull, University of York anna.bull@york.ac.uk

Anna H. Miller, Vanderbilt University anna.h.miller@vanderbilt.edu

Anna Hickey-Moody, RMIT University anna.hickey-moody@rmit.edu.au

Anna Lucia Campos, Educational Association for Human Development and IMCE - Instituto Mente, Cerebro & Educación acampos@imce.la

Anne Castles, Macquarie University anne.castles@mq.edu.au

Annelinde Vandenbroucke, Leiden University a.r.e.vandenbroucke@fsw. leidenuniv.nl

Annouchka Bayley, University of Cambridge acb218@cam.ac.uk

A U T H O R S

Antoni Verger Planellsdni, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona antoni.verger@uab.cat

Anya Chakraborty, UNESCO MGIEP anya.c.calcutta@gmail.com

Arjen Wals, Wageningen University, arjen.wals@wur.nl

Arnaud Cachia, LaPsyDÉ, Université de Paris arnaud.cachia@parisdescartes.fr

Arthur Graesser, University of Memphis graesser@memphis.edu

Baiba Martinsone, University of Latvia baiba.martinsone@lu.lv

Bassel Akar, Notre Dame University bassel.akar@gmail.com

Beatrice Ávalos-Bevan, Universidad de Chile bavalos254@gmail.com

Ben Williamson, University of Edinburgh ben.williamson@ed.ac.uk Berna Güroğlu, Leiden University bguroglu@@fsw.leidenuniv.nl

Betony Clasby, University of Sheffield beclasby1@sheffield.ac.uk

Bilen Mekonnen Araya, Queen's University 18bma7@queensu.ca

Bowen Paulle, University of Amsterdam b.paulle@uva.nl

Brianna Doherty, University of California, San Francisco brianna.doherty@ucsf.edu

Carlo Perrotta, Monash University carlo.perrotta@monash.edu

Carmel Cefai, University of Malta carmel.cefai@um.edu.mt

Carmen Strigel, RTI International cstrigel@rti.org Carolien Rieffe, Leiden University; University of Twente, Enschede; and University College London crieffe@fsw.leidenuniv.nl

Catherine Burke, University of Cambridge cb552@cam.ac.uk

Catherine Elizabeth Draper, University of the Witwatersrand catherine.draper@wits.ac.za

Catherine McBride, Chinese University of Hong Kong cammiemcbridechang@gmail.com

Catriona O'Toole, Maynooth University Catriona.a.otoole@mu.ie

Caylee Cook, University of the Witwatersrand caylee.cook@wits.ac.za

Chaise LaDousa, Hamilton College cladousa@hamilton.edu

Charles H. Hillman, Northeastern University c.hillman@northeastern.edu



Cheryl Teelucksingh, Ryerson University teeluck@ryerson.ca

Chi Zhang The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou) chizhang@ust.hkn

Christine Horn, RMIT University christine.horn@rmit.edu.au

Christopher Boyle, The University of Adelaide chris.boyle@adelaide.edu.au

Clancy Blair, New York University, clancy.blair@nyu.edu

Clarence W. Joldersma, Calvin University cjolders@calvin.edu

Colter Mitchell, University of Michigan cmsm@umich.edu

Crystal Day-Hess, University of Denver crystal.day-hess@du.edu Dale L. Albanese, National Sun Yat-sen University albanese@mail.nsysu.edu.tw

Daniel Johnson Mardones, Universidad de Chile djohnson@educarchile.cl

Daphne Oluwasen Martschenko, Stanford University daphnem@stanford.edu

Dave L. Edyburn, University of Wisconsin – Milwaukee edyburn@uwm.edu

David A.G. Clarke, University of Edinburgh david.clarke@ed.ac.uk

David Stovall, University of Illinois at Chicago dostoval@uic.edu

Denis Le Bihan, French Alternative Energies and Atomic Energy Commission denis.lebihan@gmail.com

Doret de Ruyter, University of Humanistic Studies d.deruyter@uvh.nl Douglas H. Clements, University of Denver, Denver douglas.clements@du.edu

Dusana Dorjee, University of York dusana.dorjee@york.ac.uk

Dzulkifli Abdul Razak, International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM) dzulrazak@iium.edu.my

Edward A. Vickers, Kyushu University edvickers08@googlemail.com

Efrat Eilam, Victoria University efrat.eilam@vu.edu.au

Elena L. Grigorenko, University of Houston, Houston elena.grigorenko@times.uh.edu

Eleni A. Kyza, Cyprus University of Technology eleni.kyza@cut.ac.cy

Elsje van Bergen, VU University Amsterdam e.van.bergen@vu.nl

A U T H O R S

Emma Sian Dobson, Durham University e.s.dobson@durham.ac.uk

Eric Herring, University of Bristol eric.herring@bristol.ac.uk

Erica Southgate, University of Newcastle erica.southgate@newcastle.edu.au

Fikile Nxumalo, University of Toronto f.nxumalo@utoronto.ca

Franklin N. Glozah, University of Ghana fglozah@ug.edu.gh

Gabrielle Ivinson, Manchester Metropolitan University g.ivinson@mmu.ac.uk

Gail Rosenbaum, New York University gailrosenbaum@nyu.edu

Grégoire Borst, LaPsyDÉ, Université de Paris gregoire.borst@parisdescartes.fr

Gregory Mannion, University of Stirling greg.mannion@stir.ac.uk Guillermo Rodriguez-Guzman, Center for Homelessness Impact guillermo@homelessnessimpact.org

Hannah Blausten, Education Endowment Foundation hannah.blausten@eefoundation. org.uk

Harry Daniels, University of Oxford harry.daniels@education.ox.ac.uk

Harry Madgwick, Education Endowment Foundation harry.madgwick@eefoundation.org. uk

Heather Aldersey, Queen's University hma@queensu.ca

Heila Lotz-Sisitka, Rhodes University h.lotz-sisitka@ru.ac.za

Hilary F. Emery, University of Oxford, Formerly CEO of National Children's Bureau hilary.emery@btconnect.com

Hope Kent, University of Exeter hnk201@exeter.ac.uk Hsu-Chan Kuo, National Cheng Kung University kentcre8@mail.ncku.edu.tw

Indrajit Gunasekara, University of Hawaii-West Oahu indrajit@hawaii.edu

Inny Accioly, Fluminense Federal University innya@id.uff.br

Iris Bourgault Bouthillier, Université du Québec à Montréal (UQAM) bourgault_bouthillier.iris@uqam.ca

Jacqueline Specht, Western University jspecht@uwo.ca

Jae Hyung Park, The Education University of Hong Kong jpark@eduhk.hk

James Tonks, University of Exeter j.tonks@exeter.ac.uk

Jamie Mcphie, University of Cumbria jamie.mcphie@cumbria.ac.uk



Jason Ritter, Duquesne University ritterj@duq.edu

Jelena Obradović, Stanford University jelena.obradovic@stanford.edu

Jenny Gibson, University of Cambridge jlg53@cam.ac.uk

Jessica Dubois, Université de Paris jessica.dubois@inserm.fr

Jessica Pykett, University of Birmingham j.pykett@bham.ac.uk Jiaxian Zhou, East China Normal University jxzhou@psy.ecnu.edu.cn

Jill Blackmore, Deakin University jillian.blackmore@deakin.edu.au

Jing-Jyi Wu, National Chengchi University jjwu@nccu.edu.tw

Jo Hickman Dunne, Lancaster University jo.hickmandunne@youthimpact.uk Jo Rey, Macquarie University jo.rey@mq.edu.au

Jo Van Herwegen, University College London j.vanherwegen@ucl.ac.uk

Joan Y. Chiao, Northwestern University jc@cngmh.org

Joanna A. Christodoulou, Harvard University and MGH Institute of Health Professions jac765@mail.harvard.edu

Joanna Anderson, University of New England jander62@une.edu.au

Joanne Marieke Buil, UNESCO MGIEP j.m.buil@hr.nl

John Ehrenfeld, MIT (Retired) john.ehrenfeld@alum.mit.edu

John Lupinacci, Washington State University john.lupinacci@wsu.edu

John Sabatini, University of Memphis jpsbtini@memphis.edu Jonathan Kay, Education Endowment Foundation jonathan.kay@eefoundation.org.uk

Julia Jansen-van Vuuren, Queen's University 17jmjv@queensu.ca

Julian Sefton-Green, Deakin University julian.seftongreen@deakin.edu.au

Julie Sarama, University of Denver, julie.sarama@du.edu

Julien Mercier, Université du Québec à Montréal mercier.julien@uqam.ca

Jun Xie, Kyushu University xie.jun.679@m.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Kaja Jasińska, University of Toronto kaja.jasinska@utoronto.ca

Kalervo Gulson, University of Sydney kalervo.gulson@sydney.edu.au

Kaori H. Okano, La Trobe University k.okano@latrobe.edu.au

A U T H O R S

Karina V. Korostelina, George Mason University ckoroste@gmu.edu

Karl Friston, University College London k.friston@ucl.ac.uk

Kate O'Brien, Manchester Metropolitan University katherine.obrien@mmu.ac.uk

Kathryn Paige Harden, University of Texas at Austin harden@utexas.edu

Kenneth Pugh, Yale University and Haskins Laboratories kenneth.pugh@yale.edu

Kim Allen, University of Leeds k.allen1@leeds.ac.uk

Kimberly A. Schonert-Reichl, University of British Colombia kimberly.schonert-reichl@ubc.ca

Kimberley G. Noble, Teachers College, Columbia University in the city of New York noble2@tc.columbia.edu Kriti Singh, UNESCO MGIEP k.singh@unesco.org

Latika Gupta, University of Delhi latikasgupta@gmail.com

Layne Kalbfleisch, George Washington University; Northern New Mexico College layne.2e@gmail.com

Lelya Troncoso Pérez, Universidad de Chile lelyatroncoso@uchile.cl

Lesley LL Le Grange, Stellenbosch University llg@sun.ac.za

Lien Peters, University of Western Ontario lien.peters4@gmail.com

Lily Steyer, Stanford University lsteyer@stanford.edu

Linda S. Siegel, The University of British Columbia linda.siegel@ubc.ca Lindsay G. Oades, University of Melbourne lindsay.oades@unimelb.edu.au

Lisa Flook, University of Wisconsin-Madison Davis flook@wisc.edu

Louise Gascoine, Durham University louise.gascoine@durham.ac.uk

Ludo Verhoeven, Radboud University Nijmegen ludo.verhoeven@ru.nl

Manoj Chandrasekharan, University of Memphis manoj.c@memphis.edu

Marc F. Buck, Helmut Schmidt University buckm@hsu-hh.de

Marc Joanisse, The University of Western Ontario marcj@uwo.ca

Marcia A. Barnes, Vanderbilt University marcia.barnes@vanderbilt.edu



Marcia McKenzie, University of Melbourne marcia.mckenzie@unimelb.edu.au

Margaret Somerville, Western Sydney University margaret.somerville@ westernsydney.edu.au

Maria Katsipataki, Durham University maria.katsipataki@durham.ac.uk

Maria Poulou, University of Patras mpoulou@upatras.gr

Marissa Willcox, RMIT University marissa.willcox@rmit.edu.au

Mark Bray, East China Normal University and University of Hong Kong mbray@hku.hk

Martha Chaves, Fundación Mentes en Transición marthacecilia.chaves@gmail.com

Michael Tusiime, University of Rwanda krwibasira@yahoo.com Milene Bonte, Maastricht University m.bonte@maastrichtuniversity.nl

Mindy Blaise, Edith Cowan University m.blaise@ecu.edu.au

Mohammad Zaman, Education Endowment Foundation Mohammad.Zaman@ eefoundation.org.uk

Mohsen Saadatmand, UNESCO MGIEP saadatmand.m@gmail.com

Moses Oladele Ogunniran, UNESCO MGIEP ogunniranmoses1985@yahoo.com

Nadine Gaab, Harvard University, nadine_gaab@gse.harvard.edu

Nandini Chatterjee Singh, UNESCO MGIEP n.chatterjee@unesco.org

Natalia Rojas, NYU Langone Health, natalia.rojas@nyulangone.org

Navjit Gaurav, Queen's University 19ng7@queensu.ca Neil Humphrey, University of Manchester neil.humphrey@manchester.ac.uk

Neil Selwyn, University of Manchester neil.selwyn@monash.edu

Nicole Patton Terry, Florida Center for Reading Research & Florida State University npattonterry@fsu.edu

Nienke M. van Atteveldt, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam n.m.van.atteveldt@vu.nl

Ola Uduku, University of Liverpool o.uduku@liverpool.ac.uk

Oren Ergas, Beit Berl College oren.ergas@beitberl.ac.il

Padma M. Sarangapani, Tata Institute of Social Sciences psarangapani@tiss.edu

Pallawi Sinha, University of Suffolk p.sinha@uos.ac.uk

Pamela Burnard, The University of Cambridge pab61@cam.ac.uk

A U T H O R S

Patrice M. Bain patrice@patricebain.com

Patricia-Anne Blanchet, Université de Sherbrooke patricia-anne.blanchet@ USherbrooke.ca

Paul Howard-Jones, University of Bristol paul.howard-jones@bristol.ac.uk

Paul K. Steinle, American Institutes for Research psteinle@air.org

Pauliina Rautio, University of Oulu pauliina.rautio@oulu.fi

Peter Goodyear, University of Sydney peter.goodyear@sydney.edu.au

Peter Kraftl, University of Birmingham p.kraftl@bham.ac.uk

Pierina Cheung, National Institute of Education pierina.cheung@nie.edu.sg R. Malatesha Joshi, Texas A&M University, mjoshi@tamu.edu

Radhika Iyengar, Columbia University iyengar@ei.columbia.edu

Raihani Raihani, Sultan Syarif Kasim State Islamic University of Riau raihani@uin-suska.ac.id

Raul Olmo Fregoso Bailón, The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley raul.fregosobailon@ utrgv.edu

Rebecca J. Collie, University of New South Wales rebecca.collie@unsw.edu.au

Rebecca J.M. Gotlieb, University of California, rgotlieb@ucla.edu

Rebecca Merkley, UNESCO MGIEP rebeccamerkley@cunet.carleton.ca

Reinhard Pekrun, University of Essex pekrun@lmu.de Richard Tucker, Deakin University richard.tucker@deakin.edu.au

Robert Talbert, Grand Valley State University talbertr@gvsu.edu

Robert William Roeser, Pennsylvania State University rwr15@psu.edu

Rogier A. Kievit, Radboud University Medical Center rogier.kievit@radboudumc.nl

Rongxiu Wu, UNESCO MGIEP rwu227@g.uky.edu

Ros McLellan, University of Cambridge rwm11@cam.ac.uk

Rosiana Lagi, The University of the South Pacific lagi_r@usp.ac.fj

Rosie Flewitt, Manchester Metropolitan University r.flewitt@mmu.ac.uk



Rosie Parnell, University of Newcastle rosie.parnell@ncl.ac.uk

Ruben Dario Pardo Santamaria, Universidad del Quindío rdpardo@uniquindio.edu.co

Rupal Patel, Education Endowment Foundation rupal.patel@eefoundation.org.uk;

Sara Hart, Florida State University shart@fcrr.org

Sarah Fishstrom, The University of Texas at Austin sarah.fishstrom@utexas.edu

Sarah Howard, University of Wollongong sahoward@uow.edu.au

Sarah Strader, Two Rabbits sarah.strader2@gmail.com

Sebastian J. Lipina, Unidad de Neurobiología Aplicada (UNA, CEMIC-CONICET) lipina@gmail.com Sharon Vaughn, University of Texas at Austin srvaughn@austin.utexas.edu

Sharon Wolf, University of Pennsylvania wolfs@upenn.edu

Shunsuke Managi, Kyushu University managi.s@gmail.com

Sidarta Ribeiro, Brain Institute, Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte (UFRN) sidartaribeiro@neuro.ufrn.br

Sigrid Hartong, Helmut Schmidt University hartongs@hsu-hh.de

Smita Kumar, Independent Educator & Researcher smitkp108@gmail.com

Sonia Guerriero, UNESCO s.guerriero@unesco.org

Soren Christensen, Aarhus University socr@edu.au.dk Stephanie Bugden, University of Winnipeg bugden@uwinnipeg.ca

Steve E. Higgins, Durham University s.e.higgins@durham.ac.uk

Steve Sider, Wilfrid Laurier University ssider@wlu.ca

Susan Germein, Western Sydney University s.germein@westernsydney.edu.au

Sybille Lammes, Leiden University s.lammes@hum.leidenuniv.nl

Taha Rajab, Durham University taha.rajab@durham.ac.uk

Tal Gilead, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem tal.gilead@mail.huji.ac.il

Tejendra Pherali, University College London t.pherali@ucl.ac.uk

A U T H O R S

Tenelle Porter, University of California tjporter@ucdavis.edu

Teresa Iuculano, Université de Paris, La Sorbonne and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique teresa.iuculano@u-paris.fr

Thérèse Jay, Paris Descartes University therese.jay@inserm.fr

Thomas Macintyre, UNESCO MGIEP thomas.macintyre@gmail.com

Tina Grotzer, Harvard University tina_grotzer@harvard.edu

Valeria Cavioni, University of Milano-Bicocca valeria.cavioni@unimib.it

Vanessa Rodriguez, NYU Grossman School of Medicine vanessa.rodriguez@ nyulangone.org

Victoria Goodyear, University of Birmingham v.a.goodyear@bham.ac.uk Vinay Kathotia, The Open University vinay.kathotia@open.ac.uk

Vlad Glaveanu, Webster University Geneva glaveanu@webster.ch

W. Huw Williams, University of Exeter w.h.williams@exeter.ac.uk

William Pinar, The University of British Columbia william.pinar@ubc.ca

Xiaomin Li, Beijing Normal University lixiaomin@bnu.edu.cn

Yaacov Petscher, Florida State University ypetscher@fsu.edu

Yusef Waghid, Stellenbosch University yw@sun.ac.za

Yuto Kitamura, University of Tokyo yuto@p.u-Tokyo.ac.jp Yuzhen Xu, Capital Normal University yuzhen6@hotmail.com

Zhang Wei, East China Normal University wzhang@ed.ecnu.edu.cn



REVIEWERS

REVIEW EDITORS

WG1:

Elaine Unterhalter, University of London e.unterhalter@ucl.ac.uk

Iroise Dumontheil, Birkbeck, University of London i.dumontheil@bbk.ac.uk

WG2:

Anne-Laura van Harmelen, Leiden University a.van.harmelen@fsw.leidenuniv.nl

Greg William Misiaszek, Beijing Normal University gmisiaszek@bnu.edu.cn

WG3:

Elaine Unterhalter, University of London e.unterhalter@ucl.ac.uk

Roland Grabner, University of Graz roland.grabner@uni-graz.at

WG4:

Drew H. Bailey, University of California, Irvine dhbailey@uci.edu

PEER REVIEWERS

Aaron Redman, Arizona State University aaron.redman@gmail.com

A. Brooks Bowden, University of Pennsylvania bbowden@upenn.edu

Adele Diamond, University of British Columbia, Vancouver adele.diamond@ubc.ca

Adar Ben-Eliyahu, University of Haifa adarbe@edu.haifa.ac.il

Anton Kühberger, University of Salzburg anton.kuehberger@sbg.ac.at

Bob Adamson, University of Nottingham Ningbo China bob.adamson@nottingham.edu.cn



Brian Haig, University of Canterbury brian.haig@canterbury.ac.nz

Brian L. Butterworth, University College London b.butterworth@ucl.ac.uk

Cathy Jane Rogers, NPC, UK cathyjanerogers01@gmail.com

Chris Dede, Harvard University USA chris_dede@gse.harvard.edu

Christopher Martin, University of British Columbia (Okanagan) christopher.martin@ubc.ca

Constadina Charalambous, European University Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus co.charalambous@euc.ac.cy

Cyril Owen Brandt, University of Antwerp cy.brandt@yahoo.com

Darcia Narvaez, University of Notre Dame dnarvaez@nd.edu Denis Cousineau, Université d'Ottawa, Ottawa denis.cousineau@uottawa.ca

Gert Biesta, University of Edinburgh gert.biesta@ed.ac.uk

Hongyun Liu, Beijing Normal University hyliu@bnu.edu.cn

Hyemin Han, The University of Alabama, hhan19@ua.edu

Jandhyala B.G. Tilak, Council for Social Development jtilak2017@gmail.com

Jason Beech, Monash University jason.beech@monash.edu

Jeremy Rappleye, Kyoto University jrappleye108@gmail.com

Jing Lin, University of Maryland jinglin@umd.edu

REVIEWERS

John-Tyler Binfet, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada johntyler. binfet@ubc.ca

Jonathan Cohen, Columbia University in the city of New York jc273@tc.columbia.edu

Karen L. Bierman, The Pennsylvania State University kb2@psu.edu

Katarzyna Jednoróg, Polish Academy of Science k.jednorog@nencki.edu.pl

Leon Benade, Auckland University of Technology leon.w.benade@aut.ac.nz

Ly Thi Tran, Deakin University ly.tran@deakin.edu.au

Marinus H. van IJzendoorn, Erasmus University Rotterdam marinusvanijzendoorn@gmail.com

Michael J. Reiss, University College London, m.reiss@ucl.ac.uk Peter Barrett, University of Salford peter.x.barrett@gmail.com

Randall Curren, University of Rochester randall.curren@rochester.edu

Robert VanWynsberghe, University of British Columbia robert.vanwynsberghe@ubc.ca

Rwitajit Majumdar, Kyoto University majumdar.rwitajit.4a@kyoto-u. ac.jp

Sabre Cherkowski, University of British Columbia sabre.cherkowski@ubc.ca

Sami Adwan, PRIME adwan.sami@gmail.com

Steven J. Klees, University of Maryland sklees@umd.edu

Tonya Huber, Texas A&M International University tonya.huber@tamiu.edu



Victoria Knowland, Newcastle University vic.knowland@newcastle.ac.uk

Thomas DeVere Wolsey, The American University in Cairo thomas.wolsey@aucegypt.edu

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

The ISEE Assessment would like to acknowledge contributions from the following: Shelby Roberts, Sue Stirling, Thomas Abrams, Bing Han, Emily Binks-Cantrell, Karol Ann Moore, Brahm Norwich, Célia Demarchi, Elizabeth R. Losin, Jack Van Honk, Katherine D. Blizinsky, Manulani Aluli Meyer, Philipp Koellinger, Pooja Reddy Nakamura, Selena Nemorin, Tetsuya Iidaka, Zhang Li, Julia Oas, Anika Alam, Rajiv Ramaswamy, Bethany Davies, Eti Chordia, Matthew John DeLong, Kavya Bhardwaj, Juliette Diebold De Mellis, Tsering Yangdol, Clara Paulina Cardona-Vasco, Richa Shrivastava, and the ISEE Assessment Secretariat Yoko Mochizuki, Shailly Gupta and Kriti Singh.



EDUCATION & Human Flourishing

 \bullet

WORKING GROUP I CO-CHAIRS

OREN ERGAS

TAL GILEAD

NANDINI CHATTERJEE SINGH




| Chl | Introduction to ISEE Assessment |
|-----|--|
| | Working Group 1: education in and |
| | <u>for flourishing</u> |
| Ch2 | Education for flourishing and |
| | flourishing in education |
| Ch3 | The science of flourishing in child |
| | and adolescent development: |
| | description, explanation and |
| | implications for prevention and |
| | promotion |
| Ch4 | A curricular framework for |
| | flourishing in education |
| Ch5 | Human flourishing in schools |

WORKING Group 01

EDUCATION & Human Flourishing

Working Group 1 assesses the definitions of flourishing and education from multidisciplinary perspectives including philosophy and the neurosciences, proposing education implementation and practice for flourishing be built on relationships with others, oneself and with subject matter/knowledge.





CHAPTER



Introduction to ISEE Assessment Working Group 1: Education in and for Flourishing

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56383/RVQU2646

This chapter should be cited as:

Ergas, O., Gilead, T. and Singh, N.C. (2022) 'Introduction to ISEE Assessment Working Group 1 – education in and for flourishing' in Duraiappah, A.K., van Atteveldt, N.M., Borst, G., Bugden, S., Ergas, O., Gilead, T., Gupta, L., Mercier, J., Pugh, K., Singh, N.C. and Vickers, E.A. (eds.) Reimagining Education: The International Science and Evidence based Assessment. New Delhi: UNESCO MGIEP

*The authors contributed equally to this chapter.

This chapter offers an introduction to Working Group 1 of the International Science and Evidence based Education (ISEE) Assessment. It begins by establishing a basic claim on which the Assessment builds: the need to mobilize education to support flourishing. After describing this foundational claim, we outline the structure of Working Group 1 and explain the role of each of the subsequent four chapters within it.

Co-ordinating Lead Authors

Oren Ergas

Tal Gilead

Nandini Chatterjee Singh



CHAPTER



The Purpose of Education and the future

'The future and how to prepare for it,' writes Facer (2016, p.63) 'is a perennial concern of education'. Education also tends to be future-oriented because, on a societal level, many changes introduced into education today will have their full impact in years and sometimes even decades to come. When education was confined to its traditional goal of maintaining the existing social order, the time gap between the present and future was bridgeable. However, around the nineteenth century, once parts of the world started to change at an increasing pace following monumental transformations such as the Industrial Revolution, the rise of capitalism and the emergence of nation-states, it became clear that the existing social order could not or should not be preserved. As a result, education was increasingly required to find ways to prepare

Education is further complicated today by a growing recognition that the future is, to a significant degree, uncontrollable, unpredictable and risky. students for life in a world different from their own (Green, 1990). Recognizing this nearly a century ago, the great educational philosopher John Dewey (1923) argued that the discourse about education never comes and never should come to an end. In recent decades, however, the challenges involved in preparing students for the future have become even more evident. Since 1960, it has continuously been argued that technological and social changes seem to be accelerating as we move into a knowledge society (Toffler, 1970; Rosa, 2013; OECD, 2019). While the question of whether change is indeed accelerating as claimed is debatable, it is hard to deny that change, and the need to deal with it, is now an essential feature of the contemporary world (Innerarity, 2012). Moreover, education is further complicated today by a growing recognition that the future is, to a significant degree, uncontrollable, unpredictable and risky. It has become increasingly evident that the trajectory of many future developments is out of our hands and cannot be foreseen (Taleb, 2007). Today many

argue that volatility, uncertainty, complexity and ambiguity (VUCA) are central characteristics of our world (Bennett and Lemoine, 2014; Mack et al., 2016; Millar, Groth, and Mahon, 2018; Laukkonen, Biddel, and Gallagher, 2019). The COVID-19 pandemic, in the midst of which this assessment has been carried out, is just one stark reminder of this (Bozkurt et al., 2020; Di Pietro et al., 2020). In addition, much of the uncertainty characterizing our age is humanmade (Beck, 1992, 2009). Scientific, technological, economic, political and social developments have greatly benefited humanity but have also created a world in which risk is prevalent. What people value, such as jobs or health, is both progressively ameliorating and increasingly at stake through human actions (Rosa, McCright and Renn, 2013). For example, while the world's economies are developing, the effects of economic activity on the environment and their detrimental results on the lives of many are well documented (WG2-ch2). In addition, while technology is making many jobs physically less demanding

1 Chapter

Educational policy must account for future uncertainties and other critical factors such as the neurobiological conditions of learning, existing social, political and economic realities, and individual differences, amongst others. and more financially rewarding, the risk of losing jobs and the resulting job insecurity is also the result of technological innovation (Beck, 2000; WG2-ch6). The growing inequalities and potential social instability that we are currently experiencing are also due to growing wealth and conscious economic decisions (Piketty, 2014, 2020).

Educational policy, then, must account for future uncertainties in addition to other critical factors such as the neurobiological conditions of learning (WG3-ch2; WG3-ch6), existing social, political and economic realities (WG2-ch1), normative conceptions (WG3-ch6), cultural diversity (WG2-ch7; WG2**ch4**) and individual differences (WG3-ch3). Future uncertainties, however, significantly complicate educational policy-making. Because the future is both uncertain and risky, education cannot simply wait for it to unfold. We must prepare for it. A common current response among educational policy-makers to future uncertainty is to enhance students' ability to adapt (Griffin and Care, 2014; Dishon and Gilead,

2020). The aim is to make them more flexible and equip them with skills that will prove useful under different circumstances. This approach is exemplified in the many reforms designed to prepare students for an evolving economy and job market by teaching them what is often termed 'twenty-first-century skills' (Voogt and Roblin, 2012; OECD, 2019). The seeming advantage of such an approach is that it does not rely on a clear conception of how the future would or should look. If, for example, we teach students to adapt to the demands of the future job market by enhancing their general learning skills, we can avoid the need to know which specific jobs will be in demand a few years from now. This focus on adaptability enables us to prepare for an open-ended future.

However, while enhancing adaptability is a significant and worthy educational aim, it is not sufficient in and of itself. As many have acknowledged, education requires a vision of how we envision the present and the future, a vision from which specific educational aims could be



derived. Though Postman (2011) refers to schooling, his words may well apply to education at large: 'there is no surer way to bring an end to schooling than for it to have no end' (p. 4). Moreover, education can and ought to do more than merely react to changing conditions and circumstances. Even if the future cannot be fully controlled or predicted it can be influenced (Colander and Kupers, 2016). Education might not be able to generate the exact future we wish for but it can help to direct us towards it. How are we to overcome the unpredictability of the future and the great difficulty in determining the most desirable aims of education?

0

As Huebner (1999) argues, to realize its full potential, education

must make a certain 'leap'; even though it does not know what the future will be like, education still needs to take action. Given the dynamism of the world and of education itself, the leap cannot be risk-free. Nevertheless, as Biesta (2015) suggests, part of education's beauty is that the risk is approached skillfully and purposively. Many contemporary educational philosophers have therefore proposed positive proactive visions that go beyond enhancing students' adaptability; visions that offer that education will do more than either react to current conditions or remain within the confines of producing 'adaptive experts' (e.g. Morin, 2002; Hansen, 2010; Palmer, Zajonc and Scribner, 2010; White, 2011; Ergas, 2017; Hodgson, Vlieghe and Zamojski, 2018).

1 Chapter

The ISEE Assessment assesses, assimilates and critically analyses the existing knowledge and evidence necessary for adequately preparing for the future and setting a purpose for education while acknowledging that the world around us is constantly changing. It is safe to suggest, however, that the greater our grounding in the literature and the science that rigorously examines what has been done in the past, what are the present conditions and what we expect the future to hold for us, the better we are positioned to provide a vision for education, and restructure not only its aims but its practice. This is where the International Science and Evidence based Education (ISEE) Assessment enters. It assesses, assimilates and critically analyses the existing knowledge and evidence necessary for adequately preparing for the future and setting a purpose for education while acknowledging that the world around us is constantly changing.

The ISEE Assessment arrives at the question of education's purpose acknowledging the dramatic changes that have occurred since the publication of the Delors Report (International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century, 1996). In the last 25 years, the world has changed in both predictable and unpredictable ways. Although

significant progress has been made in some areas, such as reducing extreme poverty or prolonging life expectancy, at least until the COVID-19 pandemic, in other areas this is not the case. Some of the current global challenges, such as the ecological crisis, have intensified. Inequality, racism and violations of human rights prevail and are arguably more severe (Cole. 2017: Kohli, Pizarro and Nevárez, 2017). And to these somewhat predictable challenges, we must add events and developments that were not foreseen and have shaken lives across the world: 9/11, the financial crisis of 2007-2008, the COVID-19 pandemic, the escalation of populism and attacks on democracy, and the rise of social media are just some of the developments and events that have changed the world and have had an impact on education (Schüller, 2016; Hadar et al., 2020).

These changes are not, however, confined to the realities we face. They have also taken place amidst the knowledge and understanding we possess. In the last quarter of a century our comprehension of issues such as brain plasticity and

development, mental and physical health, as well as learning, have improved in highly significant ways. In addition, we have gained significant insights into the political, social and economic challenges we face and how they relate to education. By bringing together an updated diagnosis of current challenges and new knowledge and understandings recently accumulated in various fields, we can enhance our ability to assess and inform education and its purpose.

In light of the above challenges, difficult questions arise when considering the purpose of education. These include: to what extent are current educational policies sensitive enough to the global challenges we face? Do they reflect a sufficient response to dramatic events that have occurred in recent decades? What roles do a host of scientific advancements, such as understanding of brain plasticity and development (WG3-ch2) and the importance of social-emotional capacities (WG3ch4), play in these policies? These and several other questions suggest the need to orient education

towards flourishing. The definition of human flourishing used in this assessment is provided in **WG1-ch2** but it can, for now, be described as an effort to achieve self-actualization and fulfillment within the context of a larger community of individuals, each with the right to pursue their own goals. It encompasses the uniqueness, dignity, diversity, freedom, happiness and holistic well-being of the individual within a larger family, community and population.

1.1 .1

FROM A HUMAN Capital Perspective To Human Flourishing

Since the Second World War, educational policy and in particular, conceptions of education's role in development, have been advancing along two parallel lines (see Figure 1). The first, and more dominant, focuses

Human flourishing can be described as an effort to achieve self-actualization and fulfilment within the context of a larger community of individuals, each with the right to pursue their own goals.



The influence of human capital on economic policy has been profound, placing education at the forefront of economic theory. on the economy, while the other takes a broader view that also encompasses political, social and personal dimensions (Burnett, 2014). In the 1940s and 1950s, economic growth, measured by gross national product (GNP) and gross domestic product (GDP), became the main policy objective first in the USA and Europe and then in the rest of the world (Philipsen, 2015). However, since contemporary models of growth focused on the contribution of investment in physical capital to growth, education was not perceived as having a pivotal role in it (Blaug, 1970; Rostow and Kennedy, 1990). This radically changed with the emergence of human capital theory in the 1960s. According to human capital theory, people's knowledge, skills and abilities that can increase production should be viewed as a form of capital that can significantly contribute, on a social level, to economic growth, and, on a personal level, to employment (Sweetland, 1996). Based on this simple insight, the theory infers that education has a vital economic role. The influence of human capital on economic policy has been profound. It

placed education at the forefront of economic theory, it allowed influential multinational economic organizations, such as the OECD and the World Bank, to play an active part in education, but more than anything it led policymakers to view education in purely instrumental terms (Blaug, 1985; Marginson, 1989; Olssen and Peters, 2005). Increasing human capital became a central or even the primary educational aim. Education had to train a skilled workforce that would increase productivity and consequently lead to growth (Gilead, 2012).

Despite some setbacks in the 1970s, the link between education and the economy only grew closer in the 1980s. Contemporary policy emphasis on growth, privatization and markets led to a wave of reforms that stressed the importance of education for the economy (Thorbecke, 2007). Education, both in developed and developing countries, came to be seen as having to cater to the needs of the economy, with the noneconomic benefits of education arguably pushed aside (Ball, 1999; Williamson, 2009). In addition,





the development of new growth models from the end of the 1980s reaffirmed and expanded the possible contribution of education to the economy (Stiglitz, 1999). These models, which gave rise to the idea of a knowledge based economy, stressed the importance of knowledge, and especially scientific and technological knowledge, to economic growth (Stiglitz and Greenwald, 2014). Following these models, education assumed an even broader economic significance because it could facilitate technological and scientific breakthroughs and contribute to knowledge accumulation and diffusion, which are all necessary for and conducive to economic growth (Olssen and Peters, 2005). The primacy of economic growth and economic considerations as policy objectives, together with the rising recognition of the role that education can play in them, has led many nations, international organizations and policy-makers to focus on the economic aims of education while neglecting or marginalizing other educational objectives. Aims such as furthering democratic equality, enabling



social mobility, advancing global and democratic citizenship, promoting personal autonomy and advancing human culture have become somewhat secondary (Labaree, 1997; Kitcher, 2009; Nussbaum, 2010; White, 2011).

However, there has also existed an alternative strand, which very much reacts to the one presented above and places greater emphasis on the non-economic and noninstrumental aims of education (see Figure 1). The notion that education is a basic human right that must be provided to all, which is mainly advanced by UNESCO, has had a tremendous influence on education provision worldwide (International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century, 1996; World Education Forum, 2000; UNESCO et al., 2015). Although increasing human capital and education as a right often go hand in hand, there are important differences between them and they can potentially even conflict (Robeyns, 2006). Firstly, the emphasis on rights goes beyond economics and points to the contribution of education to

'dignity; social justice; inclusion; protection; cultural, linguistic and ethnic diversity' (UNESCO et al., 2015, p.7). It encompasses aspects of life that are not emphasized or even addressed by human capital theory. Secondly, the rights discourse provides a noninstrumental and unconditional rationale for education. For example, while human capital theory will find it hard to justify providing education for someone who is not likely to be economically productive due to physical limitations, the notion of rights can easily do so (McCowan, 2011). In addition, the emphasis on the economic role of education has also been challenged by other forms of discourse. In the 1970s, the focus on economic growth was countered by the idea that human development requires the meeting of basic human needs, especially of the poor (Gasper, 2004; Stewart, 2006; Thorbecke, 2007; Williams, 2014). Inspired by these ideas, from the 1970s onwards, Amartya Sen devised the capability approach, which stressed the importance of not only economic conditions, but also individual, social and

Although increasing human capital and education as a right often go hand in hand, there are important differences between them and they can potentially even conflict.

CONCEPTIONS OF EDUCATION ROLE IN DEVELOPMENT

TREND 1



Figure 1. Conceptions of education's role in development

political freedoms (Sen, 1980, 1992, **1999, 2000).** Sen draws a distinction between functioning, which is what people do or have, and capabilities, which are the range of possibilities from which people can choose their functioning. The distinction between capabilities and functioning, it is important to stress, does not only apply to the economic domain but is valid in many areas of life. Sen argues that focusing on functioning is not enough and that policy must also strive to enlarge people's capabilities. For example, examining people's economic condition might not

accurately reflect their situation unless we also consider the range of possibilities and freedoms they have to improve it. The capability approach, which was further developed by Nussbaum and many others, had and still has a significant impact in educational circles (Nussbaum. 2001; Robeyns, 2006; Walker and Unterhalter, 2007; Crocker, 2008;Khader, 2011). Moreover, a growing policy concern with advancing human development and not just economic progress placed education as one of three major components for improving aspects of people's lives. This change, it

1 Chapter

There is now mounting evidence and an increased understanding – also among economists – that non-economic aspects of human development, including social, personal, political and moral elements, could and should go hand in hand with economic growth. is important to note, was fuelled by a growing recognition of the limitation of economic growth and can be linked to the rise of the capability approach.

Over the years, human capital theory has not remained stagnant and has evolved in significant ways, partly as a result of the criticisms levelled at it by competing approaches (Hanushek, 2013; Stiglitz and Greenwald, 2014; Burgess, 2016; Angrist et al., 2021). For example, the non-economic benefits of human capital have gained increased significance (McMahon, 2000; OECD, 2001). Nevertheless, despite the rise of alternative approaches and changes in human capital theory itself, in education policy, the focus on growth and employment has prevailed. In 1996, the Delors Report made a strong case to transfer the educational emphasis from economic to broader forms of development, but despite its influence it did not reverse existing trends (International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century, 1996; Tawil and Cougoureux, 2013; Elfert, 2015). Since economic

growth measured by GDP remains the main policy objective in most parts of the world, education was and in many ways still is mainly viewed through an economic lens.

In the last two decades, however, the notion that education should primarily focus on economic matters has again been challenged. One significant reason for this is changing perspectives on the relationship between economic and other forms of development. Traditionally it was assumed that a trade-off existed between economic and social development (Sen, 1997; Mkandawire and Unies, 2001). It was thought that focusing on social development would obstruct economic growth since the latter might require harsh measures. As a result, it was commonly held that economic growth must first be secured before other forms of development could be sought (Sen, 1997). Moreover, it was maintained that only after a crucial amount of growth had been achieved could additional aspects of human development be more easily improved. For



example, Kuznets (1955) argues that in the early stages of rapid economic growth social equality will rise only to be reduced later on as the economy continues to develop. Similarly, it was held that economic growth will initially lead to environmental degradation that can be amended once there are enough resources (Barbier, 1997; Constantini and Monni, 2008). However, there is now mounting evidence and an increased understanding – also among economists – that non-economic aspects of human development, including social, personal, political and moral elements, could and should go hand in hand with economic growth (Sen,1997; Stiglitz, 1998, 2007; Ranis, Stewart, and Ramirez, 2000). In addition, it has become evident that many

non-economic elements such as trust, values and information networks can facilitate economic growth (Whiteley, 2000; OECD, 2001; Chou, 2006). Since it is clear that education can have a profound impact on many aspects of life, it is held that it should go beyond human capital and economic growth (McMahon, 2000; Brighouse, 2006; Harber, 2014; Marginson, 2019). In doing so education can directly contribute to both growth itself and other dimensions of human development.

Another and somewhat more fundamental reason for going beyond the economic aims of education is a growing recognition of the limits of economic growth, especially when measured in GDP. There is accumulating



There is accumulating empirical evidence that economic growth does not necessarily have a positive impact on people's levels of subjective well-being and life satisfaction. empirical evidence that economic growth does not necessarily have a positive impact on people's levels of subjective well-being and life satisfaction (Easterlin, 1974; Blanchflower and Oswald, 2004; Layard, 2011; Diener et al., 2018). There is also now a better understanding of the negative consequences of growth on the environment, economic equity, social cohesion and various other domains (Raworth, 2017; WG2-ch2). Moreover, emerging research suggests that an emphasis on economic growth as a key measure of human well-being, at the expense of subjective and other forms of objective wellbeing, is a primary contributor to the increasing prevalence of unhappiness, insecurity and poor interpersonal relationships (Rogers et al., 2012). Economic globalization has led to a huge increase in consumerist values, and excessive use of materials and natural resources (UNDESA. 2005). often at the cost of human values and relationships. Urbanization has forced young working adults away from local communities in search of economic growth, a consequence of which is the loss

of community social structure and relationships, value systems and cultural practices, and ultimately well-being in flourishing (**Bhugra and Becker**, **2005**). It has also led to increased inequality in the socioeconomic status and per capita income between the richest and poorest countries. As a result, there is also increasing poverty and unemployment, which has forced many into precarious situations like human trafficking.

Apart from all these factors, the most striking indicator of diminished well-being is in the area of mental and psychological wellness (Eckersley, 2011). The World Health Organization's (WHO, 2004) World Mental Health Survey revealed a huge variation in the prevalence of mental illness with disorders worldwide affecting from 6% to 27% of individuals in the countries surveyed. A more recent survey (WHO, 2017) on the mental health status of South Asian adolescents aged between 13 and 17 years found that 10% to 20% of adolescents had mental health issues including anxiety, depression, self-harm and suicide.



The growing understanding of the non-economic benefits of education for human development, together with increasing scepticism towards the value and desirability of economic growth in its current form, demand that we think differently about education. While the increase in mental health problems has to do with more than a socio-educational focus on economic growth, values of competitiveness, workaholism and consumerism, all of these potential consequences of a focus on economic growth are likely to lead to high levels of stress, which are known to affect mental and physical health (Sapolsky, 2017). In addition to the dramatic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health (Gao et al., 2020; Pfefferbaum and North. 2020) these findings challenge the association between economic growth and human well-being and suggest the need to go beyond mere materialistic wealth as the primary driver of human well-being. As a result, there is an ongoing search for alternative measures of progress and development (Stiglitz, Sen and Fitoussi, 2009).

The growing understanding of the non-economic benefits of education for human development, together with increasing scepticism towards the value and desirability of economic growth in its current form, demand that we think differently about education. The limits of an educational approach that focuses solely on human capital and the economy are evident. There is a need for a broader conception of education that goes well beyond economics and focuses on flourishing.

1.1 .2

WHY FLOURISHING? WHY NOW?

The notion of human flourishing has been discussed throughout history. It is found in various wisdom traditions, including those of East Asia, Ancient Greece, and aboriginal and other native traditions. It plays a central part in all major religions and is just as significant in secular and modern strands of thought. The idea that education should promote flourishing is not new either. Throughout history many educational thinkers and educators, including Plato,



Comenius, Rousseau and Freire, have made enhancing flourishing a central educational aim. While there are various and often contradicting interpretations of what makes a person flourish, few challenge the idea that flourishing is a worthy objective. Even educational policy that focuses on human capital and service to the state can be directly linked to flourishing. It assumes that either economic well-being and economic growth or a strong state are instrumental in achieving a flourishing life.

Although flourishing as an educational aim has been debated throughout history, there are good reasons why the ISEE Assessment report has flourishing at its core. Firstly, as we have seen, flourishing in educational policy-making has been overlooked in favour of approaches that focus on economics and social utility. The growing emphasis on what can be viewed as a means for achieving flourishing, such as economic growth, scientific and technological advancement, or increased state power, has

obscured the ultimate goal. What could be seen as partial means for flourishing have become ends in themselves. This, however, has often led to measures that hinder rather than promote flourishing. Moreover, current educational policy often seems to focus only on a few partial measures for increasing flourishing while neglecting significant ones (Stiglitz, 1998; Brighouse, 2006). Secondly, today we know much more about flourishing than we did a few decades ago. Most importantly, we now have the scientific evidence to support and enable the cultivation of flourishing in human beings.

Central to this new knowledge is the biological aspect of human flourishing and an understanding of the central role the human brain plays in the development and cultivation of the human flourishing mindset. The basis for this is the finding that the brain is malleable and thus amenable to change (Merzenich and Jenkins, 1995). Neuroscience research has shown that psychological wellbeing can be cultivated through intentional mental training

Although flourishing as an educational aim has been debated throughout history, there are good reasons why the ISEE Assessment report has flourishing at its core.



and has the potential to drive structural changes in the brain. In other words, flourishing can be cultivated or trained (Dahl et al., 2020). For instance, the positive effects of regular training in the cultivation of well-being and flourishing in Tibetan Buddhist monks shows that regular meditation practices produce not just behavioural but also structural changes in the brain that promote increased well-being (Davidson and Lutz, 2008). Further, recent advances in cognitive and affective science demonstrate that well-being and flourishing are skills that can be cultivated like literacy and numeracy. We thus

posit that education systems must incorporate the explicit training of knowledge, skills, practices and behaviours that enable individuals to flourish.

Evidence from neuroscience also shows that enriched environments provide cognitive and emotional nurturing, both foundational factors for successful learning, while inadequate cognitive stimulation leads to poor cognitive and emotional development and learning. For instance, children's math-related skills are enhanced when they engage in math-story time with their parents (**Berkowitzat**, **2015**), while children raised in

1 Chapter

Advancements in social and affective neuroscience have significantly enhanced our understanding of the crucial role of nurturing and safe environments, and in the social-emotional nature of learning and in advancing wellbeing. stark poverty show reduced surface areas of the brain supporting language, reading, executive function and social skills (Lukowski, 2010). Similarly, advancements in social and affective neuroscience have significantly enhanced our understanding of the crucial role of nurturing and safe environments, and in the socialemotional nature of learning and in advancing well-being (Immordino-Yang, Darling-Hammond and Krone, 2019). Furthermore, it has been shown that emotional neglect can induce severe disturbances in multiple aspects of social and emotional functioning, with the most dramatic effects in the amygdala (Casey, 2015), a structure of the brain critical for motivation and anticipating reward, and therefore involved in all learning. Neurobiology has made substantial leaps in its ability to explain human behaviour, thought and decision -making, demonstrating clearly how excessive stress impedes mental well-being. (Sapolsky, 2017). Children exposed to high levels of stress on a daily basis (Matthews and Gallo, 2011) not only exhibit

reduced functional and structural maturation of the hippocampus and the frontal lobes (Davidson and McEwen, 2012) but also effects on the amygdala, which contains receptors to cortisol, the stress hormone (Blair and Raver, 2016; WG2ch5). However, it is not only our individual stress that is at stake; manifesting the social nature of the brain, research demonstrates that stress is contagious. Measurement of salivary cortisol in teachers and students has shown that teachers' occupational stress is linked to students' physiological stress regulation (Oberle and Schonert-Reichl, 2016). Functional brain imaging, including studies of the brain's default-mode network, has provided us with significant insights into mental health, executive functioning, ethical decision-making and emotional intelligence, which have various implications for education (Ergas and Berkovich-Ohana, 2017; Immordino-Yang, Christodoulou and Singh, 2012; Jazaieri et al., 2016; WG3-ch2; WG3-ch3; WG3-ch5).

Thus, it is not only research that demonstrates what stands in the

way of our development into flourishing human beings but also research into ways in which flourishing can be proactively pursued that support our proposal to promote flourishing as the purpose of education (WG3-ch4). Findings show that a focus on effective social and emotional learning (SEL) interventions and programs contributes not only to student mental health and reduction in dropout rates and risk behaviours, but also to academic achievement (Durlak et al., 2011). Positive psychology has developed substantially over recent decades, providing a variety of ways in which to enhance flourishing within and outside schools (Waters, 2011; Burckhardt et al., 2016). Comprehensive metaanalyses of mindfulness based interventions implemented in schools have generally had positive results (Carsley, Khoury and Heath, 2018; Zenner, Herrnleben-Kurz and Walach, 2014). At the same time, schools benefit most from systemic approaches in which innovation and change are introduced coherently throughout the school organization and its

curricula (Miller et al., 2018; Harpaz and Grinshtain, 2020). Hence when SEL practices are introduced as short-term interventions, rather than through holistic approaches that address the school as a whole, results may be hard to sustain over time (Sheinman et al., 2018; Ergas and Hadar, 2019).

This is just a brief overview of what is contained in subsequent chapters, and is offered to provide initial support for our claim that we now know more about flourishing, to the point of providing us with the grounds for the endeavour undertaken in this report. Coming decades will no doubt reveal much more than we currently know. Thus, it is our hope that in this report we will also provide ideas for orienting future scientific research that will be based in an education that has shifted toward the pursuit of flourishing.



CHAPTER

1.2

... there is a need for educational systems to embrace a broader perspective that positions education in and for flourishing at its centre ...



Outline of Working Group 1 and its methodology

Building on the above argument, namely, that there is a need for educational systems to embrace a broader perspective that positions education in and for flourishing at its centre, our task becomes one of definition, conceptualization, elaboration, substantiation, grounding and operationalization. This begins first and foremost with the very concepts of education and flourishing. Without a clear definition of what is meant by these concepts it is unlikely that we will be able to provide anything of substance for UNESCO's Member States that can assist in policy-making. Hence, following the project's nature as an evidence based assessment, the task of the next chapter (WG1-ch2) is to develop a grounded definition of both of these concepts.

Definitions ground concepts. The term 'assessment' is inspired by Joseph Schwab's (1982) conception of curricular deliberations. An assessment in WG1-ch2 is a deliberation process in which experts from different fields, in a number of disciplines and from different regions around the world, consider various perspectives on a certain concept/domain and based on past literature, theories and deliberations, arrive at an informed definition. Grounding in this sense does not pretend to be fully objective and remains cognizant of the fact that those who engage in the grounding are humans. However, this grounding does aspire to be sensitive to cultural diversity within and across countries, and seeks to reach a level of concreteness that provides the project and the UNESCO Member States with enough to work with, along with ample room within which to navigate.

WG1-ch2 begins by locating flourishing among a number of close or related concepts such as happiness, subjective wellbeing, eudaimonic well-being and thriving. From there the

chapter offers a general overview of how flourishing is understood in different key disciplines including philosophy, psychology, neuropsychology, economics and ecology. Next, the chapter introduces this project's definition of flourishing and explains and elaborates upon central terms within the definition, such as 'optimal continuing development', 'potential', 'living well', 'meaningful' and 'satisfying'. The definition offered does not stem from or relate to a particular theory of flourishing but rather draws on many concepts and perspectives. The next part of the chapter discusses education, emphasizing education as relationships and how this applies to teaching, learning and assessment. From there the chapter turns to connect flourishing and education and argues that the two are intertwined. Education, it is maintained, is essential for flourishing and flourishing can help to improve education. The chapter concludes by pointing to how democracy and cosmopolitanism can contribute to flourishing.

1 Chapter

The chapter presents us with important advances that have emerged in the past decades that support the ISEE Assessment as an attempt to recruit such knowledge to the advancement of education in and for flourishing. WG1-ch3 presents a broad exposition of the contribution of science to the advancement of flourishing by reviewing various breakthroughs in neuroscience, psychology and biology over the past two decades, as well as previous theories that also received further support during this period. We now know more about brain development, genetics, the interplay between the brain and its environment, the crucial role of nurturing relationships in early childhood and throughout life, mental health and trauma, and the fundamental role of socialemotional skills in our ability to conduct ourselves wisely and kindly in the world. Studies in these and other fields enable us to say with growing confidence that there are certain conditions, practices, mental states and capacities that are conducive to human flourishing. WG1-ch3 thus presents us with important advances that have emerged in the past decades that support the ISEE Assessment as an attempt to recruit such knowledge to the advancement of education in and for flourishing. Some of

the strands it outlines are later developed in the various **WG3** chapters which focus on the science and experience of learning. The chapter also discusses some of the problems concerned with measuring flourishing and their implications for research in education.

Just as we know more, there is much that we do not know. Further, much of the knowledge gained from science does not directly translate into educational practice. The aim of WG1-ch4 is to create a bridge between flourishing and education advanced in WG1ch2 and the scientific insights found in WG1-ch3 regarding what contributes to flourishing. However, translating general notions, concepts and ideas into education and operationalizing them is not as straightforward as it might seem. The field of education has some distinct features and these have to be taken into consideration. One significant aspect of education is that educational systems, on all levels, are highly complex, hard to control and often

unpredictable. The chapter begins by acknowledging the nature of education as a dynamic system. It goes on to argue that education for flourishing must be systematic and multi-scalar, sensitive to context and informed by an interdisciplinary approach.

The chapter then discusses how education can best contribute to promoting flourishing. It presents two models. The first divides the educational process for flourishing into three central components: (1) curriculum, which encompasses teaching and assessment; (2) learning; and (3) flourishing aims and manifestations. The second model, which is the main contribution of the chapter, presents a novel curricular framework for education in and for flourishing. It suggests that education can promote flourishing through six curricular domains: environment, culture, society, technology, interpersonal and self. The chapter describes each of the domains and briefly explains their potential contribution to flourishing. It is important to stress that the list

of domains is not exhaustive, nor are the curricular domains siloed; rather, interrelationships between the curricular domains yield a variety of additional curricular possibilities. Further developing the model, the chapter then builds on the Delors Report's (International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century, 1996) four pillars of education, but extends them to six learning trajectories that reflect development in these curricular domains – learning to: know and think; do and evaluate; learn to live together; and live with nature and be and become. The last part of the chapter connects the curricular domains with the conditions and capacities conducive to flourishing.

WG1-ch5 moves from a macro level to a more micro one, from the level of policy to that of schools. Building on the concept of education advanced in WG1ch2, it discusses education for flourishing in schools in terms of relationships. Proposing a categorization that builds on the curricular framework proposed in WG1-ch4, it stresses the significance

The second model presents a novel curricular framework for education in and for flourishing and suggests that education can promote flourishing through six curricular domains environment, culture, society, technology, interpersonal and self.

1

C H A P T E R

of three types of relationships: (1) relationships with other people (or interpersonal relationships); (2) relationships with ourselves (or intrapersonal relationships); and (3) relationships to knowledge, subject matter or curricular content. Each of the three is not seen as standing on its own but rather as closely interconnected with the other two. In the chapter, the three types of relationships are examined from a variety of perspectives but remain focused on school and class levels.

WG1-ch5 starts by reviewing some philosophical ideas pertaining to these relationships and their ideal manifestations. Most of the chapter, however, is devoted to what we now know about these relationships in education and schools and how these should be designed to promote flourishing. Drawing on empirical research on a variety of subjects, such as teacher-student relationships, student-student relationships and students' conceptions of curricular knowledge, the chapter provides valuable insights into a number of central questions

relating to flourishing in schools. Clearly echoing claims made in WG1-ch3, it points to, among other things, the significance of SEL and mindfulness for advancing flourishing in schools and discusses the conditions necessary for them to succeed. In addition, the chapter analyses the significance of school culture for increased flourishing and suggests ways to make it more conducive to this aim. From there the chapter explores the wellbeing and flourishing of teachers. It proposes ways in which these could be improved and elaborates on their significance. The chapter concludes by providing a compelling example that highlights the potential ability of schools to contribute to the flourishing of students, staff and the community.



REFERENCES

Angrist, N., Djankov, S., Goldberg, P.K. and Patrinos, H.A. (2021) 'Measuring human capital using global learning data', Nature, 592(7854), pp. 403–408.

Ball, S.J. (1999) 'Labour, learning and the economy: a "policy sociology" perspective', Cambridge Journal of Education, 29(2), pp. 195–206.

Barbier, E.B. (1997) 'Introduction to the environmental Kuznets curve special issue', Environment and Development Economics, 2(4), pp. 369–381.

Beck, U. (1992) Risk society: towards a new modernity. London: Sage.

Beck, U. (2000) The brave new world of work. New York: Polity Press.

Beck, U. (2009) World at risk. New York: Polity Press.

Bennett, N. and Lemoine, G.J. (2014) 'What a difference a word makes: understanding threats to performance in a VUCA world', Business Horizons, 57(3), pp. 311–317.

Berkowitz, T., Schaeffer, M.W., Maloney, E.A., Peterson, L., Gregor, C.,... S. C. and Beilock, S. L. (2015) 'Math at home adds up to achievement in school', Science, 350(6257), pp. 196–198.

Bhugra, D. and Becker, M. A. (2005) 'Migration, cultural bereavement and cultural identity' World Psychiatry, 4(1), pp. 18–24. Biesta, G.J. (2015) The beautiful risk of education. London: Routledge.

Blair, C. and Raver, C. (2016) 'Poverty, stress, and brain development: new directions for prevention and intervention', Academic Pediatrics, 16(3), S30–S36.

Blanchflower, D.G. and Oswald, A.J. (2004) 'Well-being over time in Britain and the USA', Journal of Public Economics, 88(7–8), pp. 1359–1386.

Blaug, M. (1970) An introduction to the economics of education. London: Allen Lane.

Blaug, M. (1985) 'Where are we now in the economics of education?', Economics of Education Review, 4(1), pp. 17–28.

Bozkurt, A., Jung, I., Xiao, J., Vladimirschi, V., Schuwer, R., ... and Paskevicius, M. (2020) 'A global outlook to the interruption of education due to COVID-19 pandemic: navigating in a time of uncertainty and crisis', Asian Journal of Distance Education, 15(1), pp. 1–126.

Brighouse, H. (2006) On education. London: Routledge.

Burckhardt, R., Manicavasagar, V., Batterham, P. J. and Hadzi-Pavlovic, D. (2016) 'A randomized controlled trial of strong minds: a school-based mental health program combining acceptance and commitment therapy and positive psychology', Journal of School Psychology, 57, pp. 41–52 Burgess, S.M. (2016) Human capital and education: the state of the art in the economics of education. IZA Discussion Paper, 988. Bonn, Germany: IZA Institute of Labor Economics.

Burnett, N. (2014) 'International education policies, issues, and challenges', in Carbonnier, G., Carton, M. and King, K. (eds.), Education, learning, training. Leiden: Brill Nijhoff, pp. 27–36.

Carsley, D., Khoury, B. and Heath, N.L. (2018) 'Effectiveness of mindfulness interventions for mental health in schools: a comprehensive meta-analysis', Mindfulness, 9(3), pp. 693–707.

Casey, B. J. (2015) 'Beyond simple models of self-control to circuit-based accounts of adolescent behavior', Annual Review of Psychology, 66(1), pp. 295–319.

Chou, Y.K. (2006) 'Three simple models of social capital and economic growth', The Journal of Socio-Economics, 35(5), pp. 889–912.

Colander, D. and Kupers, R. (2016) Complexity and the art of public policy: solving society's problems from the bottom up. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Cole, M. (ed.) (2017) Education, equality and human rights: issues of gender, 'race', sexuality, disability and social class. London: Routledge.

Constantini, V. and Monni, S. (2008) 'Environment, human development and economic growth', Ecological Economics, 64(4), pp. 867–880.

Crocker, D.A. (2008) Ethics of global development: agency, capability, and deliberative democracy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dahl, C. J., Wilson-Mendenhall, C. and Davidson, R. J. (2020) 'The plasticity of well-being: a training-based framework for the cultivation of human flourishing', Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117(51), pp. 32197–32206.

Davidson, R.J. and Lutz, A. (2008) 'Buddha's brain: neuroplasticity and meditation', IEEE Signal Process Magazine, 25(1), pp. 174–176.

Davidson, R.J. and McEwen, B. S. (2012) 'Social influences on neuroplasticity: stress and interventions to promote wellbeing', Nature Neuroscience, 15(5), pp. 689–695.

Dewey, J. (1923) Democracy and education: an introduction to the philosophy of education. New York: Macmillan.

Diener, E., Lucas, R.E., Oishi, S., Hall, N. and Donnellan, M.B. (2018) 'Advances and open questions in the science of subjective well-being', Collabra: Psychology, 4(1), 15. doi: 10.1525/ collabra.115. Di Pietro, G., Biagi, F., Costa, P., Karpiński, Z. and Mazza, J. (2020) The likely impact of COVID-19 on education: reflections based on the existing literature and recent international datasets (vol. 30275). Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

Dishon, G. and Gilead, T. (2020) 'Adaptability and its discontents: 21stcentury skills and the preparation for an unpredictable future', British Journal of Educational Studies, 69(4), pp. 1–21.

Durlak, J.A., Weissberg, R.P., Dymnicki, A.B., Taylor, R.D. and Schellinger, K.B. (2011) 'The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: a meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions', Child Development, 82(1), pp. 405–432.

Easterlin, R.A. (1974) 'Does economic growth improve the human lot? Some empirical evidence', in David, P.A. and Reder, M.W. (eds.) Nations and households in economic growth. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 89–125.

Eckersley, R. (2011) 'A new narrative of young people's health and well-being', Journal of Youth Studies, 14(5), pp. 627–638.

Elfert, M. (2015) 'UNESCO, the Faure Report, the Delors Report, and the political utopia of lifelong learning', European Journal of Education, 50(1), pp. 88–100. Ergas, O. (2017) Reconstructing 'education' through mindful attention: positioning the mind at the center of curriculum and pedagogy. Berlin: Springer.

Ergas, O. and Berkovich-Ohana, A. (2017) 'The self-generative mind in education: review and future directions', Mind, Brain, and Education, 11(4), pp. 213–226.

Ergas, O. and Hadar, L.L. (2019) 'Mindfulness in and as education: a map of a developing academic discourse from 2002 to 2017', Review of Education, 7(3), pp. 757–797.

Facer, K. (2016) 'Using the future in education: creating space for openness, hope and novelty', in Lees, H.E. and Noddings, N. (eds.), The Palgrave international handbook of alternative education. London: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 63–78.

Gao, J., Zheng, P., Jia, Y., Chen, H., Mao, Y., ... and Dai, J. (2020) 'Mental health problems and social media exposure during COVID-19 outbreak', PLOS ONE, 15(4). doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0231924.

Gasper, D. (2004) The ethics of development. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Gilead, T. (2012) 'Education and the logic of economic progress', Journal of Philosophy of Education, 46(1), pp. 113–131.

R E F E R E N C E S

Green, A. (1990) Education and state formation: the rise of education systems in England, France and the USA. London: Palgrave Macmillan.

Griffin, P. and Care, E. (eds.) (2014) Assessment and teaching of 21st century skills: methods and approach. Berlin: Springer.

Hadar, L.L., Ergas, O., Alpert, B. and Ariav, T. (2020) 'Rethinking teacher education in a VUCA world: student teachers' social-emotional competencies during the Covid-19 crisis', European Journal of Teacher Education, 43(4), pp. 573–586.

Hansen, D.T. (2010) 'Cosmopolitanism and education: a view from the ground', Teachers College Record, 112(1), pp. 1–30.

Hanushek, E.A. (2013) 'Economic growth in developing countries: the role of human capital', Economics of Education Review, 37, pp. 204–212.

Harber, C. (2014) Education and international development: theory, practice and issues. Providence: Symposium Books Ltd.

Harpaz, G. and Grinshtain, Y. (2020) 'Parent-teacher relations, parental selfefficacy, and parents' help-seeking from teachers about children's learning and socio-emotional problems', Education and Urban Society, 52(9), pp. 1397–1416. Hodgson, N., Vlieghe, J. and Zamojski, P. (2018) Manifesto for a post-critical pedagogy. Santa Barbara, CA: punctum books.

Huebner, D.E. (1999) The lure of the transcendent: collected essays by Dwayne E. Huebner. New York: Routledge

Immordino-Yang, M.H., Christodoulou, J.A. and Singh, V. (2012) 'Rest is not idleness: implications of the brain's default mode for human development and education', Perspectives on Psychological Science, 7(4), pp. 352–364.

Immordino-Yang, M.H., Darling-Hammond, L. and Krone, C.R. (2019) 'Nurturing nature: how brain development is inherently social and emotional, and what this means for education', Educational Psychologist, 54(3), pp. 185–204.

Innerarity, D. (2012) The future and its enemies: in defense of political hope. Palo Alto: Stanford University Press.

International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century (1996) Learning: the treasure within. Report to UNESCO of the International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century. Paris: UNESCO.

Khader, S.J. (2011) Adaptive preferences and women's empowerment. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Kitcher, P. (2009) 'Education, democracy, and capitalism', in Siegel, H. (ed.), The Oxford handbook of philosophy of education.doi: 10.1093/ oxfordhb/9780195312881.003.0018.

Kohli, R., Pizarro, M. and Nevárez, A. (2017) 'The "new racism" of K–12 schools: centering critical research on racism', Review of Research in Education, 41(1), pp. 182–202.

Kuznets, S. (1955) 'Economic growth and income inequality', The American Economic Review, 45(1), pp. 1–28.

Jazaieri, H., Lee, I.A., McGonigal, K., Jinpa, T., Doty, J.R., ... and Goldin, P.R. (2016) 'A wandering mind is a less caring mind: daily experience sampling during compassion meditation training', The Journal of Positive Psychology, 11(1), pp. 37–50.

Labaree, D.F. (1997) 'Public goods, private goods: the American struggle over educational goals', American Educational Research Journal, 34(1), pp. 39–81.

Laukkonen, R.E., Biddel, H. and Gallagher, R. (2019) Preparing humanity for change and artificial intelligence: learning to learn as a safeguard against volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity. Paris: OECD.

Layard, P.R.G. (2011) Happiness: lessons from a new science. London: Penguin.



Lukowski, A. F., Koss, M., Burden, M. J., Jonides, J., Nelson, C.A., ... and Lozoff, B. (2010) 'Iron deficiency in infancy and neurocognitive functioning at 19 years: evidence of long-term deficits in executive function and recognition memory', Nutritional Neuroscience, 13(2), pp. 54–70.

Mack, O., Khare, A., Kramer, A. and Burgartz, T. (eds.) (2016) Managing in a VUCA world. Berlin: Springer.

Matthews, K. A. and Gallo, L. C. (2011) 'Psychological perspectives on pathways linking socioeconomic status and physical health', Annual Review of Psychology, 62, pp. 501–530.

McMahon, W.W. (2000) Education and development: measuring the social benefits. London: Clarendon Press.

Marginson, S. (1989) Human capital theory and education policy.Kensington: Public Sector Research Centre, University of New South Wales, Sydney.

Marginson, S. (2019) 'Limitations of human capital theory', Studies in Higher Education, 44(2), pp. 287–301.

McCowan, T. (2011) 'Human rights, capabilities and the normative basis of "education for all", Theory and Research in Education, 9(3), pp. 283–298. Merzenich, M.M. and Jenkins, W.M. (1995) 'Cortical plasticity, learning, and learning dysfunction', in Julesz, B. and Kovacs, I. (eds.), Maturational windows and adult cortical plasticity(Santa Fe Institute Studies in the Sciences of Complexity Proceedings).New York:Routledge, pp. 247–271.

Millar, C.C., Groth, O. and Mahon, J.F. (2018) 'Management innovation in a VUCA world: challenges and recommendations', California Management Review, 61(1), pp. 5–14.

Miller, J.P., Nigh, K., Binder, M.J., Novak, B. and Crowell, S. (eds.) (2018) International handbook of holistic education. London: Routledge.

Mkandawire, T. and Unies, N. (2001) Social policy in a development context (vol. 25). Geneva: United Nations Research Institute for Social Development.

Morin, E. (2002) Seven complex lessons in education for the future. Paris: UNESCO.

Nussbaum, M.C. (2001) Women and human development: the capabilities approach (vol. 3). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Nussbaum, M.C. (2010) Not for profit: why democracy needs the humanities. Princeton: Princeton University Press. Oberle, E. and Schonert-Reichl, K.A. (2016) 'Stress contagion in the classroom? The link between classroom teacher burnout and morning cortisol in elementary school students', Social Science & Medicine, 159, pp. 30–37.

OECD (2001) The well-being of nations: the role of human and social capital. Education and skills. Paris: OECD.

OECD (2019) OECD skill strategy 2019: skills to shape a better future. Paris: OECD.

Olssen, M. and Peters, M.A. (2005) 'Neoliberalism, higher education and the knowledge economy: from the free market to knowledge capitalism', Journal of Education Policy, 20(3), pp. 313–345.

Palmer, P.J., Zajonc, A. and Scribner, M. (2010) The heart of higher education: a call to renewal. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

Pfefferbaum, B. and North, C.S. (2020) 'Mental health and the Covid-19 pandemic', New England Journal of Medicine, 383, pp. 510–512.

Philipsen, D. (2015) The little big number: how GDP came to rule the world and what to do about it. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Piketty, T. (2014) Capital in the twenty first century. Cambridge, MA: The Belknap Press.

R E F E R E N C E S

Piketty, T. (2020) Capital and ideology. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Postman, N. (2011) The end of education: redefining the value of school. New York and Tronto: Vintage.

Ranis, G., Stewart, F. and Ramirez, A. (2000) 'Economic growth and human development', World Development, 28(2), pp. 197–219.

Raworth, K. (2017) Doughnut economics: seven ways to think like a 21st-century economist. Hartford: Chelsea Green Publishing.

Robeyns, I. (2006) 'Three models of education: rights, capabilities and human capital', Theory and Research in Education, 4(1), pp. 69–84.

Rogers, D. S., Duraiappah, A. K., Antons, D. C., Munoz, P., Bai, X., Fragkias, M. and Gutscher, H. (2012) 'A vision for human well-being: transition to social Sustainability', Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 4, pp. 1–13.

Rosa, E., McCright, A. and Renn, O. (2013) The risk society revisited: social theory and risk governance. Philadelphia, PA: Temple University Press.

Rosa, H. (2013) Social acceleration: a new theory of modernity. New York: Columbia University Press.

Rostow, W.W. and Kennedy, M. (1990) Theorists of economic growth from David Hume to the present: with a perspective on the next century. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Sapolsky, R.M. (2017) Behave: the biology of humans at our best and worst. London: Penguin.

Schüller, S. (2016) 'The effects of 9/11 on attitudes toward immigration and the moderating role of education', Kyklos, 69(4), pp. 604–632.

Schwab, J.J. (1982) Science, curriculum, and liberal education: selected essays. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Sen, A. (1980) 'Equality of what?', The Tanner lecture on human values, 1, pp. 197–220.

Sen, A. (1992) Inequality reexamined. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Sen, A. (1997) 'Development and thinking at the beginning of the 21st century', Discussion paper DEDPS/2. London: Suntory and Toyota International Centres for Economics and Related Disciplines.

Sen, A. (1999) Commodities and capabilities. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Sen, A. (2000). Development as freedom. New York: Alfred A Knopf. Sheinman, N., Hadar, L.L., Gafni, D. and Milman, M. (2018) 'Preliminary investigation of whole-school mindfulness in education programs and children's mindfulness-based coping strategies', Journal of Child and Family Studies, 27(10), pp. 3316–3328.

Stewart, F. (2006) 'Basic needs apporach', in Clark, D. (ed.), The Elgar companion to development studies. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, pp. 14–18.

Stiglitz, J. (1998) Towards a new paradigm for development. Geneva: United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.

Stiglitz, J. (1999) 'Public policy for a knowledge economy', Remarks at the Department for Trade and Industry and Center for Economic Policy Research, 27(3), pp. 3–6.

Stiglitz, J. E. (2007) Making globalization work. New York: W.W. Norton.

Stiglitz, J.E. and Greenwald, B.C. (2014) Creating a learning society: a new approach to growth, development, and social progress. New York: Columbia University Press.

Stiglitz, J.E., Sen, A. and Fitoussi, J. P. (2009) Report by the commission on the measurement of economic performance and social progress. Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/ documents/8131721/8131772/Stiglitz-

Sen-Fitoussi-Commission-report.pdf (Accessed: 1 October 2021).

Sweetland, S.R. (1996) 'Human capital theory: foundations of a field of inquiry', Review of Educational Research, 66(3), pp. 341–359.

Taleb, N.N. (2007) The black swan: the impact of the highly improbable. New York: Random House.

Tawil, S. and Cougoureux, M. (2013) Revisiting learning: the treasure within– Assessing the impact of the 1996 'Delors Report'. UNESCO Research and Foresight Occasional Papers 4. Paris: UNESCO.

Thorbecke, E. (2007) 'The evolution of the development doctrine, 1950–2005', in Mavrotas, G. and Shorrocks, A. (eds.) Advancing development: core themes in global economics. Berlin: Springer, pp. 3–36.

Toffler, A. (1970) Future shock. New York: Bantam.

UNDESA (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs) (2005) Millennium Development Goals: a progress report. New York: UNDESA.

UNESCO, UNFPA, UNICEF and UN (2015) Incheon declaration and framework for action for the implementation of Sustainable Development Goal 4. Paris: UNESCO. Voogt, J. and Roblin, N.P. (2012) 'A comparative analysis of international frameworks for 21st century competences: implications for national curriculum policies', Journal of Curriculum Studies, 44(3), pp. 299–321.

Walker, M. and Unterhalter, E. (2007) Amartya Sen's capability approach and social justice in education. Berlin: Springer.

Waters, L. (2011) 'A review of schoolbased positive psychology interventions', The Educational and Developmental Psychologist, 28(2), pp. 75–90.

White, J.P. (2011) Exploring well-being in schools: a guide to making children's lives more fulfilling. London: Taylor & Francis.

Whiteley, P.F. (2000) 'Economic growth and social capital', Political Studies, 48(3), pp. 443–446.

WHO (2004). Promoting mental health: Concepts, emerging evidence, practice: summary report. Geneva: World Health Organization.

WHO (2017). Mental health status of adolescents in South-East Asia: evidence for action. New Delhi: World Health Organization, Regional Office for South-East Asia.

Williams, D. (2014) 'The study of development', in Currie-Alder, B.,

Kanbur, R., Malone, M.D. and Medhora, R. (eds.), International development – ideas, experience and prospects. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 35–50.

Williamson, J. (2009) 'A short history of the Washington Consensus', Law and Business Review of the Americas, 15(1), pp. 7–23.

World Education Forum (2000) The Dakar framework for action. Education for all: meeting our collective commitments. Paris: UNESCO.

Zenner, C., Herrnleben-Kurz, S. and Walach, H. (2014) 'Mindfulness-based interventions in schools – a systematic review and meta-analysis', Frontiers in Psychology, 5, 603. https://doi. org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00603. WORKING Group 01

CHAPTER



Education for flourishing and flourishing in education

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56383/XBXZ7711

This chapter should be cited as:

De Ruyter, D., Oades, L.G., Waghid, Y., Ehrenfeld, J., Gilead, T. and Singh, N.C. (2022) in Duraiappah, A.K., van Atteveldt, N.M., Borst, G., Bugden, S., Ergas, O., Gilead, T., Gupta, L., Mercier, J., Pugh, K., Singh, N.C. and Vickers, E.A. (eds.) Reimagining Education: The International Science and Evidence based Assessment. New Delhi: UNESCO MGIEP.
This chapter aims to elucidate theoretical meanings of flourishing and education, and the relation between them. Throughout history a variety of ideas about the nature and purpose of these activities has been developed. This chapter considers the purpose and aims of education. It will explicate what viewing this purpose or ultimate aim may mean in terms of human flourishing and how ideas about human flourishing influence thinking about the practice of education and vice versa, given they are perceived as being in a dialectical relationship.

Coordinating Lead Authors

Doret de Ruyter Lindsay G. Oades Yusef Waghid

Lead Authors

John Ehrenfeld Tal Gilead Nandini Chatterjee Singh



INTRODUCTION

This chapter aims to elucidate theoretical meanings of flourishing, education and the relation between them. Throughout history a variety of ideas about the nature and purpose of these activities has been developed. This chapter deals with the purpose and aims of education. It will explicate what viewing this purpose or ultimate aim in terms of human flourishing could mean and how ideas about human flourishing influence ideas about the practice of education and vice versa (they are perceived in a dialectical relationship).

The chapter begins with an exploration and explication of various interpretations of 'flourishing' (conceptual and theoretical) as well as the normative claims that substantiate interpretations of flourishing. These are lenses through which one can describe flourishing. We propose a formal description of human flourishing that is both general and comprehensive and explains all parts of them extensively:

Human flourishing is both the optimal continuing development of human beings' potentials and living well as a human being. It means being engaged in relationships and activities that are meaningful, that is, aligned with both an individual's own values and humanistic values, in a way that is satisfying to them. Flourishing is conditional on the contribution of individuals and requires an enabling environment.

Section 2.2 provides a justification for interpreting education as a necessary condition for flourishing, and section 2.3 discusses how interpretations of flourishing and education influence one another. The chapter ends with a few recommendations about the use of the concepts in policy documents.



2.1

Meaning(s) of human flourishing

Human flourishing as a concept is envisaged and analysed in many ways. Thomas Kuhn (1970) alerted us to the influence of paradigms of thinking or theoretical goggles (lenses) in the examination of concepts – a paradigm or theoretical framework determines meanings that make up concepts. Theorists use different lenses to make judgements about particular understandings of concepts; for instance, prominent thinkers such as Ludwig Wittgenstein (objectivism), Hans-George Gadamer (interpretivism), Jurgen Habermas (criticism) and Jacques Derrida (deconstruction) made different pronouncements about education. We use an interpretive– critical lens to analyse human flourishing and then examine

2 C H A P T E R

Our analysis of human flourishing in relation to education is not remiss of the fact that any understanding of human action such as flourishing and education cannot be delinked from societal practices such as culture, ethnicity, language, ideology, (non)religion and any other lived experience. the consequences of such an analysis for education.Likewise, our analysis of human flourishing in relation to education is not remiss of the fact that any understanding of human action such as flourishing and education cannot be delinked from societal practices such as culture, ethnicity, language, ideology, (non)religion and any other lived experience (Taylor, 1985; WG2-ch1). Taylor (1985, p. 93) posits that 'certain selfdescriptions' of human actions are constitutive of what makes such practices what they are. Our view is similar: the human capacity for common action is embedded in and informed by religion, culture, ethnicity and language.

Therefore, ideas about what it means to flourish and educate diverge. We do not intend to evaluate the religious, cultural or ethnic interpretations of human flourishing, but aim to develop an understanding of flourishing that can be accepted by everyone. It is therefore necessarily a formal explanation.

2.1 .1

LOCALISING FLOURISHING IN THE LANDSCAPE OF CONCEPTS AND THEORIES

Although we find the word 'flourish' only once in the Delors Report (International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century, 1996, p. 126), the concept is unmistakably present. For instance, in its description of a utopian world, the committee writes: '... education is at the heart of both personal and community development; its mission is to enable each of us, without exception, to develop all our talents to the full and to realize our creative potential, including responsibility for our own lives and achievement of our personal aims' (International **Commission on Education for the** Twenty-first Century, 1996, p. 19).

Flourishing can be regarded as a particular interpretation of wellbeing. This means that 'well-being' is an umbrella term under which we can locate the two central concepts used to express an individual's well-being, namely happiness and flourishing. Alexandrova (2017) states that a concern with human well-being is at the very root of modern social science. If there were a science of well-being or flourishing, it would be important to clarify a philosophy of science of wellbeing. With regard to such a science, Alexandrova (2017) states that there are three possibilities in our conception or utterance of well-being, which are relevant also to flourishing. Firstly, a circumscription approach to flourishing would aim to circumscribe the notion of flourishing in a particular domain. Philosophers used to follow this approach, describing what flourishing means and interpreting other uses of the term as careless, without any consideration for diversity. Secondly, in a differential realization approach the semantic content of 'well-being' or 'flourishing' does not vary, but acknowledges that how the state is realized varies with context. This is relevant to discussions of whether flourishing is a normative concept. That is, a human may realize flourishing in different ways, in different contexts. There

is not necessarily a normative expectation as to how they do so. Finally, contextualism, drawn from contemporary approaches within a philosophy of language, would assert that flourishing assertions themselves need to be indexed to specific circumstances or conditions. We adhere to the second interpretation, suggesting that it is possible to give a general description of flourishing and education, but acknowledging that their interpretations and realizations vary between cultures, traditions and human beings.

Flourishing can be regarded as a particular interpretation of well-being. This means that 'well-being' is an umbrella term under which we can locate the two central concepts used to express an individual's well-being, namely happiness and flourishing.

Although 'happiness' and 'flourishing' are sometimes used interchangeably, in both daily language and theory, it is important to distinguish clearly between the two, because they do refer to different types of well-

2 C H A P T E R

Characteristic of flourishing, is that individuals develop and enact their potential in an optimal way and doing so can mean that at times one has to be persistent, and overcome frustrations and negative emotions. being. We note three differences. Firstly, in common language, the prime characteristic of happiness is a person's positive emotional state - when someone says they are happy, they express that they are in a good mood, exhilarated, tranquil or satisfied, etc. While we may need to know the person to have a better idea of the type of positive emotional state they are experiencing, we can make some inferences about their positive emotions. Flourishing, on the other hand, is used primarily in reference to an optimal state of something, be it a flower, a tree, a community or a human being. Human flourishing focuses on the ways in which human beings live their lives, for instance, those mentioned in the Delors Report (International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century, 1996) that people (can) optimally develop. A second and related distinction is that happiness is primarily evaluated from a first-person perspective - for instance, when a person says they are happy, it is deduced that they are happy. While outsiders may say that the person does not have good reasons

for being happy, the person's own evaluation of happiness is sufficient. Flourishing, however, also has criteria that are not subjective and therefore a person might be mistaken in saying they are flourishing. This is the same as for evaluations about the natural world, for example, we would be surprised if someone were to say that a tree that is affected by acid rain is flourishing. Thirdly, happiness can refer to feelings experienced during short periods of time, for instance when people have received good news or see their good friends again. We do not use the word flourishing in that way. When we say that we are flourishing or that another person is flourishing, we give a positive evaluation of a longer period in time and also about the quality of their life overall. The following example can clarify these distinctions. A homeless person who is addicted to crack can correctly say they are happy when they have just smoked their pipe, while we would disagree with them if they were to say that they are flourishing. It also means that one can say that one flourishes



even though there are periods in which one does not feel happy or is struggling to learn or do something (Badhwar, 2014a, 2014b).

Characteristic of flourishing, as we explicate later on, is that individuals develop and enact their potential in an optimal way and doing so can mean that at times one has to be persistent, and overcome frustrations and negative emotions. This contrasts with Aristotle's view of human flourishing, on the grounds that a virtuous person does not feel these negative emotions – this is precisely what distinguishes them from what he calls a continent person (e.g. Kristjánsson, 2020). Education could be a source of diminished feelings of happiness, not only because of the noted emotions while learning, but also because education could also

2 C H A P T E R

The subjective wellbeing theories presume that a) human beings themselves are the judges of their wellbeing and b) that people experience wellbeing when they have positive emotions about their life. make one realize that there are boundaries to one's flourishing that one would not know if one had not been introduced to them. We defend good quality education for all human beings to enable their flourishing, not to enhance their feelings of happiness and defend it even if it turns out that unhappy episodes increase.

Happiness as a positive emotional state is what Haybron (2008) calls the psychological interpretation of happiness, which is a descriptive interpretation (pp. 31, 39) – it denotes that a person is happy, which can be investigated by simply asking a person about their feelings. This is a different concept from the concept of happiness as used in philosophical subjective well-being theories. Such theories describe and defend what constitutes a happy life; normative criteria are introduced regarding the correct reasons for using 'happiness'.

In the academic literature, three main clusters¹ of well-being theories can be distinguished. By elucidating the differences, several characteristics of flourishing as a particular concept will become clear. Flourishing itself will be further elaborated upon in the next section.

The first group of theories are the so-called hedonic theories of well-being (e.g. Waterman, 2013). They are also known as subjective well-being theories. These theories presume that a) human beings themselves are the judges of their well-being and b) that people

¹Other distinctions have been made as well. We mention two examples. Firstly, within positive psychology, Waterman (2013) distinguishes: a) subjective well-being; b) psychological well-being (well-being as flourishing); and c) eudaimonic well-being (well-being as self-realization). Thus, he uses similar terms, but for different concepts: well-being as flourishing is called psychological well-being rather than eudaimonic well-being, while self-realization tends to be seen as an aspect of eudaimonic well-being rather than the complete description of it. Secondly, Tiberius (2013) makes a distinction between five types of theory: hedonism, desire-fulfilment, life-satisfaction, objective-list and nature fulfilment. These theories can be placed under the three groups of theories – the first would be an example of a hedonic theory, the second and third are examples of a mixed theory and the final two are examples of objective theories.

According to objective theories, persons live a life of well-being if they realize goods that are deemed to be objectively good for all people or if they develop or have developed their human capacities to the full. experience well-being when they have positive emotions about their life. There are different types of subjective well-being theories but all suggest normative criteria as to what it is that should make people happy. For example, people can be said to be (truly) happy when they are able to: a) do what they most like doing (hedonism or actual desire satisfaction theory); b) fulfill the desires that benefit their interests (Griffin, 1986); c) live according to their values (Tiberius, **2018**); and d) undertake activities that require high-quality human capacities (Mill, 1863). There are also theories that take positive emotions as the prime component and add other elements that have an objective character, like Seligman's (2010) PERMA model: Positive emotion, Engagement, Positive relationships, Meaning and Accomplishment.

The second group of theories are the so-called eudaimonic theories of well-being. According to objective theories, persons live a life of well-being if they realize goods that are deemed to be objectively good for all people (Arneson, 1999) or if they develop or have developed their human capacities to the full. Central to these theories is the idea of optimal functioning, the pursuit of excellence of or the best in oneself (e.g. Kraut, 2007; Kristjánsson, 2020). Of course, theories about what constitutes optimal functioning or the pursuit of excellence may differ.

The third group of theories are the so-called mixed or blended theories. They see both the realization of objective goods as necessary for well-being and the positive evaluation of this by the individual. Mixed theories of well-being combine an objective standard as proposed by the objective theories with the subjective theories' claim that satisfaction with one's life is a necessary condition for wellbeing (e.g. Badhwar, 2014a, 2014b). An example of a mixed theory is Joseph Raz's well-being theory, the conditions of which 'steer a middle course' (Raz, 1986, p. 308). This theory has influenced many analytic philosophers (of education) (in the context of this





chapter, for instance, De Ruyter (2007, 2018) and White (2011). According to Raz (2004, p. 292), well-being 'consists in successful pursuit of valuable goals and relationships'. 'Valuable' means that they are whole-heartedly accepted by the individual (implying that the person is autonomous) and that the goals are worthwhile (they are believed to have value 'at least in part independent of the fact that they were chosen and are pursued' (**Raz**, 1986, p. 308).

The term 'flourishing' is used by proponents of all three types of well-being theories, but in the case of the first group only by theorists who introduce non-subjective criteria into their explanations

of what it means to flourish as a human being.

In addition to demarcating flourishing from well-being, it is important to examine thriving. In popular language 'thriving' is often juxtaposed with 'surviving', drawing either literally or metaphorically from evolutionary biology. In paediatrics, failure to thrive indicates insufficient weight gain or possibly weight loss, not within the expected developmental trajectory. The old Norse etymology of thrive, thrifa, to grasp, to get hold of, is more informative than later usage which simply means to grow or increase. Growth or increase does not explicitly mention the environment which is being grasped, or got hold of.

Thriving, like flourishing, has its etymology in an organism in an environment. The term 'thriving' primarily describes the process of the dialectic with the environment; similar to developmental systems approaches, which assert that development of organisms

can be influenced, involving a bi-directional relationship between genetic and cultural factors. Semantic distinctions are important to education, as it is the very 'taking hold of the environment' which is closely related to many aspects of the development of educational potential. The operationalization of eudaimonic well-being within positive psychology and wellbeing science (Ryff and Keyes, 1995) includes environmental mastery and broader discussions of functionings, as does Sen's justice theory, from an economic perspective.

2.1 .2

SOURCES FOR Developing A Description of 'flourishing'

This section describes how the concept of flourishing is used in a variety of academic disciplines.

2 C H A P T E R

According to Aristotle, eudaimonia is that to which all human beings strive and is an end in itself, that is, human beings do not aim to flourish in order to realize something like wealth, happiness or spiritual enlightenment in life or after the current life of the human being. The interpretations have been written by representatives from these domains and are meant to give a brief introduction to the breadth of interpretations of flourishing. Not surprisingly, the academic disciplines have their own language and highlight certain aspects of flourishing that are the focal point of their research - in neuroscience, for example, flourishing has a different denotation than in economics. The presented disciplines show the breadth in which flourishing is conceptualized and discussed and form another background for a description of flourishing.

INTERPRETATIONS IN PHILOSOPHY

The ancient Greek philosopher Aristotle was one of the first in the history of Western philosophy to develop a comprehensive theory of human flourishing, which he called eudaimonia (having a good soul or spirit). According to Aristotle, eudaimonia is that to which all human beings strive and is an end in itself (2009, p. 10), that is, human beings do not aim to flourish in order to realize

something like wealth, happiness or spiritual enlightenment in life or after the current life of the human being. It is not enough to know that human beings strive for flourishing, in order to discover what human flourishing is, one has to investigate what is characteristic of human nature (what is their function). He concludes that: 'Human good turns out to be [an] activity of the soul exhibiting virtue, and if there are more than one virtue, in accordance with the best and most complete' (Aristotle, 2009, p. 12). Human beings flourish when they act virtuously (e.g. Annas, 1993, p. 49; Aristotle, 2009; Curren, 2013; Dunne, 1999; Kristjánsson, 2013, p. 29; MacIntyre, 1967; Nussbaum, 1986; Pakaluk, 2006, p. 385). Being a virtuous person is the first central characteristic in Aristotle's interpretation of flourishing. The implication is that children cannot flourish as they are not yet virtuous persons. The second characteristic is that flourishing is a 'dynamic state', as much an ongoing quest (activity) as a state of being (e.g. Rasmussen, 1999, p. 3; Kristjánsson, 2020). For Aristotle,

'Flourishing' is also used by philosophers (of education) whose interpretation of 'flourishing' departs most significantly from the link between flourishing and virtuousness. this meant that a life can only be evaluated as flourishing from the perspective of an entire life and thus at the end of a person's life; people can meet great misfortunes later in life and this affects our judgement as to whether they lived a flourishing life. Yet, he did presume that it is possible to say that a virtuous adult is flourishing (Aristotle, 2009, p. 17), defending this by claiming that a virtuous adult will be able to deal with misfortunes well and make the most of their life.

Philosophers (of education) who are deeply inspired by Aristotle (e.g. Curren, 2013; Curzer, 2012; Kristjánsson, 2020) have differences of opinion if virtuosity is a necessary condition of human flourishing. Indeed, Kristjánsson (2020) suggests that it is sufficient for a person to act like a virtuous person while they are still overcoming intentions and emotions that counter virtuous action, which a truly virtuous person does not have to do. Examples include overcoming irritations caused by one's impatience when helping an elderly person or countering one's prejudices against women in a job application process.

'Flourishing' is also used by philosophers (of education) who do not consider themselves to be neo-Aristotelians, but rather liberal or critical philosophers. Their interpretation of 'flourishing' departs most significantly from the link between flourishing and virtuousness. They suggest, for instance, that flourishing means: a) that a person is able to identify with the life they are living and that this life contains valuable objective goods (Brighouse, 2006); or b) wholehearted and successful engagement in worthwhile relationships, activities and experiences (White, 2007, 2011)². A recent prominent view on flourishing, developed by John

 $^{^2}$ For an extensive overview of ideas of philosophers of education about 'flourishing' see **De Ruyter and** Wolbert, 2020.

2 C H A P T E R

In terms of human flourishing, research within empirical psychology has been influenced strongly by debates about hedonic versus eudaimonic conceptualizations of well-being Ehrenfeld, draws not only from philosophical theories but also from biology and psychology (Ehrenfeld, 2019; e.g.Interpretations in ecology and ecosystems, below, written by Ehrenfeld)³. In his existential or ecosystemic interpretation of flourishing, human flourishing not only requires a flourishing ecological system or viability (passing through genetically driven stages from birth to death, like all living organisms), but also existential flourishing. This may emerge when people can develop personal wholeness and live in a situation of social coherence. Personal wholeness springs from the uniqueness of every individual – it is an expression of authenticity, reflecting the person's own values and norms. Social coherence represents the systemic aspect of flourishing and 'is manifest through effective actions within institutions such that the objectives of the particular institutions are being attained' (Ehrenfeld, 2020, p. 3). While viability is timeless, personal wholeness and social coherence are historical and therefore relative to agent, time and culture.

INTERPRETATIONS IN PSYCHOLOGY⁴

In terms of human flourishing, research within empirical psychology has been influenced strongly by debates about hedonic versus eudaimonic conceptualizations of wellbeing (Waterman, 2013). With the increased interest in positive psychology as a subfield, this debate has intensified. The debates are particularly around how well-being is operationally defined so it can be measured (an objectivism lens) as opposed to meta-theoretical or philosophical explanations. Theoretical positions

³We are also thankful for the various short texts that John Ehrenfeld sent us in the process of writing this chapter.

⁴This section also makes use of a short paper written by Nandini Chatterjee Singh.





within psychology often revolve around the primacy given to cognition, affect or motivation and their respective effect on wellbeing. The measurement debate often includes the degree to which the characteristics of a person are stable traits or are attributed to the situation (immediate or ongoing external conditions). This section considers the recent trait-like taxonomy of character strengths, before considering the affective components of well-being and flourishing, and then comments on motivational aspects of wellbeing and flourishing.

Peterson and Seligman's (2004) taxonomy of character strengths within positive psychology is a strong example of the conceptual links with eudaimonia and virtues. This approach classifies six virtues which are divided

2 C H A P T E R

One noticeable change that emerged through these various models was that the concept of mental health began being phrased in positive terms rather than by the absence of mental illness into 24 measurable character strengths and has been significant in making conceptualizations of virtue ethics appear more tangible, measurable and teachable. This has led to a significant interest in such approaches from practitioners in workplaces and schools with the notion of identifying and using one's character strengths becoming well known, similar to people understanding their personality. This has spawned empirical research investigating the relationship between the use of character strengths and well-being and is also closely linked to the rise of the positive psychology and classroom intervention known as positive education (Seligman et al., 2009). Positive education refers to the use of approaches with empirical support from positive psychology used within educational settings, to enable students to learn and develop approaches which support flourishing and well-being. These approaches have become increasingly used, often based on Seligman's (2010) theory of well-being, better known by the acronym PERMA, which refers to

positive emotions, engagement, (positive) relationships, meaning and accomplishment. With the combination of character strengths and discussion of well-being through PERMA, aspects of popular discussion and student learning around the relationship between character strengths (indirectly virtue-ethics) and wellbeing (eudaimonism) has become more prominent and has led to further empirical investigation of these relationships in schools, workplaces and health services.

Bradburn's (1969) 'hedonic balance' focuses on emotion, and suggests well-being is maximized by a high ratio of positive to negative affect. Diener's tripartite model of subjective well-being describes how people experience the quality of their lives and includes both emotional reactions and cognitive judgements (Diener et al., 1999, 2018). Ryff's (1989) model of psychological wellbeing articulates six dimensions that are purported to be more directly tied to the philosophical traditions of the ancient Greeks and psychological theories from

Self-determination theory posits that if conditions afford the opportunity for these psychological needs to be satisfied, a person will function better, that is, experience well-being. humanistic, existential and developmental traditions. The six dimensions are self-acceptance, environmental mastery, positive relations with others, autonomy, purpose in life and personal growth.

Ryan and Frederick (1997) propose the phenomenon of subjective vitality, defined as one's conscious experience of possessing energy and aliveness, which has been viewed as a reflection of both organismic and psychological wellness (Diener et al., 1999, 2018; Ryff and Keyes, 1995) and is therefore influenced by both psychological and somatic factors. As a marker of wellness, subjective vitality has the advantage of being a highly accessible, phenomenologically based variable that is content-free with respect to external criteria of well-being such as objective success, health, social supports or aspirational attainments. It is also a variable that can be meaningfully placed within both biological and psychological theories of human functioning (Ryan and Frederick, 1997). Keyes (1998) combines the dimensions of subjective and

psychological well-being and adds to it a third dimension of social well-being.

One noticeable change that emerged through these various models was that the concept of mental health began being phrased in positive terms rather than by the absence of mental illness (Keyes, 2002). Thus, flourishing was meant to contrast not just with pathology but also languishing: a disorder intermediate along the mental health continuum experienced by people who describe their lives as 'hollow' or 'empty'. Fredrickson and Losada (2005) extend these ideas and describe 'flourishing' as a means to live within an optimal range of human functioning, one that connotes goodness, generativity, growth and resilience. Building on the emotional states of human beings, they propose affect to represent the spectrum of valenced feeling states and attitudes. While positive affect and positivity interchangeably represent the pleasant end (emotional states such as feeling grateful, upbeat; expressing appreciation, liking)

2 C H A P T E R

> negative affect and negativity represent the unpleasant end (e.g. feeling contemptuous, irritable; expressing disdain, dislike).

In terms of motivational theories relating to flourishing, humanistic theories have the most relevance. Self-determination theory (Deci and Ryan, 1985), also known as organismic dialectical theory, in which needs are met via an interaction between the person (internal) and their (external) environmental conditions, is a needs theory of motivation, positing that humans have three universal psychological needs: autonomy, competence and relatedness. These are conceptualized as nutriments, necessary for psychological functioning, which have to be nurtured by the social environment. For instance, an autonomy-supporting teacher will provide greater choices and pedagogic opportunities for a student, whose intrinsic motivation to study will increase. Self-determination theory posits that if conditions afford the opportunity for these

psychological needs to be satisfied, a person will function better, that is, experience well-being. Due to its conceptualization of optimal functioning, it is considered as an eudaimonic approach to wellbeing amongst empirical wellbeing researchers (e.g. Ryan, Curren and Deci, 2013).

INTERPRETATIONS IN NEUROPSYCHOLOGY/ NEUROSCIENCE

Advances in neuroimaging techniques have led to much interest and progress in unravelling the neurobiological circuits in the human brain that promote human flourishing. Much of this work has focused on uncovering links between brain circuits and human behaviour during states of flourishing. Broadly described as 'positive neuroscience' it seeks to unravel the neural mechanisms that support flourishing, psychological well-being, resilience and promotion of health (Kong et al., 2020). A recent meta-analysis of functional magnetic resonance imaging studies demonstrates

Advances in neuroimaging techniques have led to much interest and progress in unravelling the neurobiological circuits in the human brain that promote human flourishing.





that prosocial behaviour activates distinct regions of the brain that include the insula, temporal lobe and superior temporal gyrus. This is distinct from areas activated during reward which include the lentiform nucleus, thalamus, caudate nucleus, parahippocampal gyrus and anterior cingulate cortex (Wang et al., 2019). These findings indicate the possibility of distinct neural circuitry in the brain associated with human flourishing.

2 C H A P T E R

These brain circuits underlying human flourishing can be cultivated by explicit training and can thus be taught like literacy and numeracy and should therefore be mainstreamed into education systems and classrooms. Along similar lines, a separate set of studies investigating neural circuits linked to eudaimonic well-being and subjective wellbeing find interesting divergence in underlying brain areas. While the left middle temporal/fusiform gyrus is a hub node of a network associated with eudaimonic wellbeing (Diener et al., 2018), the left primary/secondary somatosensory cortex is a hub node of the network associated with subjective well-being, suggesting that eudemonic and subjective wellbeing are localized in different regions of the brain. A number of brain imaging studies also document the role of mindfulness/ meditation (Davidson et al., 2003; King et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019) and compassion (Klimecki et al., 2013) in improving well-being with an increase in prosocial behaviour. An important and notable feature here is the positive effect of regular training in the cultivation of well-being and flourishing. By examining the brain structure of Tibetan Buddhist monks, Davidson and colleagues show that regular meditation practices produce behavioural and structural changes in the brain that promote increased well-being (Davidson and Lutz, 2008). This emerging research has led to the exciting and encouraging proposition that well-being and flourishing can be trained or cultivated by regular practice based on the principles of neuroplasticity, which is the ability of the brain to change due to training (Draganski et al., 2004).

How might these findings manifest in learning and education? Firstly, human flourishing has a neurobiological basis and requires specific brain circuits to be nurtured. These brain circuits contribute to the development of competencies of social and emotional learning that may be described as necessary skills that are required to equip all learners to identify and navigate emotions, practise mindful engagement and exhibit prosocial behaviour for human flourishing towards a peaceful and sustainable planet (Singh and Duraiappah, 2019). Secondly, these brain circuits underlying human flourishing can be cultivated by explicit training and can thus be taught like

literacy and numeracy and should therefore be mainstreamed into education systems and classrooms. Thirdly, human flourishing has measurable outcomes which may allow us to evaluate it in learning and education. These and many more ideas underlying the science behind human flourishing are discussed in **WG1-ch3**.

New insights and approaches from neuropsychology and neuroscience to the field of human flourishing suggest great promise and excitement not only in measuring and characterizing human flourishing but also in adding to our understanding of the neurobiological basis that is part of the different constructs of flourishing (Keyes, 2002; Diener et al., 2018). We look forward to newer insights and understanding of how flourishing as skill develops in the future

INTERPRETATIONS IN ECONOMICS

Until quite recently, mainstream economists have avoided discussing flourishing or related

terms such as welfare, well-being and happiness. Nevertheless, concepts of flourishing, or what makes life worthy, are an inevitable element of economic theory and always underlie it either explicitly or implicitly (Oswald, 1997). Up until the twentieth century economics was dominated by hedonic conceptions of flourishing or happiness. Grounded in utilitarianism, most economists, including Henry Sidgwick, Alfred Marshall and Williams Stanley Jevons, assumed that happiness is achieved by maximizing pleasure and minimizing pain (Sen, 2008). Some disagreement existed regarding what constitutes pleasure and pain and how to weigh them, but the basic principles of the hedonistic concept were retained (Juster, 1991).

In the second quarter of the twentieth century, the hedonistic concept of happiness was being gradually discredited in mainstream economic theory for being unmeasurable and unscientific and was eventually replaced by a preference based approach (**Drakopoulos**, **1997**). This

In the second quarter of the twentieth century, the hedonistic concept of happiness was being gradually discredited in mainstream economic theory for being unmeasurable and unscientific and was eventually replaced by a preference based approach

2 C H A P T E R



move seemingly disconnected economics from happiness and flourishing because the latter were no longer needed to explain economic phenomena (Harsanyi, 1996). In practice, however, it led mainstream economists to implicitly embrace a concept of flourishing based on desire satisfaction. According to this approach, the more one satisfies one's actual desires, the better off one is (Sumner, 2003). In other words, we flourish when we receive what we want. The notion of desire satisfaction also stands as a basis of the relationship between flourishing and material wealth. It is assumed that the wealthier we are, either as individuals or as a state, the more we can satisfy our desires or create the conditions that enable us to do so.

What characterizes concepts of flourishing underlining economics, both old and new, is

a commitment to subjectivism and maximization. In economic theory it is assumed that each individual is the best judge of their own interest (Penz, 2008). The economic commitment to subjectivism has both an epistemic and moral justification. It is held that one knows oneself better than anyone else and that from a moral perspective paternalism should be avoided (Norton, 1994). Economic concepts also assume that the level of flourishing results from the aggregation of positive experiences, be it pleasures in nineteenth-century conceptions or desire satisfaction today (Hausman, McPherson and Satz. 2016). The aim in economics is, therefore, to maximize positive experiences. In the nineteenth century the aim was the maximization of pleasure, but this was replaced by maximizing desire satisfaction.

For all life forms, other than human beings, flourishing is a state wherein biological potential is realized Over the last few decades, the concept of happiness or flourishing that stands at the core of mainstream economics has been severely challenged from both within and outside the economic professions. Empirical research has revealed that increased wealth and the desire satisfaction it permits often do not result in higher levels of reported happiness (e.g. Easterlin, 1974; Layard, 2011). Empirical research has also shown that people often misjudge their own interest and make systematic errors in pursuing their own good (Frey and Stutzer, 2002; Layard, 2011). In addition, many economists including, for example, Sen (2000), Layard (2011), Scitovsky (1992) and Frank (1997) have pointed to the theoretical limits of the existing conception of well-being or flourishing that underlies mainstream economics. It is argued that a person can live an impoverished life, from a thirdperson perspective, while satisfying their desires (Sen, 2000). It is also maintained that people's tendency to adapt to existing patterns of consumption makes it increasingly hard for them to experience happiness even when their desires are being satisfied (Scitovsky, 1992). In addition, economists point to tension between public and private goods as a reason for why desire satisfaction might not result in better lives (Frank, 1997). Finally,

2. C H A P T E R

Humans interact as cultural entities within the myriad social institutions that constitute a society. Flourishing in this domain emerges when individuals act coherently within the structures of this institutional or cultural ecosystem. it is suggested that attempts to maximize desire satisfaction damage the environment in ways that can hinder flourishing (Stiglitz, Sen and Fitoussi, 2009). These are, of course, only selected examples from a rapidly growing economic literature discussing the limits of existing mainstream economic conceptions of well-being and flourishing.

INTERPRETATIONS IN ECOLOGY AND ECOSYSTEMS

Flourishing is a particular configuration of the most basic telos of all living organisms: to exist in the world in such a manner as to reproduce themselves as individual organismic entities and as species. This telos has been named, variously: viability, autopoiesis and homeostasis. Living entities exist to maintain their life. This is not a tautology but the description of a dynamic, closed system.

For all life forms, other than human beings, flourishing is a state wherein biological potential is realized. Biological potential is manifest in the expression of genes. For humans, the biological is complemented by an existential or cultural potential (Ehrenfeld, 2019). The biological component of flourishing emerges only if organisms live coherently with their natural habitat. Their genes contain an evolutionary record of such coherence. If the external conditions change such that their genetic phenotype cannot cohere, the species will disappear locally or may even become extinct. Ecosystems provide proper habitats for all of the organisms they contain. The ecological system can be said to flourish when all the contained species pass through genetically driven stages from birth to death, that is, they flourish as individual components of the system. In this biological sense, human flourishing is no different from the flourishing of all other living organisms.

Human flourishing has a second social dimension because our ecosystem includes cultural as well as natural objects. Humans interact as cultural entities within

individuals act coherently within the structures of this institutional or cultural ecosystem. The human organism differs from other life forms in many ways, but one important aspect is selfconsciousness and associated selfexpression. Human flourishing, in addition to its dependence on these two systemic aspects, may emerge when an individual expresses that self, authentically, as an independent, autonomous entity - in other words, when they own and are responsible for their actions. All three conditions need to be exhibited, more or less continuously, over a period of time for flourishing to emerge. In this ecological sense, it is not a momentary phenomenon.

2.1 .3

DESCRIPTION OF HUMAN FLOURISHING

The interpretations of human flourishing found in the various

academic disciplines⁵ have informed us in developing a description of human flourishing that is both comprehensive and general in character: it does not favour a particular theory of flourishing and avoids using words that are associated with particular theories. Moreover the description is formal, allowing for various interpretations of the central elements of the description (possibly informed by a particular worldview). And, as has been alluded to above, framing human flourishing in the context of an interpretive-critical perspective allows us to foreground some of its constitutive meanings as well as possibilities for human actions, including social change, human self-empowerment and liberation. We propose the following description:

Human flourishing is both the optimal continuing development of human beings' potentials and living well as a human being. It means being engaged in relationships and activities that

⁵It should be noted that we have also been informed by texts from other academic disciplines, such as that by the theologian Volf (2015).

Human flourishing is both the optimal continuing development of human beings' potentials and living well as a human being.

CHAPTER

are meaningful, that is, aligned with both an individual's own values and humanistic values, in a way that is satisfying to them. Flourishing is conditional on the contribution of individuals and requires an enabling environment.

The description contains five central concepts that we shall expound upon below.

OPTIMAL DEVELOPMENT

Just as trees and flowers flourish in many different ways, we posit that optimal development is relative to an agent (agent relative), which is related to an individual's potential. Human beings share many potentials generally conceived, but individuals have different levels of potential and, therefore, what is optimal for A can be different from what is optimal for B.⁶Thus, people's development should be evaluated against their own standards, not against those of others. Unlike in the natural world, we can also say that human flourishing is agentially relative – human beings develop potential in different ways (with influence from their cultural background, language, beliefs, etc.) and also to function well in different ways (see also next point). Furthermore, flourishing is a dynamic state of human development, but not necessarily a linear, progressive one.

Although optimal development is agent and agentially relative, there are still independent (pre) conditions of human flourishing; (pre)conditions that need to be fulfilled to be able to say that a human being is flourishing. Preconditions are described at the end of the chapter; here we focus on what are called constitutive conditions, that is, the conditions that allow us to say that people flourish.

These assumptions about the qualities of potentials also mean that determining or ascribing potentials does not automatically reveal how people should be treated or how pupils ought to be educated (education is not a technical enterprise).

 $^{^{6}}$ Note, however that the comparison should not be dependent on the circumstances in which they live (e.g. Nussbaum, 2006, 2011; Sen, 2000, 2008).





POTENTIALS⁷

According to Article 29 of the Convention of the Rights of the Child, children are entitled to develop their potential to the full. Whilst long standing debates relevant to potential have existed in the metaphysics literature, including Aristotle's notions of potential and actuality, the current purpose is to provide accessible insights into this area as it relates to human flourishing, particularly in the context of education.

⁷It is possible to distinguish a fourth type of potential: potentiality. The potentiality of human beings refers to things (e.g. skills, dispositions) that are not there yet, that are in the making. Whether or not potentiality can be actualized is part of the quest (of life) of human beings, although educators can cultivate its development once it is discovered. The potentialities referred to above, for example, the potential of an author to write books is a skill that can be developed to bring about an altered state, that is, the authoring of a book.

2 C H A P T E R

> What human potential means, or what it is, is not self-evident. A most insightful clarification of the concept comes from Scheffler (1985). There are two aspects of his theory that inform our description of 'flourishing', namely his presuppositions (pp. 11-16.63) and his classification of three types of potential. Central to Scheffler's (1985) theory is that: a) the stock of human beings' potentials changes over time some potentials are actualized and lead to other potentials; some are discovered later in life; some disappear after a certain age. Thus, there are no fixed potentials; 'In no case is potential a metaphysical essence governing the predetermined direction of the subject's development, nor is it a durable feature intrinsic to the subject' (p. 63); b) human potentials can be positive and negative (people can be potentially evil and good); and c) not all potentials can be (harmoniously) attained. On the basis of these presuppositions, Scheffler (1985) argues that we require a normative evaluation of which potentials should be attained/developed in

particular ways. These assumptions about the qualities of potentials also mean that determining or ascribing potentials does not automatically reveal how people should be treated or how pupils ought to be educated (education is not a technical enterprise). In **WG1-ch4** a normative idea about which curriculum enables human flourishing is defended. Scheffler (1985) offers a helpful distinction between three ways in which 'potential (s)' can be used: as a capacity notion, a propensity notion and a capability notion.

Capacity represents possibility; ascribing a capacity to someone means that one denies a presupposed argument for the necessity that they cannot do/ be(come) x. Having a capacity means that if the conditions are correct, someone will be able to do or become what the capacity indicates they are able to do or become. For example, saying that John has the capacity to play the piano means that if there were a piano he would be able to play it (Scheffler, 1985, pp. 47, 48). A capacity notion of potential

Approaches to capability are relevant to human flourishing through their emphasis on potential and optimal development and how conditions enhance that potential. only denies that a person cannot acquire some characteristic, it does not say that they will. For example, psychologists have attempted to measure ability for some time, particularly through measurement of the construct of the intelligence quotient (IQ). Debates around the legitimacy of IQ measurement often relate to its malleability or environmental pre-conditions, namely whether it is or should be a measure of capacity, or whether it should be used to predict capability (Nisbett et al., 2012). Such debates remain highly relevant to education and education policy, for they elucidate positions about the objectivity of claims.

A stronger notion is the propensity notion of potential (**Scheffler**, **1985**, **pp. 52–58**). The propensity to become something or other or to acquire a feature of a certain sort expresses that a conditionally predictable endpoint (which can be good or bad) will be reached if conditions x to z are present (**p. 57**). Thus, if we say that someone has the propensity to play the piano, they can predict

that they will do so if there is a piano, has had sufficient piano lessons and the time to practise. Propensity may also be compared to character strengths as a trait, such as propensity to contribute to individual fulfilment for oneself and others (Peterson and Seligman, **2004**). Finally, the capability notion of potential refers to a person's effectiveness in promoting a designated outcome (p. 58). Having the capability to become x means that it can be predicted that a person will become x if they make the effort (p. 61) A capability is what is within a person's power to do and what they are free to do (p. **59**). Capability is highly relevant to conceptualizations of flourishing because of its link to potential or becoming. Capability crosses multiple disciplines including economics, education and to a lesser extent psychology, which uses 'ability' moreso, particularly through the measurement of the construct of IQ mentioned above.

In the economics discipline, Sen's (2000, 2008) work on capability is well known. Sen argues that a person's well-being depends

WORKING GROUP 1 2 • • • • • • • • • • • CHAPTER 0 0

upon what they are actually capable of doing and being. An individual's substantive freedom to rationally choose to be and to do what they value being and doing is central for their flourishing and agency. Such agency is a key characteristic of humanistic values in which a human being is not totally predetermined by external conditions. Nussbaum's (2011) capabilities approach emphasizes social justice and dignity in which freedoms or opportunities are created by a combination of personal abilities and political, social and economic environments. Robeyns (2016) offers capabilitarianism as another name for the capability approach and highlights that the capability approach is a normative

Philosophical theories that draw on Aristotle's view of flourishing propose a naturalistic interpretation and refer to aspects that are characteristic of human beings, not of monkeys or ants. framework which includes a family of (capability) theories. All capabilitarian theories focus on what a person is able to be and to do (their capabilities) and/or those capabilities that they have realized (their functionings). These and other approaches to capability are relevant to human flourishing through their emphasis on potential and optimal development and how conditions enhance that potential.

LIVING WELL AS A HUMAN BEING

Philosophical theories that draw on Aristotle's view of flourishing propose a naturalistic interpretation and refer to aspects that are characteristic of human beings, not of monkeys or ants. Some theories of human flourishing focus strictly on what is typical of human beings in contrast to other types of beings (e.g. Aristotle, 2009; Foot, 2001), while others have a wider scope and include characteristics that human beings share with other species, like feelings, or the things that are good for human beings (what they need to flourish), which can be good for non-human beings too (e.g. Holma, 2007; Nussbaum, 2006).

Defence of this wider notion of naturalism is plausible, for the potential of human beings is related to their nature. However, this does not imply that human beings are determined by their nature. Empirical research on the influence of genetic make-up and the environment on human development shows time and again that (the interaction between) the two explain an individual's character and behaviour (e.g. Rutter, 2006; Plomin, 1990; Interpretations in neuropsychology/neuroscience, above). Thus, human beings' potential develops and is enacted in various ways. For instance, a person who is technically skillful can develop these capacities in various jobs and hobbies. Curiosity can lead to explorations within the confines of one's house or the wish to venture out into the world, but is also required for being an active citizen, a critical consumer and for learning in general. Furthermore, while at a general level we could say that human beings share the



From the explication of potential and naturalism we conclude that flourishing is a hybrid concept: it is naturalistic, culture dependent and agent relative. same potential, at a more concrete level we see that individuals have different potentials. For example, not all human beings have the capacity for high jumping, playing a flute or becoming a proficient carpenter or a minister of education. Both the individual diversity in potential and the variety in which people can develop and enact their potential, means that human flourishing is agent-relative: human beings flourish in their own way (Aristotle, 2009; De Ruyter, 2012; Foot, 2001; Huta, 2013; Kraut, 1979; Rasmussen, 1999).

Another point of contention is whether human flourishing simply means the optimal development of the natural capacities of human beings. We suggest that this is a simplistic and mistaken idea, because it cannot be denied that human beings have potentials that are detrimental to themselves and others and/or enact them in a way that is detrimental to themselves or others. This means that a normative evaluation of human potential is necessary. Kraut (2007), for instance, proposes that we should not begin with

(human) nature and suggests that this is always good for us, but when we look at human activities and practices that we believe to be good and investigate what is characteristic for them, 'we say that nature gave us something good in all these cases' (**p. 147**). For example, when we look at the ways in which people around the world dealt with the COVID-19 crisis in 2020, we can say that they were able to do so because of their intelligence, creativity, empathy, sociability and physical capacities.

The human capacity to make normative evaluations is one reason to reject a simple deduction of human flourishing from given capacities or human needs and can explain that people live different flourishing lives (e.g. Foot, 2001). Human beings differ from other living creatures in that they are normally able to change themselves intentionally (if the circumstances in which they live allow them alternative routes and if they are not hindered by serious psychiatric disorders or intellectual disability), because they are able to reflect on themselves and their

environment. This ability for reflection includes their evaluation of the ways in which they best develop their potential and enact it to live a good life. This will be more or less influenced by their cultural environment. For instance the majority of women around the world will believe that they flourish when they develop their nurturing and caring potential to look after their children. This capacity means that evaluating whether a human being is flourishing has a subjective dimension as well. We will return to this below.

From the explication of potential and naturalism we conclude that flourishing is a hybrid concept: it is naturalistic, culture-dependent and agent-relative. Flourishing is also both objective and subjective: there are potentials that human beings need to be able to develop and enact to say that they are flourishing, but human beings also have their own views, preferences and desires about the ways in which they best develop and enact their potential.

There are aspects of living that are good for all human beings, simply because they make a life a human life. We identify three main categories of what constitutes 'good': firstly, having relationships (with family members, friends, community members, citizens, animals and the environment); secondly, being engaged in activities (e.g. play, work, learning, caring); and thirdly, agency. Note that these categories are general and the ways in which they are enacted are influenced by the culture in which humans live and are dependent on or relative to what is good for an individual human being.

MEANINGFUL

Not every relationship and activity that human beings engage in is an expression of their flourishing. When human beings are forced into a relationship or activity (like work) that does not align with their (deepest) values and potential or when they only act out of self-interest to the harm of others, we argue that they do not

We identify three main categories of what constitutes 'good': firstly, having relationships; secondly, being engaged in activities; and thirdly, agency.





fully flourish as human beings. In the first case such relationships or activities are not meaningful to the individual, because they cannot be a source of significance for them, in other words they do not contribute to the individual's belief or feeling that what they do matters. Nor can they be a source for a sense of purpose; in other words, they do not contribute to an individual's reasons for living their life (in a certain way) (e.g.Martela and Steger, 2016; George and Park, 2016 about the dimensions of meaning in life). The more dominant the meaningless spheres of life, the bigger the impact

Central humanistic values are: negative and positive freedom, that is, being free from inappropriate interference from others and being free to engage in activities and relationships on a human being's flourishing. However, meaninglessness can affect people in different ways. For instance, while Aisha experiences feelings of worthlessness and depressive moods because of her monotonous job, Bellah sees the job as instrumental in being able to live a meaningful life. While Bellah could be said to flourish at a higher level if she had a meaningful job, she can still be regarded as a flourishing person. When people act out of selfinterest only (the second case), the relationships or activities undermine the meaning, in the sense of having importance, of someone or something else and therefore cannot be meaningful. Thus, relationships and activities are meaningful when they are a source of significance and purpose.

This resonates with Susan Wolf's (2010) view which suggests that meaning in life 'arises from loving objects worthy of love and engaging with them in a positive way' (p. 8), involving 'subjective and objective elements, suitably and inextricably linked' (p. 9). Further, meaning arises 'when

subjective attraction meets objective attractiveness' (Wolf, 2010, p. xii). This idea is also expressed by the psychologist William Damon (2009), who has written extensively on (the development of) purpose in life, and suggests that 'purpose is a stable and generalized intention to accomplish something that is at the same time meaningful to the self and consequential for the world beyond the self' (p. 33).

VALUES

Tiberius's (2018) value fulfilment theory of well-being proposes that our lives go well to the extent that we pursue and fulfill our appropriate values. Tiberius (2018) asserts that we live well when we succeed emotionally, reflectively and over the long term. Well-being is a life rich in value fulfilment and momentary well-being is considered within a whole-of-life perspective. This leads to the question of what are values, or what is the activity of valuing? Tiberius (2018) states that we are motivated to promote or

2 C H A P T E R

> are committed and that we are likely to react emotionally if our values are helped or threatened. Valuing here is also a judgement that something is good in some way. Values in this sense are seen as generating reasons for us to behave in a certain way. Likewise, what seems to underscore a value fulfilment theory of wellbeing is that a human's capacity to proffer reasons is considered as interconnected with their emotional disposition. In this way, reason and emotion are intertwined as by implication a value fulfilment theory of well-being recognizes both the significance of proffering reasons and internalizing emotions.

pursue the values to which we

Central humanistic values are: negative and positive freedom, that is, being free from inappropriate interference from others and being free to engage in activities and relationships (for which human beings tend to need support from others, for instance in the form of protection or in the case of young people, in the form of education);⁸ equality and equity of human beings; solidarity with (groups of) human beings; care for sentient beings; and care for the environment.

Moral values have a particular status among the values of a flourishing person. While flourishing persons do not have to be or aspire to be morally sanctimonious, it is characteristic for them to value the flourishing of other human beings. At a minimum, they respect the negative freedom of others and, if they have the opportunity, contribute to the possibility that others can flourish as well. The first is less demanding than the second and therefore the moral value in contributing to other people's flourishing is qualified; not according to their intention of

People can be said to flourish when they enact their potential irrespective of whether they affirm that they are doing so or whether they are satisfied with the way in which they are able to lead their life.

⁸The terms positive and negative freedom were introduced by the philosopher Isaiah Berlin (in an article in 1958 that was reprinted in 1969 and in many other sources that are freely available on the internet) for the two ideas (or what people would call types) of freedom or liberty mentioned.
whether or not they want to do so, but dependent on what people are able to do. Some are in a situation whereby they can only contribute to the flourishing of people close by, while others have the means and the opportunity to help large groups of people or people further removed to flourish. This is not based on the view that flourishing people are naturally inclined to act morally or that they always act morally (although they will feel ashamed or guilty if they have done something immoral) or that they do not have to overcome other desires and negative emotions. There are ample empirical illustrations that such presumptions are not true. They do, however, point to the importance of education in which these humanistic values are fostered. In this way, flourishing and education seem to be intertwined on the basis of shared moral values.

SATISFACTION

People can be said to flourish when they enact their potential

irrespective of whether they affirm that they are doing so or whether they are satisfied with the way in which they are able to lead their life. This, however, does not seem to cohere with our common understanding of human flourishing. It seems incorrect to say that someone flourishes if they do not share that evaluation or if they are unhappy with their life (which could be a clinical depression, but also a 'healthy evaluation' of what their life turns out to be). Therefore the description includes a subjective evaluative dimension of flourishing.

Satisfaction has both a cognitive valuative and emotional dimension. Human beings flourish if they: a) can (authentically) affirm that their life is good, that is, they have reasons for giving a positive evaluation; b) have overall positive feelings about their life (they are happy), which does not mean that they have to have these positive feelings all the time or about everything they do.





2.2

Description of education (and teaching, learning and evaluation

Education, like any other concept, is constituted of meanings that give it form and matter. A formal element of a concept refers to the rationale or guiding principle that gives it its form, whereas matter

Within teaching, there is a relationship among teachers and students. Likewise, learning denotes a relation among learners, teachers and texts. refers to the many ways in which a concept manifests in actions, referred to as practices (Kovesi, 1970). A form of education is the activity that describes education as a human relation. In other words, without a form of human relations, education cannot exist. Education is what it is on the basis of humans' relations with themselves and others: humans and other humans, human and non-humans and humans and the environment.

Towards the end of the fifth century B.C., Greek sophists explained education as training for promoting individual happiness (Graves, 1926). In opposition to such a view on education, Platonists and Aristotelians understood the concept as a synergy between an individual and a democratic society. Subsequently, the influences of scholastic thought resulted in education being conceived of as a human practice underscored by acts of reason and faith. It was only after the Age of Enlightenment in the eighteenth century that Kant (1996) and Rousseau took further

the idea that education is an act of rationality. In the nineteenth century, education was understood as an act of uniting the self and the world in the Anglo-Saxon world, and in Europe as Bildung – a matter of enculturating (civilizing or cultivating or moralizing) the autonomous self in the world (Lovlie and Standish, **2003**). Other than the dominant Western notion of educare (to nourish or train) (Winch and Gingell, 1990), in Muslim society, education is also referred to as ta'dib (good education) (Al-Attas, 1991), whereas ubuntu (human dignity and interdependence) is most poignantly used in relation to education on the African continent (Waghid, 2014; WG2-ch8). Therefore, we recognize that there are multiple understandings of education based on different cultural and religious orientations.

Elements of matter manifest in practices such as teaching, learning and evaluation. Put differently, teaching, learning and evaluation are multiple ways in which the concept of education is realized. Within teaching, there

2 C H A P T E R

The question arises: if education is underscored by the notion of a human relation, what makes teaching, learning and evaluation what they are or become? is a relationship among teachers and students. Likewise, learning denotes a relation among learners, teachers and texts. In a similar way, evaluation is underscored by relations among evaluators (teachers) and evaluees (students). Consequently, teaching, learning and evaluation can be considered as educational activities. It is the concept (form) of education that organizes the many ways (matter) in which teaching, learning and evaluation unfold. In other words, the concept of education is constituted by the acts of human relations that in turn give rise to how teaching, learning and evaluation are organized.

In considering education (paideia), Rorty (1999, p. 117) posits that human relations are guided by two necessary and equal processes, namely, socialization and individuation. Socialization involves familiarizing students with what teachers consider to be true, whether it is true or not. In other words, students are socialized into an inherited tradition of knowledge that prepares them for a future

political, social and economic life (Rorty, 1999, p. 118). Individuation prepares students to think critically and to challenge the prevailing consensus about what is considered as true (Rorty, 1999, p. 118). Rorty's interpretation of socialization is similar to both what Biesta (2015, p. 77) identifies as qualification that involves the transmission and acquisition of knowledge, skills and dispositions that qualify students to do something for a particular profession, and the socialization function of education, which is the introduction of the younger generation into the ways of society and communities, their social, cultural and political orders. In addition to qualification and socialization, Biesta distinguishes the function of subjectification (2015, p. 77). Subjectification, similar to individuation, concerns the ways in which students come to exist as subjects of initiative and responsibility rather than as objects of the actions of others (Waghid and Davids, 2017, p. 39).

The question arises: If education is underscored by the notion of

In learning, students act as human agents intent on coming to make sense of what they are taught. That is, their learning becomes significant on the basis of their potentialities being evoked to see the point. a human relation, what makes teaching, learning and evaluation what they are or become? Firstly, when one teaches one provokes students to come to understanding. Teaching would not be teaching if students were not aroused to see the point (Greene, 1995). The point about teaching is that it implies a relational act according to which students are encouraged to act in particular ways. And, to provoke students implies that they are invited to think for themselves – a matter of being summoned to come to understanding. Secondly, learning happens when students' potentialities are evoked to come to understanding in agential ways of being and acting (MacIntyre, 1999). Learning would fail to be learning if students' potentialities were not evoked in the quest to gain understanding and insight, and be encouraged to embark on academic, political, economic, social and environmental activism. In learning, students act as human agents intent on coming to make sense of what they are taught. That is, their learning becomes significant on the basis of their

potentialities being evoked to see the point. When their potentialities are evoked they exercise their freedom to think for themselves and make sense of the world around them. Exercising one's freedom is a matter of acting with autonomy. And, when one does act with autonomy one creates opportunities to come to understanding, that is, one constructs meanings, critiques and differences with others. Simply put, one exercises one's freedom in a positive way. However, one can also act freely in a negative way whereby one articulates claims unconstrained by the freedom of others. Of course, exercising one's freedom in an unhindered way can also be disadvantageous for one's relations with others because one might act unjustly towards them. And, when one exercises one's freedom in a negative way, unhindered by the freedom of others, there is always the possibility that one can act unjustly towards others. Hence, negative liberty might not necessarily be advantageous to cultivating just human relations. In agreement with Gutmann





(2003), acting freely should not be left unconstrained, especially if justice towards others is undermined. Thirdly, evaluation involves making reasonable judgements about what is taught and learned, that is, as a form of deliberative inquiry teachers make sense of what students proffer as a consequence of their

In sum, education is constituted by the act of a human relation.

learning. Evaluation would not count as evaluation if reasonable judgements were not proffered about what constitutes teaching and learning, and if such judgements were not determined in deliberative action. To evaluate as teachers do, and to be evaluated as students are, does not happen in isolation but rather, in a way that both teachers and students can justify. When teachers justify their evaluation of students' work, they give an account of why students produce work of a specific kind, that is, they provide a justification for their evaluation.

Like education, teaching and learning involve human relations. Drawing on the seminal thoughts of Spinoza (2007), teaching and learning happen when teachers and students act responsibly and responsively towards one another. Human flourishing can be achieved when teachers and students act in ways that are desirable not only for themselves as individuals, but also for all others. As argued elsewhere, when teaching and learning lead to the inculcation of goodness and equal respect and dignity for all others, then teachers and students become free to actualize their own potentials in ways that will not cause harm to others (Davids and Waghid, 2019b). Thus, teaching for Spinoza (2007) is not individualistic, egotistical or insular but is about engaging with students and the world in which they live so that whatever students learn not only changes who they are for the good but also addresses social injustices that might hinder their flourishing. Self and collective fulfilment (flourishing) would be wanting if teachers and students did not act freely and rationally driven by a desire to honour and respect one another in their relations. In this way, human flourishing also seems to be related to the self doing things collaboratively with others so that together the selves undergo an alteration. In other words, teachers and students act in the interests of one another - a matter of being responsive to one another – when they recognize one another's presence as speaking beings capable of making judgements about that



We contend that human flourishing has greater potential to be realized if it is considered in relation to an understanding of education that holds the promise for human flourishing. with which they agree or disagree. Showing dissent (disagreement) is a matter of recognizing differences in others' claims that encourages an individual to adjust and rearticulate their own formulations. In this way, students learn to speak more confidently and teachers act with care (Waghid, 2019, p. xv).

In sum, education is constituted by the act of a human relation. When an individual thinks on their own they do so privately or in relation to their inner self, possibly comparing their thoughts with existing ones on a particular matter. Through education, an individual's thoughts commune with an external other self. Thus, the individual connects their thoughts with those of others, even in a private sphere. In this way, education is both a private and public experience where the thoughts of one person can be brought into conversation with those of others. If such a relation were not present, education would not be possible. This rationale of a human relation organizes teaching, learning and evaluation in specific ways.

We have identified teaching as provocation, learning as evocation and evaluation as deliberation on the basis that these human acts are manifestations of how education manifests in institutions and practices. In light of such an understanding of education, we contend that human flourishing has greater potential to be realized if it is considered in relation to an understanding of education that holds the promise for human flourishing. If education were not enacted through the agency of provocation, evocation and deliberation it might not be possible to realize human flourishing in the ways suggested above (WG2-ch8).

2.2 .1

DEMOCRATIC AND COSMOPOLITAN EDUCATION

We posit that human flourishing is particularly enhanced by a form of education that is democratic and cosmopolitan. Considering

The notion of cosmopolitan education is one that engenders human relations that are inclusive despite its emphasis on difference and otherness that education is constituted by the idea of a human relation, such a relation, if it is democratic and cosmopolitan, has the potential to enhance human flourishing. Gutmann (1987), Callan (1997) and Benhabib (2004) place a high premium on democratic engagement in the pursuit of cultivating educational relations among humans. According to Gutmann (1987) humans act democratically when they exercise their individual freedom autonomously (independently) and interdependently with other humans. When humans act independently and in collaboration with others the possibility of, and opportunity for, learning together and making defensible and collective ethical judgements would be enhanced. Benhabib (2004) posits that democratic iteration is at the core of democratic education. That is, when humans listen attentively and converse with one another in educational contexts, their forms of engagement are invariably altered and renewed in relation to a democratically inspired ethical opportunity (Davids and Waghid,

2019a, p. 25). Callan (1997) avers that educational relations among humans ought to be guided by ethical confrontation so that humans use their opportunity to recognize the right to contest views and engage in dissent as they endeavour to persuade one another through deliberation and conciliation (WG2-ch8). The point about democratic education being constituted by autonomous and interdependent action, iteration and ethical confrontation is that humans create opportunities to engage openly and freely with one another's views, take responsibility for one another's views, and although they would be provoked by confrontation they would continue 'to speak their minds without being silenced, even when their views are provocative and dissenting' - a matter of exercising their human agency towards freedom (Davids and Waghid, 2019a, p. 47). In other words, through democratic relations, their education would be individually and collectively pursued on the basis of disturbing doubts about one another's claims. In this human relation, flourishing

2 C H A P T E R

In our elucidation of human flourishing three prominent concepts emerged in relation to the notion of potential(s): capacity, capability and propensity. becomes conditional upon acts of democratic engagement, that is, interdependence, iteration and ethical confrontation.

The notion of cosmopolitan education is one that engenders human relations that are inclusive despite its emphasis on difference and otherness. Nussbaum (2000), Derrida (2010) and Hansen (2011) proffer understandings of cosmopolitan education that can enhance human flourishing. Nussbaum (2000) argues for a notion of universal hospitality, in particular having respect for cultural differences and enacting human responsibility that can contribute towards confronting human problems on the basis of critical argumentation and deliberation as human beings endeavour to eradicate prejudice, inequality and injustices vis-à-vis their educational concerns. Derrida's (2010) view of cosmopolitan education is premised on an understanding of human relations underscored by a notion of unconditional hospitality. This view of unconditional hospitality is one

of interruption whereby humans are prepared to forgive the unforgivable in order to eradicate hatred, resentment, torture, genocide and other crimes against humanity. Hansen (2011) takes a different look at cosmopolitan education and makes a cogent case for the notion of a reflexive openness to the self and what is known to the self. In other words, cosmopolitan education as pursuing a reflexive openness to the self implies that a person has to be open and reflexive towards that which is known to them – a matter of performing self-introspection and self-critique. Only then, the possibility exists for the individual to be open and critical to that which is not known to them. Hansen makes the case that cosmopolitan education is about enhancing a reflexive openness to that which is still in becoming. The notion of a cosmopolitan education with its emphasis on cultivating universal hospitality, unconditional hospitality and a reflexive openness to what is known and yet to come can create opportunities to possibly enhance human





flourishing. This is so on the basis that human flourishing depends on the cultivation of relations that resonate with hospitality, unconditionality and reflexive openness to the known and what is still to come. It is in hospitable, unconditional and reflexively open relations that humans' education contributes to their opportunities to flourish.



2.3



Relation between human flourishing and education

In our elucidation of human flourishing three prominent concepts emerged in relation to the notion of potential(s): capacity, capability and propensity. If one were to explain human flourishing in light of potentials it could be that one shows the capacity to accomplish a task, for instance, writing poems; one demonstrates the capability to author poems; and one shows the propensity to accomplish the art of poetry. In all three instances, one has drawn on one's potential to write poems. But then, in showing one's prowess to write poems one equally becomes adept at taking poetry into a new realm. Thus, one shows the potential to write poems and to produce novel ones. When one's potential to pursue poetry is accentuated, one invariably draws on the thoughts of others both rationally and imaginatively; it brings one into a relation with others albeit in reality or fictitiously. On this basis, pursuing one's potentials seems to be connected to one's flourishing

Flourishing enhances education – when teachers and students flourish in their teaching and learning, in other words when they can develop their potential and live well and when teaching and learning are meaningful to them, their relations will prosper and both the teaching and learning will be higher in quality.

and in turn, one's flourishing depends on one's relations with others and otherness, for instance, the distinctiveness of the poem one produces. In this way, one's flourishing seems to be intertwined with one's education on the basis that both actions, that is, flourishing and education, are inextricably connected with the idea of a human relation.

Figure 1 shows how human flourishing and education are intertwined (mutually reinforcing). Firstly, education enables flourishing – human beings need to be introduced into the social, cultural and natural world by teaching and learning, in relation to teachers and the environment, for they need to be able to make sense of their world in order to be able to live well as human beings. This means that flourishing can be regarded as an aim of education. Secondly, flourishing enhances education - when teachers and students flourish in their teaching and learning, in other words when they can develop their potential and live well and when teaching

and learning are meaningful to them, their relations will prosper and both the teaching and learning will be higher in quality.

The intertwined character of flourishing and education also shows that flourishing is a hybrid concept: the development of human potential that makes life a human life must inform education (the naturalistic quality of flourishing), but the worlds in which these potentials are fostered are different (culturally dependent) and good education takes into account that children can develop different ways of living well related to their specific potentials and their ideas and preferences about how they want to live in the world (agent-relative). That the last is true can be shown by the fact that for some adults being a teacher is a meaningful way of living well, while others want to use the potentials that teachers need to become an engineer, a doctor or a parent (and there are also human beings who do not have the specific potential to become a good teacher).

2 C H A P T E R

2.3.1

PRECONDITIONS OF FLOURISHING

Finally, education has a complex relationship with flourishing: on the one hand it is part of human flourishing and on the other hand it is a precondition of human flourishing - a condition that needs to be fulfilled in order to make human flourishing possible. So far we have described what human flourishing means, that is, which conditions need to be fulfilled in order to be able to say that humans are flourishing; the so-called constitutive elements or constituents. But human flourishing also presumes that basic conditions are fulfilled. These include basic biological needs like food and safety or existential needs like freedom or psychological health.

Preconditions tend to be categorized as internal or external. There are at least three ways to construe internal versus external preconditions relevant to preconditions for

flourishing. Firstly, within the context of humans, particularly in individualist cultures, internal conditions are often construed as those within the body boundary, with anything outside deemed as external, which may include social (or cultural) and physical environments. Secondly, some may construe internal preconditions more narrowly, that is, by referring to phenomenological or subjective fields of experience or the 'I' as internal, and hence things within the body boundary such as brain chemistry as external. Thirdly, Aristotle used 'external' in a wider sense, namely for all those conditions that are necessary for human flourishing, but that are largely beyond the agent's control (Aristotle, 2009, p. 14), which means that human beings also require (a bit of) luck to be able to flourish. Thus, Aristotle used the term 'external goods' for a wide array of necessary preconditions of human flourishing such as physical, psychological, societal/ political and economic aspects (e.g. Kristjánsson, 2020, p. 33). Some of these are really outside the person





ENABLING (SOCIO-CULTURAL, NATURAL AND ECONOMIC) ENVIRONMENT

Figure 1. A visual representation of the relationship between flourishing and education

but some are more 'internal'; some can hardly be changed by a person, while conditions such as (mental) health, as well as some aspects of one's societal circumstances, like living in a safe and clean neighbourhood, can be influenced by a person to a certain degree (Kristjánsson, **2020**). Further, the wider the group of preconditions, the more difficult it becomes to make a clear distinction between preconditions and constituents, as the relationship between flourishing and education also shows.

For general understanding and policy purposes the first construct, the body boundary definition of internal and external, is likely

to be more easily understood. Hence, a genetic precondition would be internal in this sense. A cultural precondition would be external, but may be internalized over time. The issue at hand is which internal and external preconditions are necessary or helpful in their presence or absence. Further, it is important to stress that the majority of preconditions are not under the control of individuals; luck as well as concerted effort is needed to realize the preconditions. These preconditions are dealt with in WG1-ch3 and WG1-ch5.





2.4

Recommendations

To ensure that all children and adolescents will receive education for flourishing, states must (collaboratively) ensure that necessary educational policies and systems are in place and sufficient

institutions or financial support are available. On the basis of our description of flourishing and education, as well as their relation, we propose that the following five recommendations are taken into account in policy-making:

1. The concept of flourishing is a complex (multifactorial) one with various meanings. We suggest that the proposed meaning is used (not only in the ISEE Assessment, but also in other UNESCO documents), for it is comprehensive and culturally neutral. This means that, in principle, it is possible for all governments, school leaders, teachers, parents and pupils to use it. In addition, it is agent-relative, which ensures that the particular characteristics of each human being are taken into account

2. Education should not be understood as a system or an institution, but rather as three central types of activity on the part of teachers and pupils, namely teaching, learning and evaluating, each of which expresses a particular relationship between the actors involved. 3. Education is to be the favoured concept over learning as it ensures that not only are the how and what questions asked (what should pupils learn and how do they learn most effectively; or what should teachers teach and which is the most effective way to do so), but also the why question. The question regarding the purpose of education should be the primary one and answers to it will inform the other two questions.

4. Flourishing and education are to be understood as forms for iterative/reciprocal action. It is not just that human flourishing and education stand in relation with one another. Also, human relations are guided by doing things together (association) in the pursuit of understanding, wellbeing and thriving (flourishing).

5. The purpose of education is the flourishing of human beings; thus it is not focused on the flourishing of an individual pupil, but takes into account the flourishing of all. individual pupil, but takes into account the flourishing of all.

R E F E R E N C E S

Al-Attas, S.M.N. (1991) The concept of education in Islam: a framework for an Islamic philosophy of education. Kuala Lumpur: International Institute of Islamic Thought and Civilisation (ISTAC).

Alexandrova, A. (2017) A philosophy for the science of well-being. New York: Oxford University Press.

Annas, J. (1993) The morality of happiness. New York: Oxford University Press.

Aristotle (2009) The Nicomachean ethics. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Arneson, R.J. (1999) 'Human flourishing versus desire satisfaction', in Frankel, P.E., Miller Jr., F.D. and Paul, J. (eds.) Human flourishing. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 113–142.

Badhwar, H.K. (2014a) Well-being: happiness in a worthwhile life. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Badhwar, H.K. (2014b)'Objectivity and subjectivity in theories of well-being', Philosophy and Public Policy Quarterly, 32(1), pp. 23–28.

Benhabib, S. (2004) The rights of others: aliens, residents, and citizens (The Seeley Lectures). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Berlin, I. (1969) 'Two concepts of liberty', in Berlin, I. (ed.) Four essays on liberty. London: Oxford University Press, pp. 118-172.

Biesta, G.J.J. (2015) 'What is education for? On good education, teacher judgment, and educational professionalism', European Journal of Education, 50(1), pp. 75–87.

Bradburn, N. M. (1969) The structure of psychological well-being. Chicago: Aldine.

Brighouse, H. (2006) On education. London: Routledge.

Callan, E. (1997) Creating citizens: political education and liberal democracy. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Curren, R. (2013) 'A neo-Aristotelian account of education, justice and the human good', Theory and Research in Education, 11(3), pp. 231–249.

Curzer, H. J. (2012). Aristotle and the virtues. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Damon, W. (2009) The path to purpose: how young people find their calling in life. New York: The Free Press.

Davids, N. and Waghid, Y. (2019a) Democratic education and Muslim philosophy: interfacing Muslim and communitarian thought. New York: Palgrave-MacMillan. Davids, N. and Waghid, Y. (2019b) Teaching and learning as a pedagogic pilgrimage: cultivating faith, hope and imagination. London: Routledge.

Davidson, R.J., Kabat-Zinn, J., Schumacher, J., Rosenkranz, M., Muller, D., ... Sheridan, J.F. (2003) 'Alterations in brain and immune function produced by mindfulness meditation', Psychosomatic Medicine, 65(4), pp. 564–570.

Davidson, R.J. and Lutz, A. (2008) 'Buddha's brain: neuroplasticity and meditation', IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, 25(1), pp. 174-176.

Deci, E.L. and Ryan, R.M. (1985) Intrinsic motivation and selfdetermination in human behavior. New York: Plenum.

Derrida, J. (2010)On cosmopolitanism and forgiveness. New York and London: Routledge.

De Ruyter, D.J. (2007) 'Ideals, education, and happy flourishing', Educational Theory, 57(1), pp. 23–35.

De Ruyter, D.J. (2012) 'On optimal development and becoming an optimizer', Journal of Philosophy of Education, 46(1), pp. 25–41.

De Ruyter, D.J. (2018) 'Well-being and the upbringing and education of children', in Smeyers, P. (ed.) Springer international

handbook of philosophy of education. Cham: Springer, pp. 937–950).

De Ruyter D.J. and Wolbert, L.S. (2020) 'Human flourishing as an aim of education', in G. Noblit, G. and Hytten, K. (eds.) Oxford research encyclopedia of education. doi:10.1093/ acrefore/9780190264093.013.1418.

Diener, E., Lucas, R.E. and Oishi, S. (2018) 'Advances and open questions in the science of subjective well-being', Collabra: Psychology, 4(1). doi:10.1525/ collabra.115.

Diener, E., Suh, E.M., Lucas, R.E. and Smith, H.L. (1999) 'Subjective well-being: three decades of progress', Psychological Bulletin, 125 (2), pp. 276-302.

Draganski, B., Gaser, C., Busch, V., Schuierer, G., Bogdahn, U. and May, A. (2004) 'Changes in grey matter induced by training', Nature, 427(6972), pp. 311–312.

Drakopoulos, S.A. (1997) 'Origins and development of the trend toward valuefree economics', Journal of the History of Economic Thought, 19(2), pp. 286–300.

Dunne, J. (1999) 'Virtue, phronesis, and learning', in Carr, D. and Steutel, J. (eds.) Virtue ethics and moral education. London: Routledge, pp. 49–63.

Easterlin, R.A. (1974) 'Does economic growth improve the human lot? Some

empirical evidence', in David, P.A. and Reder, M.W. (eds.) Nations and households in economic growth. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp. 89–125.

Ehrenfeld, J. (2019) The right way to flourish: reconnecting with the real world. New York: Routledge.

Ehrenfeld, J.R. (2020) A two-pronged philosophy of education. Unpublished paper.

Foot, P. (2001) Natural goodness. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Frank, R.H. (1997) 'The frame of reference as a public good', The Economic Journal, 107(445), pp. 1832–1847.

Fredrickson, B. and Losada, M. F. (2005) 'Positive affect and the complex dynamics of human flourishing', American Psychologist, 60(7), pp. 678–686.

Frey, B.S. and Stutzer, A. (2002) 'What can economists learn from happiness research?', Journal of Economic Literature, 40(2), pp. 402–435.

George, L.S. and Park, C.L. (2016) 'Meaning in life as comprehension, purpose, and mattering: toward integration and new research questions', Review of General Psychology, 20(3), pp. 205–220. Graves, F.P. (1926) A student's history of education. New York: The Macmillan Company.

Greene, M. (1995) Releasing the imagination. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Griffin, J. (1986) Well-being: its meaning, measurement and moral importance. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Gutmann, A. (1987) Democratic education. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Gutmann, A. (2003) Identity in democracy. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Hansen, D.T. (2011) The teacher and the world: a study of cosmopolitanism as education. London: Routledge.

Harsanyi, J.C. (1996) 'Utilities, preferences, and substantive goods', Social Choice and Welfare, 14(1), pp. 129–145.

Hausman, D., McPherson, M. and Satz, D. (2016) Economic analysis, moral philosophy, and public policy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Haybron, D. (2008) The pursuit of unhappiness. New York: Oxford University Press.

Holma, K. (2007) 'Essentialism regarding human nature in the defence of gender equality in education', Journal of Philosophy of Education, 41(1), pp. 45–57.

R E F E R E N C E S

Huta, V. (2013) 'Eudaimonia', in David, S., Boniwell, I. and Ayers, A.C. (eds.) Oxford handbook of happiness. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 201–213.

International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century (1996) Learning: the treasure within. Report to UNESCO of the International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century. Paris: UNESCO.

Juster, F.T. (1991) 'Rethinking utility theory: a summary statement', in Etzioni, A. and Lawrence, P.R. (eds.), Socioeconomics toward a new synthesis. New York: M.W. Sharpe, pp. 85–103.

Kant, I. (1996) Critique of practical reason. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Keyes. C.L.M. (1998) 'Social well-being', Social Psychology Quarterly, 61(2), pp. 121–140.

Keyes, C.L.M. (2002) 'The mental health continuum: from languishing to flourishing in life', Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 43(2), pp. 207–222.

King, B.G., Conklin, Q.A., Zanesco, A.P. and Saron, C.D. (2019) 'Residential meditation retreats: their role in contemplative practice and significance for psychological research', Current Opinion in Psychology, 28, pp. 238–244. Kong, F., Heller, A.S., van Reekum, C.M. and Sato, W. (2020) 'Editorial: positive neuroscience: the neuroscience of human flourishing', Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 14. doi: 10.3389/ fnhum.2020.00047.

Klimecki, O. M., Leiberg, S., Lamm, C. and Singer, T. (2013) 'Functional neural plasticity and associated changes in positive affect after compassion training', Cerebral Cortex, 23(7), pp. 1552–1561.

Kovesi, J. (1970) Moral notions. London: Keegan Paul.

Kraut, R. (1979) 'Two conceptions of happiness', The Philosophical Review, 88(2), pp. 167–197.

Kraut, R. (2007) What is good and why? Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Kristjánsson, K. (2013) Virtues and vices in positive psychology. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Kristjánsson, K. (2020) Flourishing as the aim of education: a neo-Aristotelian view. Oxon: Routledge.

Kuhn, T. (1970) The structure of scientific revolutions. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Layard, P.R.G. (2011) Happiness: lessons from a new science. London: Penguin.

Lovlie, L. and Standish, P. (2003) 'Introduction: bildung and the idea of a liberal education', in Lovlie, L., Mortensen, K.P. and Nordenbo, S.E. (eds.) Educating humanity: bildung in postmodernity. London: Blackwell, pp. 1–24.

MacIntyre, A. (1967) A short history of ethics. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.

MacIntyre, A. (1999) Dependent rational animals: why human beings need the virtues. Peru, IL: Carus Publishing Company.

Martela, F. and Steger, M.F. (2016) 'The three meanings of meaning in life: distinguishing coherence, purpose, and significance', The Journal of Positive Psychology, 11(5), pp. 531–545.

Mill, J.S. (1863) Utilitarianism. London: Parker, Son and Bourn.

Nisbett, R.E., Aronson, J., Blair, C., Dickens, W., Flynn, J. ... and Turkheimer, E. (2012) 'Intelligence: new findings and theoretical developments', American Psychologist, 67(2), pp. 130–159.

Norton, B.G. (1994) 'Economists' preferences and the preferences of economists', Environmental Values, 3, pp. 311–332.

Nussbaum, M.C. (1986) The fragility of goodness: luck and ethics in Greek tragedy and philosophy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Nussbaum, M.C. (2000) Cultivating humanity: a classical defense of reform in liberal education. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Nussbaum, M.C. (2006) Frontiers of justice. Cambridge, MA: The Belknap Press.

Nussbaum, M.C. (2011) Creating capabilities. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Oswald, A.J. (1997) 'Happiness and economic performance', The Economic Journal, 107(445), pp. 1815–1831.

Pakaluk, M. (2006) 'Aristotle's ethics', in Gill, M.L. and Pellegrin, P. (eds.) A companion to ancient philosophy. Oxford: Blackwell, pp. 374–392.

Penz, G.P. (2008) Consumer sovereignty and human interests. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Peterson, C. and Seligman, M.E.P. (2004) Character strengths and virtues: a handbook and classification. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Plomin, R. (1990) Nature and nurture: an introduction to human behavioral genetics. Thomson Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.

Rasmussen, D.B. (1999) 'Human flourishing and the appeal to human nature', in Frankel, E., Miller, F.D. and Paul, J. (eds.) Human flourishing. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 1–43. Raz, J. (1986) The morality of freedom. Oxford: Clarendon Press.

Raz, J. (2004) 'The role of well-being', Philosophical Perspectives, 18(1), pp. 269–294.

Robeyns, I. (2016 'Capabilitarianism', Journal of Human Development and Capabilities, 17(3), pp. 397–414.

Rorty, R. (1999) Philosophy and social hope. London: Penguin Books.

Rutter, M. (2006) Genes and behavior: nature/nurture interplay explained. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.

Ryan, R.M. and Frederick, C. (1997) 'On energy, personality, and health: subjective vitality as a dynamic reflection of wellbeing', Journal of Personality, 65(3), pp. 529–65.

Ryan, R.M., Curren, R.R. and Deci, E.L. (2013) 'What humans need: flourishing in Aristotelian philosophy and selfdetermination theory', in Waterman, A.S. (ed.) The best within us: positive psychology perspectives on eudaimonia. Worcester, MA: American Psychological Association, pp. 57–75

Ryff, C. D. (1989) 'Happiness is everything, or is it? Explorations on the meaning of psychological wellbeing', Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 57(6), pp. 1069–1081. Ryff, C.D. and Keyes, C.L.M. (1995) 'The structure of psychological well-being revisited', Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 69(4), pp. 719–727.

Scitovsky, T. (1992) The joyless economy: the psychology of human satisfaction. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Scheffler, I. (1985) Of human potential:an essay in the philosophy of education. Oxford: Routledge.

Seligman, M. (2010) Flourish: positive psychology and positive interventions. The Tanner lectures on human values. Available at: https://tannerlectures.utah. edu > Seligman_10 (Accessed: 1 October 2021).

Seligman, M.E.P., Ernst, R.M., Gillham, J., Reivich, K. and Linkins, M. (2009) 'Positive education: positive psychology and classroom interventions', Oxford Review of Education, 35 (3), pp. 293–311.

Sen, A. (2000) Development as freedom. New York: Alfred A Knopf.

Sen, A. (2008) 'The economics of happiness and capability', in Bruni, L., Comin, F. and Pungo, M. (eds.) Capabilities and happiness (vol. 27). Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 16–27.

Singh, N.C. and Duraiappah, A.K. (2019) EMC2 – a whole brain framework for social and emotional learning. Position Paper. New Delhi: UNESCO MGIEP.

REFERENCES

Spinoza, B. (2007) Theological-political treatise. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Stiglitz, J.E., Sen, A. and Fitoussi, J.-P. (2009) Report by the commission on the measurement of economic performance and social progress. Available at: https:// ec.europa.eu (Accessed: 1 October 2021).

Sumner, L.W. (2003) Welfare, happiness, and ethics. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Taylor, C. (1985) Philosophy and the human sciences: philosophical papers 2. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tiberius, V. (2018) Well-being as valuefulfilment: how we can help each other to live well. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Volf, M. (2015) Flourishing: why we need religion in a globalized world. New Haven and London: Yale University Press.

Waghid, Y. (2014) Reconsidering African philosophy of education: on being human. London: Routledge.

Waghid, Y. (2019) Towards a philosophy of caring in higher education: pedagogy and nuances of care. New York: Palgrave-MacMillan.

Waghid, Y. and Davids, N. (2017) Philosophy and education as action: implications for teacher education. New York and London: Lexington Books. Wang, H., Zhang, J. and Jia, H. (2019) 'Separate neural systems value prosocial behaviors and reward: an ale meta-analysis', Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 13, 276. https://doi. org/10.3389/fnhum.2019.00276.

Waterman, A.S. (ed.) (2013) The best within us: positive psychology perspectives on eudaimonia. Washington: American Psychological Association.

White, J. (2007) 'What are schools for and why', Impact, 14, pp. vi–51.

White, J. (2011) Exploring well-being in schools: a guide to making children's lives more fulfilling. London: Routledge.

Winch, C. and Gingell, J. (1999) Key concepts in the philosophy of education. London: Routledge.

Wolf, S. (2010) Meaning in life and why it matters. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Zhang, Q., Wang, Z., Wang, X., Liu, L., Zhang, J. and Zhou, R. (2019) 'The effects of different stages of mindfulness meditation training on emotion regulation', Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 13. https://doi. org/10.3389/fnhum.2019.00208.







The science of flourishing in child and adolescent development – description, explanation and implications for prevention and promotion

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56383/HORU5152

This chapter should be cited as:

Dorjee, D. and Roeser, R.W. (2022) 'The science of flourishing in child and adolescent development: description, explanation and implications for prevention and promotion' in Duraiappah, A.K., van Atteveldt, N.M., Borst, G., Bugden, S., Ergas, O., Gilead, T., Gupta, L., Mercier, J., Pugh, K., Singh, N.C. and Vickers, E.A. (eds.) Reimagining Education: The International Science and Evidence based Assessment. New Delhi: UNESCO MGIEP.

The work of the second author on this chapter was supported by the Bennett Pierce Chair in Care and Compassion at Pennsylvania State University.

rince ancient times, the question of what Uit means to truly live a life of flourishing has been contemplated, debated and pursued. This chapter reviews contemporary scientific research on flourishing in psychological science and neuroscience, linking this contemporary scholarship to the classical idea that flourishing emerges over time from the support, practice and development of various skills. From this foundation, we cast research on flourishing into an explicitly developmental systems, contemplative science framework in an effort to: (a) describe the development of flourishing from infancy through childhood and adolescence to early adulthood years in terms of specific underlying skills; (b) explain variation in the development of flourishing and these underlying skills in terms of key biological and socialcontextual factors that transact across levels of analysis and time; and thereby (c) optimize the development of flourishing across the first two decades of life by identifying key opportunities for targeting specific malleable skills aimed at enrichment in families, schools and communities in order to prevent problems and promote flourishing.

Coordinating Lead Authors

Dusana Dorjee

Robert William Roeser





3.1

Introduction

Since ancient times, the question of what it means to truly live a life of flourishing has been contemplated, debated and practically pursued (Dahl, Wilson-Mendenhall and Davidson, 2020). In the middle of the twentieth century, the question of how to go beyond surviving in this world to really thrive, flourish, and live a life of deep fulfilment and meaning began to be investigated scientifically (e.g. Maslow, 1950, 1968; Jahoda, 1958; Frankl, 1959). Since that time, with the founding of positive psychology (Csikszentmihalyi and Seligman, 2000) and contemplative science (Goleman and Davidson, 2017), the study of what some call flourishing (e.g. Seligman, 2010) and others call thriving (Bundick et al., 2010), eudemonic well-being (e.g.

Tell me, what is it you plan to do with your one wild and precious life?

MARY OLIVER

Ryan, Curren and Deci, 2013; Ryff, 2014) or genuine human happiness (e.g. Ekman et al., 2005; Ricard, 2011) has been growing rapidly. We are beginning to learn much more about what flourishing is and what factors affect its development across the lifespan (e.g. Keyes, 2007).

In this chapter, we explore flourishing from a developmental systems perspective and cast questions about human flourishing into an explicitly developmental framework. We begin by describing the assumptive framework we use to approach the question of how flourishing develops, and how this assumptive framework coheres with the view of flourishing put forth in previous chapters. Next, we outline what a developmental framework for studying flourishing might look like based on extant research in psychological science and neuroscience, and on the classical idea that flourishing emerges over time as the result of dynamic person-context transactions involving scaffolding and social

support, the sustained practice of various virtuous skills, and discussion and meaning-making around ideas of what it means to flourish; to live well for oneself and the world (e.g. Roeser et al., 2014; Goleman, 2015).

Based on these central ideas, we explore three core developmental questions (Baltes, Reese and **Nesselroade**, **1977**): (1) what is flourishing and its constituent elements, and how are these elements transformed in sociocultural contexts and developmental time? (i.e. description); (2) what biological, mental and socio-cultural processes explain continuity and change in the elements of flourishing across development? (i.e. explanation); and (3) what are the implications of our descriptive and explanatory understandings of flourishing for the prevention of problems and the promotion of optimal development through programmes, socialization practices and forms of education during the first two decades of life ? (i.e. optimization).



3.2

Assumptive framework for understanding the development of flourishing

Three big assumptions underlying our view of flourishing are: 1) that human beings have an intrinsic potential for positive transformation and a tendency towards actualizing their passions and capabilities in the direction of flourishing; (2) that

A flourishing life, is also an ethical life in which the balance of self- and other interests is focal, a balance of flourishing for self and others. it is the sustained practice of learned skills (e.g. procedural knowledge or 'knowing how' to practise flourishing) and related perspectives on flourishing (e.g. declarative knowledge or 'knowing what' flourishing is) that underlies individuals' resilience and flourishing; and (3) a community is needed to foster individuals' learning of flourishing-related skills and motivation, as well as how to embody them ethically in ways that foster the well-being of self, others and the world, together. A flourishing life, according to this view, is also an ethical life in which the balance of self- and other interests is focal, a balance of flourishing for self and others (Roeser et al., 2014). We briefly elaborate each of these assumption sin WG1-ch2.

Firstly, a life of flourishing is hypothesized to be possible insofar as human beings possess the tendency to grow and the potential to transform themselves in ways that lead towards flourishing – as seen in the study of the lives of historical/exemplary individuals (e.g. Erikson, 1958, 1969); resilience across the lifespan (Werner and Smith, 1992; Masten, 2001); and modern neuroscience (e.g. Begley, 2007; Davidson and Lutz, 2008; Stiles, 2008). Thus, the first foundational idea for this chapter is that our potential to change default mental habits for those that promote flourishing is possible, even following significant life adversity. This requires practice, sustained effort and social support.

Secondly, the process of selftransformation involves the learning and development of new skills through structured practice over time. As the saying goes, 'Train your mind, change your brain' (Begley, 2007). What this means is that psychological mastery/skill development is accompanied by structural and functional changes in brain systems (Ericsson and Charness, **1994**). This process is called neural plasticity. The big idea here is that flourishing can now be conceptualized as the cultivation of specific kinds of ethical skills that are malleable – and which can be learned through practice



.... we cannot thrive or flourish in isolation from community or by ignoring our responsibilities to others who, like ourselves, wish to flourish (Varela, 1999; MLERN, 2012). These skills include empathy, mindful awareness and compassion for oneself and others. Further, the development of these virtuous skills is being studied in relation to changes in brain behaviour and social relationships in the areas of health, well-being and growth rather than their opposites. In summary, the process of flourishing involves learning various skills that, given averageexpectable contextual conditions, can lead to a life of flourishing.

Methodologies for developing these skills of flourishing include 'meditation' or 'contemplation' practices. The word contemplative means to mark out a space for observation; and such practices are designed for us to make time to observe our minds and lives in ways that can help move us in the direction of flourishing. Accordingly, a new science is emerging that studies how we can develop our awareness, attention and the power that these qualities can have for leading a life of meaning, purpose and flourishing. This new field is

called contemplative science (e.g. Goleman and Davidson, 2017), and research from this field forms a key part of the developmental theory we propose. Contemplative science aims to integrate insights about the optimization of human development from both science and the wisdom of the world's contemplative meditative traditions in order to gain a better understanding of the nature of the mind and of life, as well as how various practices can help us to cultivate skills leading to a personally meaningful and socially beneficial life (Goleman and Davidson. 2017).

The third foundational idea in this chapter is that flourishing involves community; it is interpersonal and not a personal pursuit or project (Lavelle, 2017; Condon and Makransky, 2020). Many traditions, including science (e.g. Coan and Sharra, 2015), suggest that humans are inherently social by nature, and we cannot thrive or flourish in isolation from community or by ignoring our responsibilities to others who, like ourselves, wish to flourish and not to suffer. This



idea is based on the fundamental interdependence between one's own happiness and the happiness of others. Understanding such interdependence is thought to be a key dimension of living a life of flourishing (e.g. Dalai Lama, 1999, 2012). This idea is also central to our understanding of human development – it is fundamentally a process of interdependence and relationality (e.g. Overton, 2015).

Taken together, these foundational assumptions of flourishing also cast it as a developmental, lifelong process of growth in fulfilling our potential in positive ways. This would involve the cultivation of various virtuous skills and mindsets, in relationship with others and the world. As such, we can begin to describe and explain how flourishing can unfold across the lifespan, and use the knowledge so gained for the good of the world in terms of prevention and health promotion programmes aimed at promoting/supporting the elements of flourishing as they develop in young people. This idea of a developmental approach to flourishing is only a promissory note at this point, but much of the newer work on flourishing and its relation to skill development through contemplative practices and exercises remains developmental at this time (Roeser and Eccles, 2015; Roeser, Colaianne and Greenberg, 2018; Roeser, 2019).



3.3



Towards a developmental framework for studying flourishing

Although there are various theories of adult flourishing (VanderWeele, 2017) and positive youth development (e.g. Leman et al., 2017), there is no agreed upon lifespan theory of flourishing. Thus, in this section we propose one developmental framework for

studying flourishing and illustrate it across typical stages of human psychological development. This framework builds on the proposal of psychological needs postulated in self-determination theory (**Ryan and Deci, 2008**), and is an extension of Eccles et al.'s (**1993**) stage–

...the need for autonomy develops to include a need for self-transcendence – not just engaging in activities deeply endorsed by the self but engaging in those activities that take one beyond self – activities such as prosocial behaviour, the arts, being in nature or contemplative practice. environment fit (SEF) theory where the need for autonomy, belonging and competence are thought to differentiate into wider needs with development (**Roeser and Pinela, 2014**). The framework outlined here links psychological needs and their underlying cognitive and neural sources with a focus on two key neurocognitive well-being capacities (**Dorjee, 2021**).

Ryan, Curren and Deci (2013) propose three basic psychological needs that need to be satisfied for human flourishing to manifest. These are: the need for autonomy, the need for competence and the need for relatedness (Ryan and Deci. 2008). The need for autonomy is satisfied via selfdirectedness that is congruent with personal values and a sense of self, whereas the need for competence describes a sense of mastery (experiencing oneself as capable) over activities that one considers important. Finally, the need for relatedness concerns the need to be positively related to others, including a supportive social climate and relationships. Evidence suggests that these three

needs are universal across cultures, for instance, they determine psychological well-being regardless of differences between collectivist and individualist cultures (Deci and Ryan, 2008).

Building on SEF theory (Eccles et al., 1993) in which the 'fit' between psychological needs and changing social environments is seen as key to flourishing during different life stages, Roeser and Pinela (2014) propose an elaboration of the three basic psychological needs discussed in SEF theory during adolescence, and imply that fit between this expanded set of needs and social environments is key to flourishing during adolescence and beyond.

Specifically, they propose that the need for autonomy develops to include a need for selftranscendence – not just engaging in activities deeply endorsed by the self but engaging in those activities that take one beyond self – activities such as prosocial behaviour, the arts, being in nature or contemplative practice (e.g. Frankl, 1962; Staub, 1979; Hart,

3 C H A P T E R

> **2004**). The need for competence is proposed to develop into a concomitant need for wisdom concerning how to live a good life and be fully human through reflective exploration of philosophical ideas and myths regarding the big questions of life and death (Kessler, 2000). Finally, Roeser and Pinela (2014) propose that the need for relatedness also develops into a need for mattering in the world of adults to others (Eccles and Gootman, 2002; Damon, 2009). This is hypothesized to encompass contributing significantly to one's ingroups, strangers and society at large. We build on this proposal and related work below in our considerations about the relative prominence of psychological needs during different developmental stages.

The self-regulatory capacity broadly describes the ability to manage our attention, emotions and behaviour in ways that foster our flourishing.

To provide a more complete picture of flourishing development, we connect Roeser and Pinela's (2014) proposal of this expanded set of psychological needs that shape flourishing with key neurocognitive sources of well-being to bridge psychological research on flourishing with recent relevant advances in cognitive, affective and social neuroscience. Here we build on the proposal of two neurocognitive well-being capacities - the self-regulatory capacity and the self-world capacity (Dorjee, 2021). The selfregulatory capacity broadly describes the ability to manage our attention, emotions and behaviour in ways that foster our flourishing. It postulates that adaptive regulation of mind-wandering (daydreaming or random off-task ruminative thinking) is a necessary prerequisite for this ability. The self-world capacity describes an overarching integrated state or trait of cognition, affect and awareness that determines our sense of self and reality in connection to others and the world more broadly. The term 'capacity' is used here to indicate the malleability of these sources of flourishing (WG1-ch2) by social, cultural, communal, familial and educational factors as well as targeted development of skills and knowledge that enhance these capacities (such as training in mindfulness, social and emotional learning (SEL), art education,

143

The self-world capacity describes an overarching integrated state or trait of cognition, affect and awareness that determines our sense of self and reality in connection to others and the world more broadly. engagement in prosocial volunteering, etc.). The links between these two capacities and psychological needs are depicted in Figure 1.

The concept of self-regulatory capacity builds on established theories of self-regulation, particularly those with a cognitive focus, which are often linked to related concepts of self-control, metacognition and executive control. There is strong evidence that cognitive self-regulation significantly predicts a range of positive outcomes across development. For example, better self-regulation during early childhood predicts lower risk behaviours, higher well-being and better educational outcomes in adolescence (Woodward et al., 2017; WG2-ch5; WG3-ch3). There is also robust evidence indicating that better early self-regulation is linked to better physical health, income and less criminal activity in adulthood (Moffitt et al., 2011). Recent research shows that selfregulation can be improved by targeted educational programmes, such as SEL training involving

THE SCIENCE OF FLOURISHING IN CHILD AND ADOLESCENT DEVELOPMENT – DESCRIPTION. EXPLANATION AND IMPLICATIONS FOR PREVENTION AND PROMOTION

taking turns, Montessori education, training in martial arts or mindfulness (Pandey et al., 2018).

The concept of the self-regulatory capacity extends traditional approaches to cognitive selfregulation by its explicit emphasis on trainability of self-regulation skills and by pinpointing regulation of mind-wandering as the basis for effective management of attention, emotions and behaviour. Mind-wandering is often defined as mental activity we engage in when we are not focusing on a task (Smallwood and Schooler, 2006). Both the amount and the content of mind-wandering are linked to well-being. We tend to mindwander for nearly 50 per cent of our waking hours, and increased mind-wandering has been associated with lower levels of happiness (Killingsworth and Gilbert, 2010). However, the content of mind-wandering - what we mind wander about - matters too; those who habitually mindwander about positive events or experiences are less likely to experience disturbances in their





.... various recent theories and studies have developed links between mindwandering and education, and also ethical decisionmaking, propensity to compassionate behaviour, well-being, emotional intelligence and capacity to change. affect and this is associated with greater well-being (Wang et al., 2018). In line with intervention research on self-regulation, initial research evidence shows that programmes (such as those involving mindfulness training) that reduce mind-wandering also improve well-being and can enhance academic performance (e.g. Mrazek et al., 2013). In addition, various recent theories and studies have developed links between mind-wandering and education, and also ethical decision-making, propensity to compassionate behaviour, well-being, emotional intelligence and capacity to change (Immordino-Yang, 2015; Ergas and Berkovich-Ohana, 2017).

At the neural level, cognitive processes of the self-regulatory capacity have been linked to increased activity in brain areas associated with cognitive control, including the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and parts of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) (Posner and Rothbart, 2007). Developmental maturation of these brain areas enables increasing self-reflectivity (as a pre-requisite of moral reasoning) during development since they are involved in metacognitive monitoring of attention, emotions and thinking patterns. Such metacognitive monitoring is a prerequisite for skillful regulation of mindwandering; it modulates the default mode of brain function describing activity in a network of brain areas during off-task activity (Raichle et al., 2001). Regulation of mind-wandering is associated with activation decreases in some of the key nodes of the default mode network, while activation in the ACC increases as a result of error monitoring (Schooler et al., 2011).

Since self-regulation is often an interpersonal pursuit, it also engages the social brain regions, such as the temporoparietal junction (TPJ) involved in perspective-taking, understanding others' thoughts, emotions and behaviour (Langner et al., 2018). An overlapping network of brain areas is also involved in moral reasoning and emotions, which are associated with virtue-aligned well-being (Eres et al., 2016). Finally, enhanced self-regulatory capacity




is also associated with better regulation of the hypothalamic– pituitary–adrenocorticalaxis underlying the stress response and resulting in better physiological stress regulation (Lupien et al., 2009; Blair and Cybele Raver, 2015), which can serve as a protective factor for a range of negative outcomes of stress exposure during development.

The cognitive and neural processes of the self-regulatory capacity

WORKING GROUP 1



... the ability to manage emotions and focus attention in interactions with others (including mind-wandering distractions and reactivity to these) is essential in developing a sense of connectedness associated with positive relationships. particularly underlie adaptive satisfaction of the psychological needs for autonomy, relatedness and competence (see Figure 1). For example, deliberate engagement in behaviour in alignment with one's goals and mastery of any activity strongly relies on the ability to regulate distractions of mind-wandering, including affective disturbances which can interfere with effective decision-making and sustained goal focus. Similarly, the ability to manage emotions and focus attention in interactions with others (including mind-wandering distractions and reactivity to these) is essential in developing a sense of connectedness associated with positive relationships.

The second capacity underlying well-being – the self-world capacity – is a much newer concept than the concept of self-regulation. The self-world capacity describes an overarching state or trait which integrates five dimensions: 'self-otherworld focus (extent to which one primarily focuses on oneself as a source of self-understanding, or alternatively has a wider more inclusive sense of self); connection or disconnect (having a sense of connection with others and the world more broadly); solidity of self (fixed inflexible sense of self, or a flexible changeable sense of self); agency (being able to control who one aspires to be) and sense of purpose locus (having a sense of purpose focused on oneself or a wider self-transcending locus)' (Dorjee, 2021, p. 6).

The first two dimensions are closely linked to adaptive or maladaptive self-focus associated with qualities such as empathy and compassion. Maladaptive selffocus and reduced empathy are associated with lower well-being and mental ill-health (e.g. Watkins and Teasdale, 2004). In contrast, compassion is associated with positive emotions, prosociality and a sense of connection with others (Singer and Klimecki, 2014). While the research evidence on cultivating compassion in education is still limited, initial findings suggest encouraging benefits both for children's well-being and their relationships (Jazaieri, 2018).

The link between the third dimension of the self-world capacity and well-being can be illustrated by research on 'decentring' – a state in which one experiences healthy distance from one's own thoughts, feelings and mental events. The link between the third dimension of the self-world capacity and well-being can be illustrated by research on 'decentring' - a state in which one experiences healthy distance from one's own thoughts, feelings and mental events (Fresco et al., **2007**). In the state of decentring, thoughts and emotions are perceived as impermanent and changeable, not as solid facts (Teasdale, 1999), hence the sense of self becomes more flexible. Decentring has been associated with decreased reactivity to experience and better well-being; it also predicts decreased anxiety and depression after mindfulness training (e.g. Hoge et al., 2015). In contrast, a self-world mode that could be described as an immersion and identification with thoughts and emotions is a predictor of low well-being and mental ill-health. Interestingly, decentring has been negatively associated with personal distress as an aspect of empathy and is not significantly related to the perspective-taking and empathic concern aspects of empathy (Fuochi and Voci, 2020). This further

highlights the need to cultivate all the dimensions of the self-world capacity in support of optimal flourishing.

The last dimension of the selfworld capacity particularly highlight the importance of developing a sense of purpose as a protective factor of well-being. Indeed, research with adults has linked greater sense of purpose to a range of psychological and physical health benefits (e.g. Ryff et al., 2004; Ryff, 2014). Evidence in children and adolescents associates purpose with positive emotions, prosociality and fewer social and emotional difficulties. Greater sense of purpose has also been found to predict fewer depression symptoms and less risk-taking behaviour in adolescents (Cotton et al., 2005). Self-transcending purpose with beyond-the-self focus (involving contribution to the greater good) can be particularly beneficial to individual well-being and wider community well-being. Indeed, self-transcending purpose has been linked to higher positive affect and healthy coping strategies (e.g.

WORKING GROUP 1 3 CHAPTER



positive reframing) (Malin et al., 2019). However, the benefits are not limited to well-being only; research shows that fostering of self-transcending sense of purpose results in improved academic performance in adolescents (Cotton et al., 2005). Finally, agency brings together the other four dimensions by translating self-transcending purpose motivated by compassionate concern for others supported by a flexible sense of self into action making a difference in everyday life. Education

needs to foster such a sense of agency, combined with the other dimensions of the self-world capacity developed on the basis of the self-regulatory capacity, for young people to develop a sense of compassionate mattering and courage to contribute to bettering of their immediate communities and wider societal challenges. These qualities are arguably much needed now, as we are tackling a range of societal crises including climate change and political polarization fuelled by the attention economy encouraging constant distractibility (Dorjee, 2021).

At the neural level, the self-world mode is virtually unexplored given the nascent nature of the concept. However, there is initial neuroscientific evidence from studies with experienced meditators who are able to shift their self-world state at will. This evidence suggests that self-world states linked to flourishing are likely to change brain-wave oscillations associated with changes in synchronicity and frequency of neuronal firing. This was the case when meditators engaged in a state of unconditional compassion towards others (Lutz et al., 2004). This research suggests that shifts in self-world states are likely to be associated with changes in global markers of brain activity which need to be investigated in future studies, particularly from a developmental perspective. The findings also point to trainability of self-world states that foster flourishing and associated neural plasticity.

The self-world capacity is particularly linked to the psychological need for selftranscendence, wisdom and mattering proposed by Roeser and Pinela (2014). The need for selftranscendence is associated with a shift from self-focus towards selfworld states of caring other-focus in acts of kindness, helping or sharing, or during contemplative practices when one cultivates states of acceptance, tolerance and compassion. The need for wisdom is also linked to expansion of the self-world state towards connection with bigger issues

The need for wisdom is also linked to expansion of the self-world state towards connection with bigger issues of humanity beyond self-focus.

WORKING GROUP 1



The three remaining learning trajectories of education are aligned with the needs for self-transcendence, wisdom and mattering linked to the self-world capacity. of humanity beyond self-focus. Finally, the need for mattering shifts the self-world capacity from narrow self-interest to broader issues of a group one connects with, thus expanding one's selfconstrual towards inclusion of wider world concerns.

Importantly, the proposed developmental theory of flourishing closely links to the six learning trajectories of education and flourishing elaborated in WG1-ch4 (see Figure 1). The needs of autonomy, mastery and relatedness associated with the self-regulatory capacity seem to map onto the learning to know and think, learning to do and evaluate and learning to learn learning trajectories. Indeed, the need to make choices about our own behaviour (autonomy) builds on knowledge and considerations about our environment, culture and self which are acquired and modified across the lifespan. Similarly, skills (learning how to) of literacy, numeracy, technology and so on are the stepping stones for mastery in any activity. Finally, learning to learn – including

the ability to notice, observe and reflect on the processes of our learning- is essential across the six psychological needs, whether it is reflection on behaviour choices, progression towards mastery in activities, communication or relationships that are important to us. The selfregulatory capacity underlies all three types of learning; extensive research (e.g. Veenman et al., 2006) particularly documents strong positive associations between metacognitive abilities (being able to observe and reflect on our thoughts, feelings and behaviour) and learning to learn which facilitates learning to know and think and learning to do and evaluate.

The three remaining learning trajectories of education are aligned with the needs for selftranscendence, wisdom and mattering linked to the self-world capacity. Learning to live together and learning to live with nature are manifestations of the needs for mattering and wisdom which go beyond the immediate circle of relationships and reach further



Figure 1. The links between self-regulatory, self- world capacities and psychological needs

into connecting with groups, traditions or causes of wider ethical significance. Similarly, learning to be and become is closely linked to the need for selftranscendence, as both highlight exploration of self-identity and its expansion beyond self-focus. These three psychological needs and associated learning trajectories of education map closely onto the self-world capacity, which enables grounding of their further exploration in development in their neurocognitive sources. We will now apply the proposed developmental theory of flourishing to explain the development of flourishing across developmental stages.



CHAPTER

3.4



Describing and explaining flourishing across development

3.4 .1

PRENATAL STAGE

The foundations of flourishing are laid during the prenatal stage, that is, from conception to birth. For example, we know that maternal stress during pregnancy (including depression and anxiety) is associated with low birth weight in babies and this has been further linked to higher likelihood of mental health and behavioural problems in childhood (Lupien et al., 2009). One of the possible underlying mechanisms of these effects might be the impact of prenatal exposure to glucocorticoids which leads to



Infancy is a sensitive period for both cognitive and social emotional development – it shapes life-long learning and relational tendencies impacting flourishing. genetic changes predisposing the child to increased vulnerability to stress during further development (**Provençal et al.**, **2019**). The mediating mechanism between genetic impacts and increased stress vulnerability is likely the diminished selfregulatory capacity, which in turn particularly negatively impacts the first three learning trajectories of education's ability to fulfill the needs for autonomy, mastery and relatedness.

To minimize the negative impacts of stress during the prenatal stage, societies, communities and workplaces should make concentrated efforts to reduce major sources of stress – such as not having access to adequate health care, and job and income concerns – during pregnancy. Educating policy-makers, employers, families, health and social workers, and pregnant women about common stressors and the impact of stress on their and their child's flourishing prospects is the first step in addressing these risk factors to lifelong flourishing. The

implication of this understanding should be policy and care changes resulting in access to stressreduction courses, counselling and coaching during pregnancy as part of routine prenatal care.

3.4 .2

INFANCY

Infancy, the developmental stage between birth and two years of age, is a sensitive period for both cognitive and social-emotional development – it shapes life-long learning and relational tendencies impacting on flourishing. In Erikson's (1993) psychosocial theory of development, infancy is a stage during which we develop a sense of trust or mistrust towards others. Trust develops if the infant receives consistent, responsive and emotionally stable care, whereas mistrust results from experiences of unresponsiveness, rejection and emotional unavailability.

Similarly, Bowlby's theory of attachment (1982) describes

WORKING GROUP 1



At the neural level, infancy is a time of rapid growth of cells as a result of sensory input, increasing ability to explore environment and social interactions with others. infancy from the age of six months as the developmental stage during which we develop attachment to a primary caregiver. Attachment is described as an emotional connection to a primary caregiver, with the child seeking their closeness in times of anxiety or stress. Based on the degree of sensitivity and continuity of care one receives, we develop a lifelong attachment style (secure or insecure) that influences our relationships with peers and partners. Attachment styles impact on flourishing insecure attachment styles have been particularly associated with mental health and behavioural problems in children (Fearon and Roisman, 2017). At the biological level insecure attachment has been linked to abnormalities in glucocorticoid levels in children, suggesting abnormalities in the neuroendocrine stress response as an underlying mechanism.

At the neural level, infancy is a time of rapid growth of connections between brain cells as a result of sensory input, increasing ability to explore environment and social interactions with others. Access to nurturing, rich sensory stimulation and warm, responsive, supportive care are the key factors in enabling infants to develop the foundations of their lifelong flourishing. The brain region that is particularly sensitive to the influences of care children receive during infancy is the hippocampus. This region is involved in learning and memory processes throughout the lifespan. Inadequate care or significant stress during infancy can negatively impact the maturation of the hippocampus and result in developmentally delayed mental health problems including anxiety, depression and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in adolescence and adulthood (Lupien et al., 2009), thus diminishing one's flourishing prospects.

In cognitive terms, the concept of attachment is linked to mindmindedness (Meins et al., 2002) – the ability of a parent to tune into what their child is feeling or thinking. Mind-mindedness has been strongly associated with

... one of the key targets for interventions that aim to foster flourishing of infants and their future flourishing prospects is support of caregivers in delivering supportive, responsive and consistent care to their children, which is attuned to their feelings and needs. children's self-regulatory capacity and is a strong predictor of a range of outcomes in adolescence and adulthood, including academic performance. Attachment style manifesting via mind-mindedness can be considered one of the determinants of successful satisfaction of autonomy, mastery and relatedness needs throughout development.

Based on available evidence, attachment style is largely influenced by social, relational and cultural factors and much less by genetic factors (Fearon and Roisman, **2017**). There is also relatively strong evidence of internal working models of attachment, describing the expectations of relationships transferred between generations. Hence, one of the key targets for interventions that aim to foster flourishing of infants and their future flourishing prospects is support of caregivers in delivering supportive, responsive and consistent care to their children, which is attuned to their feelings and needs.

We propose that the stabilization of attention affected through attuned care-giving and joint attention, and the noting and labelling of significant features of emotionally charged social experiences (e.g. feelings, feelingaction linkages), enhances the ability of young people to clearly perceive and develop rich cognitive-affective representations regarding ethical dilemmas they experience in daily life (e.g. Tharp and Gallimore, 1988). Enriched perceptions and representations, in turn, enhance children's ability to accurately extend their moral understandings to situations and encounters that share correspondences and affinities with previously encountered ones - from the known to the similar (Varela, 1999). This was the view of Mencius, who posited, 'truly virtuous people attend to their nature sufficiently well to understand an event in terms of their experience and thus ensure that appropriate extension follows easily' (Varela, 1999, p. 29).

CHAPTER

3.4 .3 Childhood

Childhood (two to eleven years of age) is a particularly sensitive stage for development of the self-regulatory capacity, and accordingly the needs for autonomy, mastery and relatedness impacting the first three learning trajectories in education. Selfregulation abilities, particularly during early childhood, robustly predict risk behaviours and academic achievement in adolescents, as well as income, educational level and even levels of engagement in criminal activity in adults (Moffitt et al., **2011).** This is why there have been increasing calls to implement self-regulation interventions in primary schools, especially in early education. A recent meta-analysis of self-regulation interventions - including family based programmes, social and personal skills interventions, mindfulness and yoga – summarizes their positive impacts on academic achievement, social skills,

mental health and reductions in behavioural problems and conduct disorders (Pandey et al., 2018). Similarly, a systematic review of interventions enhancing executive function as a central component of self-regulation shows that interventions such as games involving taking turns (e.g. Simon says), martial arts, Montessori approach, yoga and mindfulness are more effective in improving self-regulation in children than computer based training (Diamond and Lee, 2011). A recent review of the field of mindfulness in education also suggests that school based mindfulness programmes for children can support development of their self-regulation (Roeser, Galla and Baelen, 2020).

At the neural level, the development of self-regulation is linked to increasing reliance on the executive attention network involving the ACC and the PFC, which undergoes gradual maturation into adolescence and until the age of 25. This gradual development enables increasing reliance on metacognition (monitoring of attention,

Self-regulation abilities, particularly during early childhood, robustly predict risk behaviours and academic achievement in adolescents, as well as income, educational level and even levels of engagement in criminal activity in adults.





emotion and behaviour) and less reactive responses to situations (delayed gratification). At the same time, regions of the social brain involving the TPJ undergo maturational changes resulting in increasing perspective-taking, development of empathy and prosocial behaviour, including sharing and helping. Development of empathy and prosocial behaviour can be particularly enabled though parenting and education that encourages awareness and verbalization of emotions, inviting taking on the perspectives of others and rewarding positive prosocial behaviour (e.g. Farrant et al., 2012). Neuroscience research also shows that children are particularly sensitive to rewards, more so than

CHAPTER

negative feedback, when learning (Martin and Ochsner, 2016).

Development of self-regulation and prosociality enables initial satisfaction of the need for autonomy, mastery and relatedness as well as mattering. Indeed, in Erikson's (1973) psychosocial theory, childhood is described as the age of developing autonomy, initiative and mastery. Children start exploring their environment by themselves and gradually also learn to take initiative in peer relationships. To progress in their development towards satisfaction of the need for autonomy they need to be provided with sufficient opportunities for self-initiated exploration and self-initiated play without discouragement or excessive intervention from caregivers. As they progress through acquisition of new skills, they also develop an initial sense of mastery in activities of interest. Through parental and/ or educational guidance they can also start exploring their need for mattering, by engaging in activities of wider significance, for example, learning and participating in

initiatives aimed at protecting the environment. Developing new ways of measuring flourishing during childhood in ways that are useful to communities, schools and families represents a new frontier in this work (Thomson et al., 2018).

3.4 .4

ADOLESCENCE

Adolescence (eleven to nineteen years of age) is a developmental period of self-regulatory capacity vulnerabilities and extensive self-world capacity development with changes in both capacities impacting on fulfillment of associated psychological needs (WG3-ch2). Maturation in the PFC, which continues until the age of 25, is a hallmark of developmental brain changes in adolescence and underlies adolescents' increasing metacognitive ability to reflect on their thinking, emotions, behaviour and relationships. It

Adolescence is a developmental period of selfregulatory capacity vulnerabilities and extensive self-world capacity development with changes in both capacities impacting fulfillment of associated psychological needs. also expands the scope of selfregulatory skills towards more complex strategies involving planning, consideration of a range of information sources, others' perspectives, including considerations about communal and wider societal issues, and one's longer-term goals. This expansion of self-regulatory skills is foundational to wisdom.

These processes of PFC maturation in adolescence also bear a risk of increased sensitivity to stress, given that neurotoxic effects of glucocorticoids associated with stress particularly impact regions with glucocorticoid receptors including the PFC and the hippocampi (Lupien et al., 2007). This increased vulnerability to stress also means that programming effects resulting from earlier experiences of chronic stress or abuse in infancy or childhood can manifest in mental health or behavioural difficulties in adolescence. Such vulnerabilities often manifest as increases in negative mind-wandering that can predict and are symptomatic of anxiety and depression (Burwell

and Shirk, 2007; Young and Dietrich, 2015). About a half of adult mental health problems begin in adolescence (Jones, 2013).

In addition to PFC vulnerabilities, imbalanced maturation of connections between the PFC and other brain regions impacts on adolescents' susceptibility to risk behaviour, particularly under peer pressure. This is because the neural connections between the PFC and the ventral striatum (VS), a brain region associated with rewarding experiences, mature faster than the connections between the PFC and amygdalae associated with threat detection (Spear, 2013). As a result, adolescents are prone to hot cognitions - impulsive behaviour associated with risk-taking particularly in the presence of peers, while decision-making is associated with increased activity in the VS.

However, increased self-regulatory capacity enabled by PFC maturation, changes in other areas of the social brain and increased susceptibility to peer influence can also have protective effects

WORKING GROUP 1

3

C H A P T E R

... strengthening the self-regulatory capacity by enabling fulfillment of the psychological needs of autonomy, mastery and relatedness, fostered via corresponding learning trajectories in adolescence, can positively impact adolescent flourishing. on well-being in adolescence. For example, Ronen et al. (2016) show that self-regulation not only predicts better subjective wellbeing in adolescents, but also predicts higher levels of positive affect when adolescents experience crisis. In the same study, greater social support also has protective effects on adolescent subjective well-being. Contemplative practice interventions, such as martial arts or mindfulness, have been shown to improve self-regulation (Pandey et al., 2018) and reduce mind-wandering in adolescence (Mrazek et al., 2013). This suggests that strengthening the selfregulatory capacity by enabling fulfillment of the psychological needs of autonomy, mastery and relatedness, fostered via corresponding learning trajectories in adolescence, can positively impact adolescent flourishing.

Similarly, extensive development of the self-world capacity in adolescence can also be harnessed in support of adolescent flourishing. In Erikson's (1973) theory of psychosocial development, adolescence is the

stage during which one needs to resolve the conflict between finding one's identity and role confusion. Healthy development of identity involves moving towards a sense of self that goes beyond immediate self-focus and includes value-oriented virtuous qualities. In this way, adolescence is a key period for meeting the needs of self-transcendence, mattering and wisdom which can steer identity development in a direction that facilitates flourishing. Education has a key role to play in this via fostering the learning trajectories associated with these needs. Indeed, research shows that character strengths that build connections to people and a sense of purpose beyond self predict future well-being in adolescents (Gillham et al., 2011). In another study, greater sense of purpose in adolescents is shown to have protective effects on depression symptoms (Cotton et al., 2005). And the self-transcending purpose of adolescents has also been linked to better academic performance (Yeager et al., 2014). Adolescent susceptibility to peer influence can be harnessed in

Adolescent susceptibility to peer influence can be harnessed in positive ways too, for example, in encouraging prosocial behaviour.

positive ways too, for example, in encouraging prosocial behaviour.

Overall, adolescence is a developmental period of vulnerabilities and sensitivities but also one of great opportunities. Better understanding of these, (WG3-ch2) combined with understanding of psychological needs and underlying well-being capacities, can guide development and implementation of effective school curricula addressing the six learning trajectories in an effective and age-appropriate manner. Although research is just beginning, there is some evidence that developmentally appropriate flourishing-related programmes for adolescents that include reflection, contemplative practices and service can engender salutary effects, both by reducing distress and increasing the skills and perspectives of flourishing. This work is in its initials stages, however, and more research is needed (Roeser and Eccles, 2015; Roeser and Pinela, 2015; Eccles and Roeser, 2016; Roeser et al., 2020).

3.4 .5 Adulthood

According to Erikson's theory (1973), adulthood is the stage of development during which one resolves the conflict between intimacy (having a partner) and isolation and the conflict between generativity (contributing to family, society, etc.) and stagnation. Healthy resolution of these conflicts contributes to flourishing, for example, marriage has been associated with better psychological health but factors such as relationship quality and social support mediate the impact on this association (Soulsby and Bennett, 2015; Chapman and Guven, 2016). This reflects the manifestation of need for relatedness in adulthood as one of the determinants of flourishing.

Similarly, those in employment that satisfies the needs for mastery and competence linked to intrinsic motivation tend to show higher levels of flourishing **(Ryan and Deci,**

THE SCIENCE OF FLOURISHING IN CHILD AND ADOLESCENT DEVELOPMENT -Description, explanation and implications for prevention and promotion

WORKING GROUP 1



... flourishing of adults is inseparable from flourishing of the young people they look after or work with. 2013). And at the neurocognitive level, higher levels of off-task mind-wandering have been linked to unhappiness, suggesting that a decrease in the self-regulatory capacity is indicative of less flourishing (Killingsworth and Gilbert, 2010). However, the affective content of mind-wandering matters too; positive mindwandering content has been linked to less affective disturbance suggesting greater levels of flourishing (Wang et al., 2018).

In terms of the self-world capacity - and associated needs for selftranscendence, mattering and wisdom - there is robust evidence suggesting that a greater sense of virtue-oriented purpose is linked to health benefits such as lower risk of cardiovascular problems (Kubzansky et al., 2018), decreased risk of cognitive impairments associated with ageing and possibly longer life (Cohen, Bavishi and Rozanski, 2016). There is also evidence elucidating the underlying mechanisms of these effects - these may modulate the neuroendocrine pathways of the stress response since greater sense

of purpose is associated with lower cortisol levels, lower inflammatory markers and better sleep (Ryff et al., 2004; Cole at al., 2015). And at the neural level, eudemonic happiness, emphasizing a virtue-oriented sense of purpose, has been associated with increased activity in the insula (Lewis et al., 2014), a region of the brain involved in emotional awareness and modified in the same direction by contemplative practices (Farb, Segal and Anderson, 2013). Engagement in prosocial activities, such as volunteering, has also been shown to increase well-being, presumably via changes in the self-world capacity in response to such activities meeting needs for selftranscendence and mattering.

As noted in preceding sections, well-being of adults strongly impacts on flourishing of infants, children and adolescents. Children of parents who experience depression, for example, are more likely to have difficulties with self-regulation, and a low sense of well-being in teachers is associated with lower well-being in children (reflected in their

... an intricate relation between inner (cognitive and emotional) development and a stimulating and encouraging environment exists from the beginning of life. stress response biomarkers). This clearly indicates that flourishing of adults is inseparable from flourishing of the young people they look after or work with. As Erikson (1973) notes in his lifespan theory of development, the life-task he describes for adulthood involves, in part, the discovery of whom one would take care of (p. 124). In this way, he articulates the interdependence of successful child/adolescent and adult development: the developmental life task of society's elders is to assist young people in progressing along fruitful educational, social and moral lines of development that eventuates in their full participation in an ongoing cultural concern. The developmental life task of society's youth is to open outward to the opportunities of their times, to co-create and perpetuate society in conjunction with their elders, and sometimes, to reshape the future direction of society in spite of them (Erikson, 1969: Mead, 1970). Erikson (1970, p. 754) describes this notion of the interdependence of adolescent and adult development from the perspective of the child:

an intricate relation between inner (cognitive and emotional) development and a stimulating and encouraging environment exists from the beginning of life, so that no stage and no crisis could be formulated without a characterization of the mutual fit of the individual's capacity to relate to an ever expanding lifespace of people and institutions, on the one hand, and on the other, the readiness of people and institutions to make him [sic] part of an ongoing cultural concern'.

In sum, effective educational interventions aiming to support the flourishing of children and adolescents should, wherever possible, target enhancements in the self-regulatory and self-world capacities of pupils/students, and their caregivers, parents and teachers (e.g. MLERN, 2012).



CHAPTER

3.5



Measuring flourishing

The overarching goal of supporting the flourishing of children and adolescents implicates the need to measure flourishing effectively in order to develop a strong evidence base on flourishing development which can in turn inform policy and practice recommendations. However, effective measurement is not an easy task given there is no agreed definition of flourishing. The most common way of measuring flourishing in children and adolescents involves self-reports or informant reports

(provided by teachers or parents) of a range of skill and quality types which are related to flourishing. These include relationship skills such as empathy or social skills, flourishing in relationships (with parents or peers), flourishing at school (assessing qualities such as diligence, educational engagement, thrift, trustworthiness, etc.), prosociality (altruism, generosity), environmental stewardship or personal flourishing (qualities including forgiveness, gratitude, hope, purpose, spirituality, etc.) (Lippman, Guzman and Moore, 2012).

....any large-scale assessments of pupil flourishing in education would need to carefully consider suitable measures and ethical implications of such evaluations. As can be seen from this long list, some assessments seem to focus more on skills and qualities associated with the self-regulatory capacity (e.g. diligence, goal orientation), while others seem to target the self-world capacity (e.g. altruism, purpose).

In recent years, there have been increasing calls for routine assessments of flourishing (happiness or well-being) in schools as one of the metrics schools are evaluated and guided on. The primary aim of these suggestions has been to highlight cultivation of flourishing as an educational priority (Minds, 2017: Lavard and Ward. 2020). Such recommendations from researchers and non-profit organizations supporting the mental health of young people are encouraged by recent surveys with teachers and parents in the United Kingdom (UK). For example, 82 per cent of teachers surveyed said that there is a disproportionate focus on exams in education in contrast to the well-being of students, and 73 per cent of parents would rather send their children to school where

they would be happy even if their previous exam results were not good (Minds, 2017). Eighty-one per cent of young people reported that they would like to learn more about how to look after their mental well-being in school (Minds, **2017).** These findings clearly point to the current imbalance in the educational system - overemphasis on academic performance and insufficient focus on supporting student flourishing, with academic pressures often undermining student flourishing. Inclusion of large-scale regular flourishing assessments in schools, and their results being considered in evaluations of school provisions, may help bring flourishing to the central stage of educational policy.

However, any large-scale assessments of pupil flourishing in education would need to carefully consider suitable measures and ethical implications of such evaluations. The most appropriate measures are likely to assess positive skills and qualities associated with flourishing, rather than evaluate flourishing as absence of mental ill-health

CHAPTER

... any plans for large scale assessments of flourishing would need to carefully consider the ethical implications of such evaluations, including informed consent from parents and assent from adolescents, data handling, and data access by schools and government institutions (Huppert, 2017). How to select the most suitable flourishing skills and qualities will be one of the main questions in that process. Arguably, this is where assessments of underlying capacities would be particularly useful as concise predictors of a range of flourishing skills and qualities. Measuring underlying capacities could lead to the development of effective flourishing interventions (Huppert, 2017), targeting groups of flourishing skills and qualities rather than limited sets of selected skills/qualities associated with flourishing.

Importantly, any plans for largescale assessments of flourishing would first and foremost need to carefully consider the ethical implications of such evaluations, including informed consent from parents and ascent from adolescents, data handling, and data access by schools and government institutions. For example, it is an open question whether teachers should have access to their students' individual flourishing data and whether such information could be helpful in supporting student flourishing. It is also an open question whether anonymized longitudinal data on student flourishing could be made openly accessible to researchers given the lack of developmental research on the flourishing trajectories of children and adolescents. Finally, where would such data be stored and how would we ensure that information linking anonymized student data with their identity is kept strictly confidential with restricted access?

Another question that needs to be addressed in the process of designing and implementing such large-scale evaluations in schools pertains to the most suitable methods of measuring flourishing. Self-reports have been the default approach in flourishing research, but they have their limitations (Dolan and Metcalfe, 2012), particularly in research with children and young adolescents given that self-reporting relies on stilldeveloping metacognitive abilities facilitating monitoring, reflecting upon and reporting of one's mental processes and behaviour.

Given the increasing interest in neuroscientific research as a means of informing flourishing initiatives in education, neuroscientific methods are also relevant for investigating flourishing development with educational implications For these reasons, self-reports are not reliable for assessments with children younger than seven or eight years of age. Innovative assessments with methods such as experience sampling, where children or adolescents report on their momentary experiences of flourishing several times during a selected day or week might be an alternative (Vilaysack et al., 2016).

Other possibilities include using peer reports of student behaviour prior to and following flourishing interventions (e.g. Schonert-Reichl et al., 2015). In addition, other peer metrics that assess peer hierarchies, neglected/rejected students and other peer-group characteristics are interesting measures for future evaluation studies to consider as targets of change (Kindermann and Gest, 2018).

There is also a range of measures other than self-reports that may provide more complete understanding of flourishing in the context of education. However, these methods are more demanding on data collection and analysis, and are therefore not suitable for large-scale implementation. Observational methods are one such research measure (**Briesch et al.**, **2015**). They involve a researcher spending time in a classroom during lessons and evaluating indicators of flourishing such as class atmosphere or use of feedback strategies, for example, positive rewards. And in younger children, observation methods evaluating child behaviour can be used to assess self-regulatory capacity (**Woodward et al.**, **2017**).

Given the increasing interest in neuroscientific research as a means of informing flourishing initiatives in education, neuroscientific methods are also relevant for investigating flourishing development with educational implications. Neuroscientific research typically utilizes electrophysiological methods or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) methods. Electrophysiological methods measure cumulative electrical signals resulting from synchronous firing of neurons on the surface of the scalp. From this signal, researchers can derive markers

WORKING GROUP 1

3 C H A P T E R

..... randomized controlled trials are costly and it often takes several years for their results to be translated into educational practice. For these reasons, there is increasing interest in naturalistic (realworld) implementation studies in flourishing research ... of cognitive and affective processing, such as attention control or emotion regulation, indicative of the self-regulatory capacity (Kaunhoven and Dorjee, 2017). There is also preliminary research suggesting that aspects of the self-world capacity could be assessed using electrophysiology. Electrophysiological methods are less costly than other neuroscientific methods, such as MRI, and they are portable, enabling testing in schools (e.g., Sanger, Thierry and Dorjee, 2018). Whilst these methods have excellent temporal resolution (timing of neuronal firing), they cannot easily locate specific brain structures generating the neural signal measured.

In contrast, MRI methods have excellent brain localization, but they do not assess neuronal firing directly as it is happening in real time (**WG3-ch2**). These methods make inferences about localization of cognitive and affective processes based on assumptions about brain structure or metabolic changes in the brain. Structural MRI can assess associations between self-reported flourishing and the volume or thickness of some brain structures. It works on the assumption that higher involvement of brain structures in a particular flourishing process will result in more frequent or more efficient use of some brain areas resulting in such anatomic changes (e.g. Lewis et al., 2014). This differs from the assumptions of the functional MRI, which detects changes in oxygenated levels of blood as it flows to different parts of the brain in response to changes in their metabolic demand. The assumption here is that brain areas that require more oxygen are the areas that are involved in performance of tasks participants are engaging in while their brain activity is being scanned. In studies on flourishing, researchers can, for instance, select tasks that aim to elicit empathy or compassion in participants (Kim, Cunnington and Kirby, 2020) or engage attention control as part of selfregulation (Tang et al., 2014).

Finally, one of the main questions in assessing flourishing relates to choice of research design.



Longitudinal developmental studies with repeated assessments of the same participants can provide us with better understanding of developmental changes in flourishing. Such designs would need to carefully monitor types of flourishing interventions delivered to pupils/ students over time to enable inferences about the possible developmental impacts. Whilst they are most valuable for understanding developmental changes in flourishing, longitudinal studies require significant funding since they are time-consuming. Cross-sectional studies, where researchers look at flourishing at particular points in time, often in the form of a survey or in neuroscience studies, can provide further understanding of mechanisms underlying flourishing. And in intervention

research, randomized controlled trials are the gold standard, with participants allocated randomly into a training group or a control group with the two groups being compared at a baseline and then at a time point when the intervention in the training groups is completed. However, randomized controlled trials are costly and it often takes several years for their results to be translated into educational practice. For these reasons, there is increasing interest in naturalistic (real-world) implementation studies in flourishing research (Layard and Ward, 2020). The largescale assessments mentioned earlier are examples of such possible assessments.



СНАРТЕК

3.6



Conclusion

This chapter proposes a new developmental framework of flourishing. We suggest that flourishing can be operationalized in the developmental context in terms of key psychological needs and their underlying neurocognitive capacities linked to the six learning trajectories of education. This framework highlights the malleability of flourishing by biological and social factors, and the trainability of underlying capacities by targeted school interventions. We highlight the intertwined nature of educational context, and teacher and pupil flourishing in

education, and the importance of research informed policy guidance and teacher training in effectively supporting pupil/ student flourishing throughout the educational journey. The first step towards such flourishingenabling educational policies needs to be acknowledgement of flourishing as a central aim of education. Any policy guidance on flourishing in education needs to take into account the multifaceted psychological and neurocognitive changes during child and adolescent development impacting on their abilities to engage with flourishing-supporting initiatives.

3.7

Recommendations

1. Flourishing development is dynamic and malleable, influenced by biological, cultural and social factors including influences of educational environment such as school ethos and teacher stress. Educational policy should reflect understanding of these influences.

2. Research suggests that the predominant focus of current educational policy on academic performance as the educational priority can undermine student flourishing. There is a lack of focus on flourishing as a central purpose of education in educational policy. This imbalance needs to be addressed to create systemic conditions for education to enable flourishing development.

3. Development of flourishing in the educational context can be understood in terms of six key psychological needs, namely autonomy, mastery, relatedness, self-transcendence, wisdom and mattering, which need to be satisfied for flourishing to manifest. These psychological needs are associated with the six pillars of education and underlying neurocognitive capacities which are elaborated in **WG1-ch4**. Policy-makers and educators need to understand these psychological needs and their neurocognitive sources and, in doing so, can guide development and implementation of effective flourishing interventions in education.

4. Formulation of educational provisions that aim to support flourishing in children and adolescents in education needs to be based on understanding of the developmental progression of flourishing determinants, psychological needs and neurocognitive capacities, including developmental vulnerabilities and sensitive periods. This will ensure that effective, developmentally appropriate ways of fostering flourishing are designed and implemented in education.

REFERENCES

Baltes, P.B., Reese, H.W. and Nesselroade, J.R. (1977) Life-span developmental psychology: introduction to research methods. Monterey: Brooks.

Begley, S. (2007) Train your mind, change your brain: how a new science reveals our extraordinary potential to transform ourselves. New York: Ballantine.

Blair, C. and Cybele Raver, C. (2015) 'School readiness and self-regulation: a developmental psychobiological approach', Annual Review of Psychology, 66, pp. 711–731.

Bowlby, J. (1982). Attachment. New York: Basic Books.

Briesch, A.M., Hemphill, E.M., Volpe, R.J. and Daniels, B. (2015) 'An evaluation of observational methods for measuring response to class wide intervention', School Psychology Quarterly, 30(1), pp. 37–49.

Bundick, M.J., Yeager, D.S., King, P.E. and Damon, W. (2010) 'Thriving across the life span', in Overton, W.F. and Lerner, R.M. (eds.) The handbook of life-span development (vol.1). Cognition, biology, and methods. New York: John Wiley and Sons. doi: 10.1002/9780470880166.hlsd001024.

Burwell, R.A. and Shirk, S.R. (2007) 'Subtypes of rumination in adolescence: associations between brooding, reflection, depressive symptoms, and coping', Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, 36(1), pp. 56–65. Chapman, B. and Guven, C. (2016) 'Revisiting the relationship between marriage and wellbeing: does marriage quality matter?', Journal of Happiness Studies, 17(2), pp. 533–551.

Coan, J.A. and Sbarra, D.A. (2015) 'Social baseline theory: the social regulation of risk and effort', Current Opinion in Psychology, 1, pp. 87–91.

Cohen, R., Bavishi, C. and Rozanski, A. (2016) 'Purpose in life and its relationship to all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events: a meta-analysis', Psychosomatic Medicine, 78(2), pp. 122–133.

Cole, S.W., Levine, M.E., Arevalo, J.M., Ma, J., Weir, D.R. and Crimmins, E.M. (2015) 'Loneliness, eudaimonia, and the human conserved transcriptional response to adversity', Psychoneuroendocrinology, 62, pp. 11–17.

Condon, P. and Makransky, J. (2020) 'Recovering the relational starting point of compassion training: a foundation for sustainable and inclusive care', Perspectives on Psychological Science, 15(6), pp. 1346–1362.

Cotton, S., Larkin, E., Hoopes, A., Cromer, B.A. and Rosenthal, S.L. (2005) 'The impact of adolescent spirituality on depressive symptoms and health risk behaviors', Journal of Adolescent Health, 36(6). doi: 10.1016/j. jadohealth.2004.07.017. Csikszentmihalyi, M. and Seligman, M.E. (2000) 'Positive psychology: an introduction', American Psychologist, 55, pp. 5–14.

Dahl, C.J., Wilson-Mendenhall, C.D. and Davidson, R.J. (2020) 'The plasticity of well-being: a training-based framework for the cultivation of human flourishing', Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117(51), pp. 32197–32206.

Dalai Lama (1999) Ethics for a new millennium. New York: Penguin Putnam.

Dalai Lama (2012) Beyond religion: ethics for a whole world. New York: Houghton-Mifflin.

Damon, W. (2009) The path to purpose: how young people find their calling in life. New York: The Free Press.

Davidson, R.J. and Lutz, A. (2008) 'Buddha's brain: neuroplasticity and meditation', IEEE Signal Processing, 25(1), pp. 171–174.

Deci, E.L. and Ryan, R.M. (2008) 'Selfdetermination theory: a macrotheory of human motivation, development, and health', Canadian Psychology/Psychologie Canadienne, 49(3), pp. 182–185.

Diamond, A. and Lee, K. (2011) 'Interventions shown to aid executive function development in children 4 to 12 years old', Science, 333(6045), pp. 959–964.

Dolan, P. and Metcalfe, R. (2012). Measuring subjective wellbeing: recommendations on measures for use by national governments. Journal of Social Policy, 41(2), pp. 409-427.

Dorjee, D. (2021) 'The Covid-19 pandemic, political polarisation, climate change and the useless class: why fostering wellbeing capacities should be part of the solution'. https://doi.org/10.31231/osf. io/qtkyr.

Eccles, J.S. and J.A. Gootman (eds.) (2002) Community programs to promote youth development. Washington DC: National Academies Press.

Eccles, J.S., Midgley, C., Wigfield, A., Buchanan, C. M., Reuman, D., ... and MacIver, D. (1993) 'Development during adolescence: the impact of stage environment fit on young adolescents' experiences in schools and families', American Psychologist, 48, pp. 90–101.

Eccles. J.S. and Roeser, R.W. (2016) 'School and community influences on human development', in Boorstein, M.H. and Lamb, M.E. (eds.) Developmental psychology: an advanced textbook. Hillsdale: Erlbaum.

Ekman, P., Davidson, R.J., Ricard, M. and Wallace, B.A. (2005) 'Buddhist and psychological perspectives on emotions and well-being', Current Directions in Psychological Science, 14(2), pp. 59–63.

Eres, R., Louis, W.R. and Molenberghs,

P. (2018) 'Common and distinct neural networks involved in fMRI studies investigating morality: an ALE metaanalysis', Social Neuroscience, 13(4), pp. 384–398.

Ergas, O. and Berkovich-Ohana, A. (2017) 'The self-generative mind in education: review and future directions', Mind, Brain, and Education, 11(4), pp. 213–226.

Ericsson, K.A. and Charness, N. (1994) 'Expert performance: its structure and acquisition', American Psychologist, 8, pp. 725–747.

Erikson, E.H. (1958) Young man Luther. New York: W.W. Norton.

Erikson, E.H. (1969) Gandhi's truth. New York: W.W. Norton.

Erikson, E.H. (1970) 'Autobiographic notes on the identity crisis', Daedalus, 99, pp. 730–759.

Erikson, E.H. (1973) Dimensions of a new identity. New York: W.W. Norton.

Erikson, E.H. (1993) Childhood and society. New York: W.W. Norton.

Farb, N.A., Segal, Z.V. and Anderson, A.K. (2013) 'Mindfulness meditation training alters cortical representations of interoceptive attention', Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 8(1), pp. 15–26. Farrant, B.M., Devine, T.A., Maybery, M.T. and Fletcher, J. (2012) 'Empathy, perspective taking and prosocial behaviour: the importance of parenting practices', Infant and Child Development, 21(2), pp. 175–188.

Fearon, R.P. and Roisman, G.I. (2017) 'Attachment theory: progress and future directions', Current Opinion in Psychology, 15, pp. 131–136.

Frankl, V.E. (1959) Man's search for meaning. Boston: Beacon Press.

Frankl, V. E. (1962). The will to meaning: foundations and applications of logotherapy. New York: New American Library.

Fresco, D.M., Moore, M.T., van Dulmen, M.H., Segal, Z.V., Ma, S.H., ... and Williams, J.M.G. (2007) 'Initial psychometric properties of the experiences questionnaire: validation of a self-report measure of decentering', Behavior Therapy, 38(3), pp. 234–246.

Fuochi, G. and Voci, A. (2020) 'A deeper look at the relationship between dispositional mindfulness and empathy: meditation experience as a moderator and dereification processes as mediators', Personality and Individual Differences, 165, pp. 110–122.

Gillham, J., Adams-Deutsch, Z., Werner, J., Reivich, K., Coulter-Heindl, V., ... and Seligman, M.E. (2011) 'Character strengths predict subjective well-being during adolescence', The Journal of Positive Psychology, 6(1), pp. 31–44.

R E F E R E N C E S

Goleman, D. (2015) A force for good: the Dalai Lama's vision for our world. London: Bloomsbury Publishing.

Goleman, D. and Davidson, R.J. (2017) Altered traits: science reveals how meditation changes your mind, brain, and body. London: Penguin.

Hart, T. (2004) 'Opening the contemplative mind in the classroom', Journal of Transformative Education, 2(1), pp. 28–46.

Hoge, E.A., Bui, E., Goetter, E., Robinaugh, D.J., Ojserkis, R.A., and Simon, N.M. (2015) 'Change in decentering mediates improvement in anxiety in mindfulness-based stress reduction for generalized anxiety disorder', Cognitive Therapy and Research, 39(2), pp. 228–235.

Huppert, U. (2017) 'Measurement really matters'. Available at: https:// whatworkswellbeing.org/wp-content/ uploads/2020/01/MEASUREMENT-MATTERS-Measuring-Wellbeing-Series-2-Sept-2017.pdf (Accessed: 31 October 2021).

Immordino-Yang, M.H. (2015). Emotions, learning, and the brain: exploring the educational implications of affective neuroscience. New York: W.W. Norton.

Jahoda, M. (1958) Joint Commission on Mental Health and Illness. Monograph series: vol. 1. Current concepts of positive mental health. doi: 10.1037/11258-000. Jazaieri, H. (2018) 'Compassionate education from preschool to graduate school: bringing a culture of compassion into the classroom', Journal of Research in Innovative Teaching & Learning, 11(1), pp. 22–66.

Jones, P.B. (2013) 'Adult mental health disorders and their age at onset', The British Journal of Psychiatry, 202(s54), pp. s5–s10.

Kaunhoven, R.J. and Dorjee, D. (2017) 'How does mindfulness modulate selfregulation in pre-adolescent children? An integrative neurocognitive review', Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 74, pp. 163–184.

Kessler, R. (2000) The soul of education: helping students find connection, compassion, and character at school. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Keyes, C.L. (2007) 'Promoting and protecting mental health as flourishing: a complementary strategy for improving national mental health', American Psychologist, 62, pp. 95–108.

Killingsworth, M.A. and Gilbert, D.T. (2010) 'A wandering mind is an unhappy mind', Science, 330(6006), pp. 932–932.

Kim, J.J., Cunnington, R. and Kirby, J.N. (2020) 'The neurophysiological basis of compassion: an fMRI meta-analysis of compassion and its related neural processes', Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 108, pp. 112–123. Kindermann, T.A. and Gest, S.D. (2018) 'The peer group: linking conceptualizations, theories, and methods', in Bukowski, W.M., Laursen, B. and Rubin, K.H. (eds.) Handbook of peer interactions, relationships, and groups. New York: The Guilford Press, pp. 84–105.

Kubzansky, L.D., Huffman, J.C., Boehm, J.K., Hernandez, R., Kim, E.S, ... and Labarthe, D.R. (2018) 'Positive psychological well-being and cardiovascular disease: JACC health promotion series', Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 72(12), pp. 1382–1396.

Langner, R., Leiberg, S., Hoffstaedter, F. and Eickhoff, S.B. (2018) 'Towards a human self-regulation system: common and distinct neural signatures of emotional and behavioural control', Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 90, pp. 400–410.z

Lavelle, B.D. (2017) 'Compassion in context: tracing the Buddhist roots of secular, compassion-based contemplative', in Seppala, E.M., Simon-Thomas, E., Brown, S.L., Worline, M.C., Cameron, C.D., and Doty, J.R. (eds.) The Oxford handbook of compassion science. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 17–25.

Layard, R. and Ward, G. (2020) Can we be happier? Evidence and ethics. London: Penguin.

Leman, P. J., Smith, E. P., Petersen, A. C., SRCD Ethnic–Racial Issues and

International Committees, Seaton, E., ... and Zhou, N. (2017). 'Introduction to the special section of Child Development on positive youth development in diverse and global contexts', Child Development, 88(4), pp. 1039–1044.

Lewis, G.J., Kanai, R., Rees, G. and Bates, T.C. (2014) 'Neural correlates of the "good life": eudemonic wellbeing is associated with insular cortex volume', Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 9(5), pp. 615–618.

Lippman, L.H., Guzman, L. and Moore, K.A. (2012) 'Measuring flourishing among youth', Findings from the flourishing children positive indicators project: child trends. Available at: https://www.childtrends.org/wpcontent/ uploads/2013/05/FlourishingChildren. pdf. (Accessed: 31 October 2020).

Lupien, S.J., Evans, A., Lord, C., Miles, J., Pruessner, M., ... and Pruessner, J.C. (2007). 'Hippocampal volume is as variable in young as in older adults: implications for the notion of hippocampal atrophy in humans', Neuroimage, 34(2), pp. 479–485.

Lupien, S.J., McEwen, B.S., Gunnar, M.R. and Heim, C. (2009) 'Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition', Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 10(6), pp. 434–445.

Lutz, A., Greischar, L.L., Rawlings, N.B.,

Ricard, M. and Davidson, R.J. (2004) 'Long-term meditators self-induce highamplitude gamma synchrony during mental practice', Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 101(46), pp. 16369–16373.

Malin, H., Morton, E., Nadal, A. and Smith, K.A. (2019) 'Purpose and coping with adversity: a repeated measures, mixed-methods study with young adolescents', Journal of Adolescence, 76, pp. 1–11.

Martin, R. and Ochsner, K. N. (2016) "The neuroscience of emotion regulation development: implications for education', Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 10, pp. 142–148.

Maslow, A.H. (1950) 'Self-actualizing people: a study of psychological health', Personality, Symposium 1, pp. 11–34.

Maslow, A.H. (1968) Toward a psychology of being. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Masten, A. (2001) 'Ordinary magic: resilience processes in development', American Psychologist, 56, pp. 227–238.

Mead, M. (1970) Culture and commitment: a study of the generation gap. New York: Natural History Press.

Meins, E., Fernyhough, C., Wainwright, R., Das Gupta, M., Fradley, E. and Tuckey, M. (2002) 'Maternal mindmindedness and attachment security as predictors of theory of mind understanding', Child Development, 73(6), pp. 1715–1726.

Mind and Life Education Research Network (MLERN) (2012) 'Contemplative practices and mental training: prospects for American education', Child Development Perspectives, 6, pp. 146–153.

Minds, Y. (2017) Wise up to wellbeing in schools. Available at: https://www. readkong.com/page/wise-up-prioritisingwellbeing-in-schools-7256446. (Accessed: 31 October 2021).

Moffitt, T.E., Arseneault, L., Belsky, D., Dickson, N., Hancox, R.J., ... and Caspi, A. (2011) 'A gradient of childhood self-control predicts health, wealth, and public safety', Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108(7), pp. 2693–2698.

Mrazek, M.D., Franklin, M.S., Phillips, D.T., Baird, B. and Schooler, J.W. (2013) 'Mindfulness training improves working memory capacity and GRE performance while reducing mind wandering', Psychological Science, 24(5), pp. 776–781.

Overton, W. F. (2015) 'Processes, relations, and relational-developmentalsystems', in Overton, W.F., Molenaar, P.C.M. and Lerner, R.M. (eds.) Handbook of child psychology and developmental science: theory and method. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp.

REFERENCES

9–62. doi: 10.1002/9781118963418. childpsy102.

Pandey, A., Hale, D., Das, S., Goddings, A.L., Blakemore, S.J. and Viner, R.M. (2018) 'Effectiveness of universal selfregulation based interventions in children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis', JAMA Pediatrics, 172(6), pp. 566–575.

Posner, M.I. and Rothbart, M.K. (2007) 'Research on attention networks as a model for the integration of psychological science', Annual Review of Psychology, 58, pp. 1–23.

Provençal, N., Arloth, J., Cattaneo, A., Anacker, C., Cattane, N., T., ... and Müller, N.S. (2019) 'Glucocorticoid exposure during hippocampal neurogenesis primes future stress response by inducing changes in DNA methylation', Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117(38), pp. 23280–23285.

Raichle, M.E., MacLeod, A.M., Snyder, A.Z., Powers, W.J., Gusnard, D.A. and Shulman, G.L. (2001) 'A default mode of brain function', Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 98(2), pp. 676–682.

Ricard, M. (2011). The art of happiness: a guide to developing life's most important skill. London: Atlantic Books.

Roeser, R. W. (2013) 'Mindfulness and human development: a commentary on

the special issue', Research in Human Development, 10(3), pp. 273-283.

Roeser, R.W. (2019) 'Towards a developmental contemplative science', Human Development. doi: 10.1159/000503824.

Roeser, R.W. and Eccles, J.S. (2015) 'Mindfulness and compassion in human development: introduction to the special section', Developmental Psychology, 51, pp. 1–6.

Roeser, R.W. and Pinela, C. (2014) 'Mindfulness and compassion training in adolescence: a developmental contemplative science perspective', New Directions in Youth Development, 142, pp. 9–30.

Roeser, R.W., Colaianne, B.A. and Greenberg, M.A. (2018) 'Compassion and human development: current approaches and future directions', Research in Human Development, 15, pp. 238–251.

Roeser, R.W., Galla, B.M. and Baelen, R.N. (2020) Mindfulness in the schools: evidence on the impacts of schoolbased mindfulness programs on student outcomes in P-12 educational settings. Policy Brief for Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.

Roeser, R.W., Vago, D.R., Pinela, C., Morris, L.S., Taylor, C. and Harrison, J. (2014) 'Contemplative education: cultivating ethical development through mindfulness training', in Nucci, L., Narvaez, D.and Krettenauer, T. (eds.) Handbook of moral and character education. New York: Routledge, pp. 223–247.

Ronen, T., Hamama, L., Rosenbaum, M. and Mishely-Yarlap, A. (2016). Subjective well-being in adolescence: the role of self-control, social support, age, gender, and familial crisis. Journal of Happiness Studies, 17(1), 81–104.

Ryan, R.M. and Deci, E.L. (2008) 'Selfdetermination theory and the role of basic psychological needs in personality and the organization of behavior', in John, O.P., Robins, R.W. and Pervin, L.A. (eds.) Handbook of personality: theory and research. New York: The Guilford Press, pp. 654–678.

Ryan, R.M., Curren, R.R. and Deci, E.L. (2013) 'What humans need: flourishing in Aristotelian philosophy and self-determination theory', in Waterman, A.S. (ed.) The best within us: positive psychology perspectives on eudaimonia. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association, pp. 57–75. doi: 10.1037/14092-004.

Ryff, C.D. (2014) 'Psychological well-being revisited: advances in the science and practice of eudaimonia', Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, 83, pp. 10–28.

Ryff, C.D., Singer, B.H. and Dienberg Love, G. (2004) 'Positive health: connecting well–being with biology',

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 359(1449), pp. 1383–1394.

Sanger, K.L., Thierry, G. and Dorjee, D. (2018) 'Effects of school-based mindfulness training on emotion processing and well-being in adolescents: evidence from event-related potentials', Developmental Science, 21(5), e12646.

Schonert-Reichl, K.A., Oberle, E., Lawlor, M.S., Abbott, D., Thomson, ... T.F. and Diamond, A. (2015) 'Enhancing cognitive and social-emotional development through a simple-to-administer mindfulness-based school program for elementary school children: a randomized controlled trial', Developmental Psychology, 51(1), pp. 52–66.

Schooler, J.W., Smallwood, J., Christoff, K., Handy, T.C., Reichle, E.D. and Sayette, M.A. (2011) 'Meta-awareness, perceptual decoupling and the wandering mind', Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 15(7), pp. 319–326.

Seligman, M. (2010) Flourish: positive psychology and positive interventions. The Tanner lectures on human values. Available at: https://tannerlectures.utah. edu > Seligman_10 (Accessed: 1 October 2021).

Singer, T. and Klimecki, O.M. (2014) 'Empathy and compassion', Current Biology, 24(18), pp. R875–R878.

Smallwood, J. and Schooler, J.W. (2006)

'The restless mind', Psychological Bulletin, 132(6), pp. 946–958.

Soulsby, L.K. and Bennett, K.M. (2015) 'Marriage and psychological wellbeing: the role of social support', Psychology, 6(11), pp. 1349–1359.

Spear, L.P. (2013) 'Adolescent neurodevelopment', Journal of Adolescent Health, 52(2), pp. S7–S13.

Staub, E. (1979) Positive social behavior and morality: socialization and development (vol. 2). San Diego: Academic Press.

Stevens, C., Lauinger, B. and Neville, H. (2009) 'Differences in the neural mechanisms of selective attention in children from different socioeconomic backgrounds: an event-related brain potential study', Developmental Science, 12(4), pp. 634–646.

Stiles, J. (2008) The fundamentals of brain development: integrating nature and nurture. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Tang, Y.Y., Posner, M.I. and Rothbart, M.K. (2014) 'Meditation improves selfregulation over the life span', Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1307, pp. 104–111.

Teasdale, J.D. (1999) 'Metacognition,

mindfulness and the modification of mood disorders', Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy: An International Journal of Theory & Practice, 6(2), pp. 146–155.

Tharp, R.G. and Gallimore, R. (1988) Rousing minds to life: teaching, learning and schooling in social context. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Thomson, K.C., Oberle, E., Gadermann, A.M., Guhn, M., Rowcliffe, P. and Schonert-Reichl, K.A. (2018) 'Measuring social-emotional development in middle childhood: the Middle Years Development Instrument', Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 55, pp. 107–118.

VanderWeele, T. J. (2017) 'On the promotion of human flourishing', Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(31), 8148–8156.

Veenman, M. V., Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. and Afflerbach, P. (2006) 'Metacognition and learning: conceptual and methodological considerations', Metacognition and Learning, 1(1), pp. 3–14.

Vilaysack, B., Cordier, R., Doma, K. and Chen, Y.W. (2016) 'Capturing everyday experiences of typically developing children aged five to seven years: a feasibility study of experience sampling methodology', Australian Occupational Therapy Journal, 63(6), pp. 424–433.

Varela, F.J. (1999) Ethical know-how:

REFERENCES

action, wisdom, and cognition. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University Press.

Wang, H.T., Poerio, G., Murphy, C., Bzdok, D., Jefferies, E. and Smallwood, J. (2018) 'Dimensions of experience: exploring the heterogeneity of the wandering mind', Psychological Science, 29(1), 56–71.

Watkins, E. and Teasdale, J.D. (2004) 'Adaptive and maladaptive self-focus in depression', Journal of Affective Disorders, 82(1), pp. 1–8.

Werner, E.E. and Smith, R.S. (1992) Overcoming the odds: high risk children from birth to adulthood. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.

Woodward, L.J., Lu, Z., Morris, A.R. and Healey, D.M. (2017) 'Preschool self regulation predicts later mental health and educational achievement in very preterm and typically developing children', The Clinical Neuropsychologist, 31(2), pp. 404–422.

Yeager, D.S., Henderson, M.D., Paunesku, D., Walton, G.M., D'Mello, S., ... and Duckworth, A.L. (2014) 'Boring but important: a self-transcendent purpose for learning fosters academic self-regulation', Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 107(4), pp. 559–580.

Young, C.C. and Dietrich, M.S.

(2015) 'Stressful life events, worry, and rumination predict depressive and anxiety symptoms in young adolescents', Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing, 28(1), pp. 35–42.



WORKING Group 01

CHAPTER



A **curricular framework** for flourishing in education

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56383/TJKT9645

This chapter should be cited as:

Ergas, O., Gilead, T., Eilam, E., Kyza, E.A., Akar, B., Buck, M.F. and Kumar, S. (2022). 'A curricular framework for flourishing in education' in in Duraiappah, A.K., van Atteveldt, N.M., Borst, G., Bugden, S., Ergas, O., Gilead, T., Gupta, L., Mercier, J., Pugh, K., Singh, N.C. and Vickers, E.A. (eds.) Reimagining Education: The International Science and Evidence based Assessment. New Delhi: UNESCO MGIEP.
This chapter proposes frameworks for education in and for flourishing by moving from general concepts and ideas about flourishing articulated in the previous chapters to the level of educational implementation and practice. The frameworks proposed are not meant to be prescriptive, but rather to offer a grounded and broad perspective that can take an informed approach in orienting education toward flourishing and reflect sensitivity to the variety of social-cultural-political contexts within which education takes place worldwide. The chapter outlines premises stemming from previous chapters, situating education as a complex phenomenon. Guiding principles are developed to form the basis of two frameworks. The first is a framework for education in and for flourishing that identifies, defines and positions in context the components of: a) curriculum, teaching and assessment; b) learning; and c) flourishing aims and manifestations. The second is a curricular framework for education in and for flourishing based on six domains featuring six learning trajectories that expand the pillars of education introduced in the Delors Report.

Coordinating Lead Authors

Oreg Ergas Tal Gilead

Lead Authors

Efrat Eilam Eleni A. Kyza Bassel Akar Marc F. Buck Smita Kumar



СНАРТЕК

INTRODUCTION

Based on the conception of flourishing outlined in WG1-ch2 and the advancements in the scientific study of flourishing reviewed in WG1-ch3, the current chapter proposes frameworks for education in and for flourishing. This involves moving from the general concepts and ideas articulated in the previous chapters toward the level of educational implementation and practice. The frameworks proposed are not meant to be prescriptive; but rather to offer a grounded and broad perspective that can help develop informed ways to orient education toward flourishing. Inevitably, developing such frameworks requires some measure of abstraction, categorization and reduction and bears a risk of simplification. In order to circumvent at least some of these difficulties and arrive at a substantiated framework, after we outline premises that stem from previous chapters, we situate education as a complex phenomenon. This enables us

to promote a more informed approach tempered by reasonable expectations.

Furthermore, viewing education as complex seeks to reflect sensitivity to the variety of social-culturalpolitical contexts within which education takes place worldwide. The discussion of education and complexity leads to some guiding principles that support the development of two frameworks that are presented and outlined throughout the rest of the chapter. The first is a framework for education in and for flourishing that identifies and defines the components of: (1) curriculum, teaching and assessment; (2) learning; and (3) flourishing aims and manifestations, all positioned in context. The second, a curricular framework for education in and for flourishing, is based on six domains that feature six learning trajectories that expand the pillars of education defined in the Delors Report (International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century, 1996).





4.1

Considerations that underpin the development of education in flourishing

We outline the following points as premises that stem from WG1-ch2 and WG1-ch3 and that form a basis for the frameworks developed in this chapter. These points will be followed by a discussion of education in flourishing as requiring an understanding of education as a dynamic system.

A CURRICULAR FRAMEWORK FOR FLOURISHING IN EDUCATION



Education and flourishing are intertwined. We posit flourishing as both aim and means of education. Hence both the future orientation of education and the context in which learning is advanced are to be viewed through the lens of flourishing. 1. Human flourishing is the optimal continuing development of human beings' potential and living well as human beings. This means being engaged in relationships and activities that are meaningful, that is, aligned with both human beings' own values and humanistic values, in a way that is satisfying to them. Flourishing is conditional on the contribution of individuals and requires an enabling environment.

2. Flourishing is malleable. The extent to which newborns will grow into flourishing adults depends on the experiences to which they are exposed and those which they initiate. Beyond what nature endows us with and the specific conditions into which we are born, how we are nurtured can substantially impact flourishing.

3. Education and flourishing are intertwined. We posit flourishing as both the aim and means of education. Hence, both the future orientation of education and the context in which learning is advanced are to be viewed through the lens of flourishing. 4. Flourishing depends on multiple factors; broadly, it includes both individual potential, and external conditions and influences (i.e. context – local, global, economic, ecological).

5. Education can be framed as a broad system of relationships (e.g. teacher-student, self-other, selfself, self-society, self-ecology).

6. Given that flourishing is multifaceted, there is a need to inform education in and for flourishing through multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary perspectives, building on existing educational theory and practice and scientific findings that are viewed in the context of global and local challenges.

Building on these ideas that have emerged from previous chapters, we point to an additional perspective that seems necessary for proposing concrete directions for education in flourishing; that of education as a complex system.

4.1.1

EDUCATION, FLOURISHING AND COMPLEXITY

The transition from flourishing as a guiding ideal to an educational aim that can be operationalized and guide a curriculum should take into account the growing scholarly recognition that education is a 'complex system'. The term system is employed here in its broadest sense and refers to an organization of interrelationships between parts into unified and flexible wholes (Morin, 1992). The term complex system means that in a system there is a group of multiple components working both independently and interdependently that prevent the system from being fully controlled and predicted, and hence bound to evolve in unexpected ways (Radford, 2008).

The 'educational system' consists of a set of human and non-human elements and the relationships between them. Human elements include not only students and teachers but also administrators, parents, policymakers, stakeholders and various others. Non-human elements comprise learning spaces – classes, schools, virtual, outdoor, textbooks and so on.

We approach education as a complex system for the following reasons.

1. Education, like other complex systems, has no clear boundaries given the variety of learning spaces in which education occurs (e.g. schools, outdoors, nature, virtual), and the fact that different elements in the system (e.g. parents, communities) can be seen as standing both within and outside the system. The lack of clear boundaries makes the system susceptible to external influences, reduces the ability to control and predict it and renders the context in which education takes place crucial (Davis and Sumara, 2014).

The transition from flourishing as a guiding ideal to an educational aim that can be operationalized and guide a curriculum should take into account the growing scholarly recognition that education is a 'complex system'.





2. The elements that compose educational systems are myriad, interdependent and can change, learn and adapt (Boulton, Allen and Bowman 2015). As a result, the links between them are often diverse, distinctive and cannot always be anticipated. This applies, of course, to the pupils and teachers in the system and the relationships between them, but it also applies to other

...findings from neuroscience, biology and psychology show that humans share common features (e.g. basic inclination toward sense gratification, stress response at times of perceived threat, as well as seeking safe, caring and nurturing relationships) and certain common conditions are known to be more conducive for education in and for flourishing.

elements in the system, such as classes, schools, districts and even national educational systems. Notably, the elements that are the makings of education systems comprise complex systems in and of themselves. Many perspectives in neuroscience, biology, psychology and phenomenology construe brain, mind and body as dynamic systems (Siegel, 2015). Equally, affective and social neuroscience demonstrate that individual behaviours and mental experiences are substantially shaped by complex interactions with others (Immordino-Yang, 2015). Moreover, education itself takes place within a complex environment. The social, political and economic systems can all be, and indeed increasingly are, seen as complex systems (Byrne, 2001; Room, 2011). Complexity in education, therefore, is present on many levels and pervades the educational system (Mason, 2008). The implications of this are that not only are no two students the same, but the interaction between two, three or more students, seated within a classroom also introduces further unpredictability into

education. This further extends toward understanding that no two classes or schools are exactly the same, and similarly, national systems differ significantly.

3. While education and some of the systems it includes have disordered elements, they are not chaotic (Morrison, 2008). Many of the elements of educational systems are regular, stable and broadly foreseeable (e.g. cycle of the year and vacations, school setting). Hence, in as much as students' development is shaped by the contingencies of individual differences and social-politicalcultural context, findings from neuroscience, biology and psychology show that humans share common features (e.g. basic inclination toward sense gratification, stress response at times of perceived threat, as well as seeking safe, caring and nurturing relationships) and certain common conditions are known to be more conducive for education in and for flourishing (Noble et al., 2015; Sapolsky, 2017; Immordino-Yang, Darling-Hammond and Krone, 2019). Such is also the case when looking



at educational organizations and their functioning and development (Senge, 2006). They too contain ordered and disordered elements. Hence, due to the orderly elements found in them, they can be influenced and moved to advance along desirable paths, despite it not being possible for them, as complex systems, to be fully controlled (Colander and Kupers, 2016).

Recognizing complexity from the interpersonal to the intrapersonal, and on to the educational system, and understanding its makings as emerging both bottom-up and top-down, leads to some significant guidelines that should be considered.

Context is crucial because education is an open system that is both influenced by and influences the environment in which it is conducted. The attempt to promote flourishing should be multiscalar, from micro to macro, from that of the student to that of policy (Boulton, Allen and Bowman 2015). Since the different parts of the educational system are interdependent, it might not suffice to confine the promotion of flourishing merely to one level of the system, such as that of the student, and it is best to orient the entire system towards it (Mason, 2008).

2. Context is crucial because:

a) Education is an open system that is both influenced by and influences the environment in which it is conducted. Embracing complexity implies that the educational system should be made more flexible and responsive to local conditions and possible changes. This often, but not always, means giving more power to those involved in the practice of education, because they are most aware of and informed on the context in which it takes place.

b) What suits and works in one place might be inadequate for another. The idea of emulating models, as well as knowledge dissemination of best practices, is hardly trivial (**Biesta**, 2007). To provide only a few examples, the interpersonal differences in the interest of the students, the variance in teachers' authority, the culture of the surrounding

Context is crucial because what suits and works in one place might be inadequate for another.

communities and the political situation in the country can all play crucial roles in how flourishing is conceived and how education can promote it (see WG1-ch2 for definition of flourishing). It is hence essential that education in and for flourishing be sensitive to the context in which it takes place (Geyer and Rihani, 2012).

3. An attempt to address complexity requires multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary approaches. No field exhausts the understanding of human beings and social systems, hence different fields and their combination provide entry points for overcoming hindrances to, and promoting, flourishing. A framework for flourishing needs to draw on understandings from various fields, including among others, philosophy, sociology, developmental psychology, biology and neuroscience.

4. Adopting complexity requires that we do not think in terms of tightly conceptualized goals. Nevertheless, acknowledging complexity does not exclude the existence of shared goals or dismisses the ability that they can be fruitfully pursued. While promoting flourishing must be sensitive to the context in which it takes place, general directions for achieving it can be devised.

Bearing in mind the above guidelines and the limitations they pose on our ability to provide definitive direction for promoting flourishing through education, we have developed two frameworks. We view them as offering a number of links in a progression from theory toward practice. The first framework establishes the basic components of education in flourishing based on combining commonplaces of educational discourse with understandings depicted above and stemming from previous chapters. The second moves further toward practice by extending the first framework into curriculum development. WG1-ch5 brings these ideas further into practice within educational spaces (e.g. schools).



CHAPTER



4.2

<u>A framework</u> for education in flourishing

The basic framework proposed (Figure 1) depicts the process of education in and for flourishing as it unfolds based on fundamental concepts of education, emphasizing its situatedness within a context. We elaborate on the framework proceeding





Figure 1. A framework for education in flourishing

from left to right as we highlight commonalities in educational discourse and rely on scholarship in various fields.

- *Curriculum:* The term 'curriculum' is interpreted here drawing on twentieth and twentyfirst century conceptions that encompass the planned (formal), taught, assessed, hidden, inner and null curriculum (i.e. what is omitted from it) (e.g. Pinar and Grumet, 1976; Eisner, 1994; Giroux and Penna, 1979; Schwab, 1982; Pinar, 2014; Ergas, 2017). This broad perspective proposes that the curriculum itself is a dynamic system (WG2ch8 for a discussion on traditions and tendencies in curriculum and pedagogy development). It sees the curriculum as emerging from both top-down processes (e.g. policy-



... like teaching, we view assessment as part of curriculum and teaching; however, assessment is reiterated due to its substantial presence in contemporary educational practice, and its effects on the shaping of curriculum and teaching. making, curriculum design), which are followed by school and teacher deliberations (e.g. lesson planning, learning space) and bottom-up processes that emerge from the various relationships that arise during implementation (e.g. teacher-student, student-student, student-learnings space). The latter represent the dynamism of the learning experience, which is shaped by idiosyncrasies of students and classrooms and changing day-to-day events. Curriculum can hence be seen as the object concerned with the materialization of policies and subject matters, but it is also the experience and the process (a verb if you will) that comes to life in teaching and learning. It is both the collective experience of a class and the first-person experience of a lifelong journey. It includes both the top-down elements of policymaking and teacher deliberation, as well as the bottom-up processes that are introduced into the learning experience as students interact with teachers and other students and engage formally and informally with the curriculum.

- *Teaching:* the term curriculum as outlined includes teaching; however, teaching is reiterated due to its being a fundamental relationship that permeates most conventionally understood learning spaces (e.g. schools) (Hattie, 2009). It will later be construed as a pervasive relationship that is interwoven through all six curricular domains. Following various accounts, we treat teaching as an activity in which an intention to propel changes in knowledge, understanding, behaviour, attitudes or opinions in a human being is exercised in a nurturing way through diverse forms of human expression (e.g. speech, bodily demonstration, art, silence) (Hirst, 1971; Palmer, 1998; Noddings, 2003b).

- Assessment: like teaching, we view assessment as part of curriculum and teaching; however, it is reiterated due to its substantial presence in contemporary educational practice, and its effects on the shaping of curriculum and teaching (Pressley and McCormick, 1995). However, we situate it as integrated within curriculum and teaching, reclaiming its role as part of education in flourishing, instead of treating it as an external-instrumental element that is seen as merely 'supervising' education. We hence treat assessment as an act that occurs constantly throughout one's life, that offers evaluation and feedback on one's progression in relation to any problem or task. Viewed in light of these ideas, assessment is built into the brain's proactive nature (Bar, 2009) and the mind's functioning from the basic level of heuristics (Kahneman, 2011); it is a constant activity occurring during formal or informal teaching as a teacher evaluates student understanding and reflects on their work (Huba and Freed, 2000; Entwistle, 2003), as students reflect and regulate their own learning (Zimmerman, 1990; Panadero, Jonsson and Botella, 2017), and as a formal practice that occurs at the school and policy-making level (WG2-ch9; WG3-ch5).

- *Learning:* Moving to the middle box (Figure 1), we take a broad perspective on 'learning' as well,

to encompass learning as process, as experience, and as outcomes. Hence, learning is a process of active meaning-making situated in context (Bruner, 1960; Savery and Duffy, 1995), based on which relatively permanent changes occur within any one or more of the following: human dispositions, capabilities, knowledge, behaviours, values, attitudes and/or preferences (Gagne, 1970; Mayer, 1977; Gross, 2015). In our context, we seek changes that are conducive directly and indirectly to flourishing. Learning is also an experience of an individual and/ or groups and communities of individuals, shaped by various spaces (e.g. outdoors, indoors) (Andersen, Boud and Cohen, 2000; Henry et al., 2003; Kraftl, 2013). Our broad approach to learning emphasizes the importance of the teaching-learning relationship as formative in affecting the experience of learning. Following the above consideration of curriculum-teaching-assessment, understanding learning-asprocess also seeks to circumvent the problem of 'learnification' of education (Biesta, 2009) in which

... we take a broad perspective on 'learning', to encompass learning as process, as experience, and as outcomes.

WORKING GROUP 1 4 CHAPTER



the end becomes more important than the means and is construed in ways that limit the horizon of education as a fully 'measurable' and predictable human endeavour. The approach proposed here is a pragmatic one, in which scientific evidence and established theories

are viewed as scaffolds that help direct education in flourishing, but do not formalize it entirely. Acknowledging the premise of education as a dynamic system implies that while we seek to do our best in directing education in flourishing, we must remain aware of the outcomes of our practice. We should continue to improve learning based on insights that potentially gain more credibility with time yet remain somewhat conjectural.

Flourishing aims (potentials) and manifestations (enactments):

The expected end result of the curriculum and teaching is the development of capacities, propensities and capabilities that contribute to the flourishing of the individual and that of others. Education should provide individuals with a range of mental, physical and practical capacities and capabilities that increase their ability to choose and follow their own path towards a flourishing life. Education should also develop the propensity to act on these spontaneously, by will or as an acquired habit. To

achieve flourishing, potential must be translated into action. Education, however, should also go beyond the flourishing of each particular individual. It should bring individuals to contribute to flourishing in broader interpersonal circles (e.g. through acts of caring). Each individual has the power to affect not only their own flourishing but that of others and education should guide individuals to promote the flourishing of others around them. In addition, education should encourage individuals to contribute to the creation, maintenance and enhancement of the conditions that facilitate flourishing (e.g. environmental, political, economic, cultural).

Based on this first framework of education in and for flourishing, we focus on a framework for curriculum followed by its relation to learning. The movement to curriculum reflects a movement from a conceptual-theoretical framework to one that is closer to practice.

Each individual has the power to affect not only their own flourishing but that of others and education should guide individuals to promote the flourishing of others around them.

4 CHAPTER

4.2.1

A CURRICULAR FRAMEWORK FOR EDUCATION IN FLOURISHING

We propose six curricular domains for flourishing in education, based on understandings gleaned from previous chapters, the conception of dynamic systems discussed above and existing literature. More specifically, the conception presented here has also been inspired by Bronfenbrenner's (1979) model. Bronfenbrenner's ecosystems model nests the child in a series of circles that affect one's experience, development and, in the current context, one's learning and flourishing.

Bronfenbrenner's model was chosen because it connects us with the developmental perspective presented in WG1ch3 and is consistent with the understanding of dynamic systems in acknowledging the various ways in which children are affected as they engage in various ecosystems. However, we frame our reliance on Bronfenbrenner as informing rather than dictating the framework developed. The model we present reflects a shift from a psychological model that does not acknowledge the ecological dimension to one that is aimed at developing a curriculum toward flourishing within the context of a contemporary ecological crisis, as we now elaborate (Figure 2).

1. The shift to a curricular perspective has led us to opt for a representation that separates the nested circles image to one of curricular domains. We acknowledge Bronfenbrenner's model in which all domains affect each other. We also, as mentioned, view the educational system as a complex system comprising many inter-relations. The domains, however, are represented separately in order to better convey a more systematic and concrete way to develop curricula. Hence, we recommend bearing in mind the psychological

We propose six curricular domains for flourishing in education, based on understandings gleaned from previous chapters, the conception of dynamic systems discussed above and existing literature.





nested-circles model suggested by Bronfenbrenner when considering the separate curricular domains, and after elaborating these separate curricular domains, we will provide examples of some interconnections between them.

2. We define a 'curricular domain' as a relatively distinct area/field that includes knowledge and practices to be learned. 3. Informed by Bronfenbrenner, as well as by understandings gleaned from **WG1-ch2** and **WG1-ch3**, and based on deliberations among the International Science and Evidence based Education (ISEE) Assessment members, we arrived at six curricular domains that in contemporary times seem to be fundamental to the flourishing of individuals and groups across countries: environmental, cultural,



..... an acceleration of environmental destruction due to economic globalization... along with empirical evidence of the effects of poor environments on the possibility of flourishing point clearly to the need for education in and for flourishing to help people protect and improve the environment and benefit from it.

social, technological, interpersonal and personal. Each of these domains makes its own unique and irreplaceable contribution to flourishing.

4. We postulate that engaging in each curricular domain means engaging in a relationship between the individual and that curricular domain. This engagement can be direct, as in learner–curricular domain, for example, a student learning to use a certain software and interacting with technology; or indirect, that is, with a teacher who designs the students' encounter with the content in that domain.

5. When a teacher is involved, as would be the case in most formal educational systems, at least three relationships shape the learning experience: teacher-student, teacher-curricular domain, student-curricular domain, and all are affected by contextual factors.

6. In light of the definition of flourishing and the developmental perspective presented in WG1-ch3,

we envision a potential movement in each curricular domain toward increased flourishing as reflecting a progression from basic knowledge and understanding to meaningful engagement and ultimately to agency.

7. All curricular domains can be engaged in deliberately with an intention to learn or in a nondeliberate way in which learning can occur as an unintentional byproduct.

In Figure 2 we elaborate each of the six domains from left to right following a structure that includes these components: a demarcation of what each domain entails; a justification for the presence of the domain in the framework; an explanation of what a relationship means in each domain; a short consideration of some critical perspectives regarding the domain. Due to the differing rationale of each domain, not all discussions follow exactly the same sequences.





Figure 2. The six curricular domains for flourishing in education

ENVIRONMENT

We live within a human and nonhuman environment. Although the two are closely connected and mutually influence each other, in this section, we focus on the latter, which includes among others animals, plants, our close abiotic surrounding and the earth itself. While learning to live with and in nature has long been considered by many educationalists as a significant part of living a flourishing life (e.g. Rousseau, 1762/2001), education is now required to go beyond it. In the last few decades, it has become

evident that human actions have a destructive influence not only on the environment itself (pollution, reduction of biotic diversity, global warming etc.) but also on the ability to live a flourishing life within it (Abram, 2018). The COVID-19 pandemic is one more striking reminder that it is so (Pan and Zhang, 2020). Moreover, we are currently witnessing an acceleration of environmental destruction due to economic globalization (Abram, 2018). These observations, along with empirical evidence of the effects of poor environments on the possibility of flourishing (Checkley et al., 2004;

4 CHAPTER

Bartram et al., 2005; Owusu, 2010; Finell and Natti, 2019), point clearly to the need for education in and for flourishing to help people protect and improve the environment and benefit from it.

Historically, due to a deeprooted belief in the West that science and technology are capable of managing our planet, environmental problems have often been viewed as a matter of management and control (Huckle, 1993; Mogensen and Mayer, 2005). This has led to an oversimplified perception of the role of education in relation to the environment, according to which environmental problems have clear solutions that can be taught, including a set of predetermined knowledge, attitudes and behaviours. However, in the 1990s more radical views shifted the focus to the social-political aspects of environmental problems, viewing them as derived from the conflicting interests of groups of humans in the utilization of natural resources (Schnack, 1998). These developed understandings led to the extension of the goals

of environmental education to include, among other things, acquisition of a set of decisionmaking and problem-solving skills (Disinger, 1989), reactivating values towards society (Posch, 1999), promoting environmental awareness and dynamic qualities, such as initiative, independence, commitment (Posch, 1991), and education for citizenship, for critical participation and for taking personal responsibility in actions and decisions concerning the natural, social, cultural and economic environment (Mayer, 2004). These extended goals were reflected in the International Implementation Scheme for the United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development (UNESCO, 2004, 2005).

In addition, with time, it became increasingly clear that effective actions of environmental protection and remedy require collective efforts (Kolstad et al., 2014). As Harari (2018) argues, it is becoming apparent that, while individuals can supposedly flourish in certain domains that concern their personal life, the

... it is becoming apparent that, while individuals can supposedly flourish in certain domains that concern their personal life, the ability to experience flourishing will be dramatically hindered by the effects of the ecological crisis.

'Effective climate change mitigation will not be achieved if each agent (individual, institution or country) acts independently in its own selfish interest, suggesting the need for collective action'. ability to experience flourishing will be dramatically hindered by the effects of the ecological crisis. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Fifth Assessment Report addresses this issue as a case of 'the tragedy of the commons' by stating that 'Effective climate change mitigation will not be achieved if each agent (individual, institution or country) acts independently in its own selfish interest, suggesting the need for collective action' (Kolstad et al., 2014, **p. 211)**. As a result, alternatives to the traditional models of environmental education were developed, which were criticized as too individualistic and relativistic, thereby failing to cultivate collective social responsibility (Wals, 2010, 2011; Van Poecka, Goeminne and Vandenabeele, 2016; Blenkinsop and Morse, 2017; Franck, 2017; Jickling, 2017; Lengyel et al., 2019).

Today, stemming from the growing acknowledgement of the multifaceted dimensions of environmental problems and the need for collective action, there are mounting calls

to establish universal ethics (Curry, Whitehouse and Mullins, **2019**), as the foundational basis of environmental education (Blenkinsop and Morse, 2017; Orr, 2017; Sterling, 2017; Lengyel et al., **2019**). Such an ethical framework resonates clearly with indigenous approaches to ecology (e.g. Rose, 2005; Roderick and Merculieff, 2013) and recognizes that humans have an interdependency with other humans and with nature, and that these interdependencies and interconnectedness form the wholeness of human existence and flourishing (Capra, 1982; French, 1986; Abram, 2018). Orr (2017), for example, proposes the development of a curriculum based on deep humility, which acknowledges the systems' interrelatedness and our lack of ability to fully comprehend Earth. Abram (2014), for his part, highlights the importance of being embedded in place. He also calls for rejuvenating the sense of unity with Earth and highlights the importance of a multiplicity of cultures. Common to many of the approaches that are currently advanced is a shared understanding of the





role of environmental education in creating in-depth embodied awareness of our interconnectedness with the more-than-human world, appreciation of its complexity, and a collective sense of responsibility to do no harm. To conclude, learning to live harmoniously with the environment, to appreciate it and be able to enjoy it, is a significant aspect of living a flourishing life, one that education should facilitate. In addition, protecting

the environment, and potentially even remedying it, has become essential for our ability to live a flourishing life. Seeking to live a flourishing life, we cannot ignore the dangers of environmental destruction and its potentially devastating effects and impact on future generations. They are equally entitled to seek flourishing lives just as we feel we are. If we are to achieve flourishing, the curriculum must provide us with the capacities and capabilities needed to conserve it as well as the drive to put them into practice (WG3-ch5; WG3-ch7).

CULTURE

The conception of culture embraced in this framework draws on a common typology applied in educational theory, dividing 'culture' and 'society' (the curricular domain that follows hereafter) into distinct curricular domains. Hence, following **Biesta** (2009), **Egan (1997)** and **Lamm (1986)**, who articulate this typology in similar ways, culture as a curricular domain involves the cultivation

of humanity by engaging with the best of local and global cultural accomplishments. The content of this curricular domain includes past and present human endeavours and achievements from across the globe featured in oral and written works. It often refers primarily to the traditional understanding of humanities, arts, social and natural sciences. It encompasses, for example, among other things, being acquainted with famous literary texts across cultures, scientific theories, historical developments and artworks. This curricular domain has a universal orientation and acknowledges globalization and multiculturalism, pointing to a cosmopolitical orientation, and highlighting the need for social inclusion (Nussbaum, 1994; Hansen, 2010; Appiah, 2017).

Alongside the social curricular domain (hereafter), the cultural curricular domain is found at the heart of the formal curriculum in schools across many countries. Framed here as a relationship with culture, it entails the interaction with knowledge of

Learning to live harmoniously with the environment, to appreciate it and be able to enjoy it, is a significant aspect of living a flourishing life, one that education should facilitate.



There is ample room for creatively redesigning curricula in ways that maintain high standards of knowing and better contribute to flourishing.

past and present achievements, including humanities, arts and sciences. It also encompasses acquiring capabilities that allow a movement from being merely knowledgeable about culture to someone who can benefit from it and potentially contribute to it (e.g. appreciation of knowledge, critical thinking, cultural literacy). Many leading educationalists point to, and elaborate on, the importance of being exposed to past and present culture to expand one's potential for a flourishing life (Dewey, 1938; Eisner, 1994; Peters, 2010). In addition, the definition of flourishing endorsed in this report, which stresses the value of engagement in meaningful activities, reinforces the significance of culture because it is from culture that most activities draw their meaning and value. Moreover, the relationship advocated here reiterates and highlights the appreciation of noninstrumental knowing and critical thinking. In proposing culture as a curricular domain, however, there is by no means a suggestion that the conventional fragmented disciplinary curriculum, critiqued

by many (Dewey, 1933), is to remain the organizing framework for schools. There is ample room for creatively redesigning curricula in ways that maintain high standards of knowing and better contribute to flourishing (Fogarty, 1991; Barbezat and Bush, 2013; Reiss and White, 2013).

The centrality and value of the cultural domain in the curriculum, however, have been challenged, especially lately. Firstly, the association between the cultural domain and formal schooling indicates that this domain, if inadequately delivered, may lead to boredom and antagonism on behalf of students due to the imposing of specific subject matter on students. Special care then needs to be taken when selecting subject matter so as to maximize students' present and future interest, to form a healthy lifelong relationship with knowledge. Nevertheless, it must be kept in mind that the ability to appreciate and benefit from culture often requires a long process in which one learns about it and is exposed to it (Throsby, 2001). To promote flourishing,

While the importance of teaching and learning is crucial in all domains, it is important to reiterate here that, eventually, it will not be the choice of subject matter or discipline that cultivates capabilities of critical thinking but rather how they are taught and by whom. education should, therefore, not refrain from engaging with culture, even when the benefits of it are not immediately apparent to students or parents because its value often becomes evident only after significant engagement. Importantly, however, within the great selection of cultural assets, a curriculum should include ample choice that allows students to select subject matter to their liking (O'Neill and McMahon, 2005). Secondly, today's abundance and availability of knowledge has brought a growing sense that perhaps there is no need to hold as much in the mind as was necessary decades ago. As a result, the idea that learning about culture is an essential part of the curriculum is also undermined. Research shows, however, that this is an illusion and knowledge is still essential (Yates and Young, 2010; Young, 2013). Wineburg (2018), for example, demonstrate that the very situation of abundance and availability of information, in fact, requires increased capacities for critical thinking because along with this abundance comes the need for wise consumption, sifting

and adjudicating. Wineburg (2018) hence particularly emphasizes the learning of history as a discipline, by which such skills are cultivated. Furthermore, if we hope to cultivate good thinking skills, knowledge itself cannot be compromised, for the cultivation of thinking requires the building blocks of knowledge (Nickersonet al., 2014). It is in light of such claims that the cultural curricular domain cannot afford to be too narrow and/or too instrumentally focused. While the importance of teaching and learning is crucial in all domains, it is important to reiterate here that, eventually, it will not be the choice of subject matter or discipline that cultivates capabilities of critical thinking but rather how they are taught and by whom.

Thirdly, the last centuries have seen a growing emphasis on the instrumental aspects of education, especially those related to the economy (Nusshaum, 2010). For example, in some parts of the world, there is a process of academization of kindergartens in which arts are gradually



... by engaging in a relationship with culture, education makes an indispensable contribution to flourishing. It opens avenues for developing potential, finding meaning, strengthening a sense of belonging and even new forms of pleasure. overtaken by preparation for first grade (Bassok, Latham and Rorem, 2016). Another example is the way sciences have overtaken the humanities in university departments (Newfield, 2012). The rationale here is economic and budget pressures can often shape what students learn, especially in higher education (Bok, 2009). Setting aside what ought to be prioritized, trends such as these reduce the cultural domain and, as such, they directly reduce the potential for education in and for flourishing. Hence, as much as possible, a balanced curriculum should be sought, one that also acknowledges the importance of cultivating a culture of leisure time in which individuals can pursue worthwhile activities of their liking (Noddings, 2006). Following a point made above, however, the separation of the domains can itself be considered from a critical perspective, and designing balanced curricula that form intersections between them is a valid possibility (Zajonc, 2006).

To conclude, by engaging in a relationship with culture,

education makes an indispensable contribution to flourishing. It opens avenues for developing potential, finding meaning, strengthening a sense of belonging and even new forms of pleasure (Scitovesky, 1992; White, 2011; Gilead, 2017b). Moreover, the cultural domain has the potential to strengthen interconnectedness in a globalized world. As Hansen (2010, p. 1) suggests 'curriculum across all subjects can be understood as a cosmopolitan inheritance' (WG1-ch1). Cultural achievements, such as a poem by Rabindranath Tagore or Pablo Neruda, allow for both cultural specificity and the transcending of gender, race, regionality, religion and other potential identities, allowing for the sharing of a common ground. Similarly, scientific advancements, such as a search for a vaccine for COVID-19, can be seen as a shared universal interest for most individuals and societies regardless of nationality. The cultural domain lends itself to a universal perspective that strives toward global interconnectedness.



SOCIETY

The social curricular domain, as distinct from the cultural curricular domain, encompasses the relationship between individuals and institutions and between individuals and groups. It includes, among other things, the political, economic and legal spheres. Whereas the cultural curricular domain, as conceived here, has a universal orientation, the social domain is traditionally more oriented towards the community or the nation. The social curricular domain

A CURRICULAR FRAMEWORK FOR FLOURISHING IN EDUCATION



In recent decades, scholars have placed an increased emphasis on forming critical citizens able to reflect on politics, sensitive to questions of social and economic justice and aware of power relations among individuals, groups, genders and so on. is examined here from both a functionalist perspective (Durkheim, 1972; Parsons, 1985) and conflict based perspective that emphasizes power relations (Marx, 2000). The ability of an individual to take an active part in society is considered fundamental to flourishing and has traditionally been one of the main purposes of education. While individuals may differ in their need to be part of groups, to a great extent flourishing depends on a sense of belonging (WG3-ch3). It would be difficult to foster this sense of belonging without being immersed in one's society, which necessitates understanding of its norms and being capable of navigating one's life within these norms.

Preparing people to live in society and take an active part in it has always been seen as an essential part of education. Almost all forms of formal education are supposed to equip students with the knowledge (e.g. numeracy and literacy), skills (e.g. professional skills) and values (e.g. moral or political) necessary to successfully participate in social life (**Biesta**, 2009). Significantly, over the last two centuries, nation-states have gradually become the social entities that guide education. In its current form, the schooling system was mainly created to serve nation-states and ensure that citizens can fulfill their role in it and are faithful to it (Green, 1990). Hence, ideals of citizenship are often defined by the state according to its political philosophy, such as liberalism, communitarianism, republicanism and conservativism (Beiner, 1995).

In recent decades, however, scholars have placed an increased emphasis on forming critical citizens able to reflect on politics, sensitive to questions of social and economic justice and aware of power relations among individuals, groups, genders and so on. At the same time, it was, and is increasingly, held that citizenship education should strive to form active, responsible and participatory citizens rather than docile subjects (Westheimer and Kahne, 2004). Hoskins (2006), for example, argues that active citizenship should emphasize

Education is seen as necessary to create a global citizenship in which students know their place in humanity and human rights. participation that upholds human rights and democratic principles, such as non-violence and mutual respect. However, some go even further and argue that a more transformative and humancentred approach is critical for promoting flourishing. According to this view, what is required for flourishing is active citizenship that demands that [young] people are also informed, engaged and empowered (Akar, 2019). According to this approach, citizenship is also understood in terms of degrees of agency. For Banks (2017), for instance, a transformative form of citizenship demands that students use their agency to achieve sustainable change, even if it requires violating government laws. Struggles for gender or race equality should be regarded as worthy and desirable.

In addition, it is becoming increasingly clear that, in dealing with society, education in general, and citizenship education in particular, must extend beyond the students' relationship with the nation-state. On the one hand, globalization has increased international interdependence and brought with it the formation of communities and political institutions that go beyond the nation-state. As a result, it is claimed that education for citizenship must transcend national borders and prepare students to live in global communities (Falk, 1993). Education is seen as necessary to create a global citizenship in which students know their place in humanity and human rights (Osler and Starkey, 2005; WG3-ch4). On the other hand, the significance of groups and communities, as well as civil society and the public sphere, has been stressed by many thinkers (Habermas 1991; Taylor, 1994; MacIntyre, 2017). An education for flourishing, therefore, must not neglect these crucial aspects of social life (McLaughlin, 1992; Meyer and Boyd, 2001; Callan and White, 2002).

It must be remembered, however, that in many contexts around the world, education for active citizenship is undermined by classroom pedagogies. Freire (1970) argues that pedagogies that





require children to uncritically receive and reproduce information provided by a higher authority dehumanize them into receptacles waiting to be filled. Memorization, the avoidance of deliberative dialogues and the absence of emotion management are prevalent in countries affected by armed conflict, especially in the Global South (Weinstein, Freedman and Hugson, 2007; Quaynor, 2012; Akar, 2019). To achieve greater flourishing, then, not just new ideals but also new methods must be developed and implemented.

Another notable aspect of social life to be addressed by the curriculum is the economy. The idea that education can significantly contribute to the economy dates at least as far back as the eighteenth century, but it has come to occupy centre stage in educational policy in the last four decades. Following the development of human capital theory, at the level of individuals, education is seen as essential for finding employment and increasing personal wealth (Becker, 2009). On the social level, because of its potential contribution to increasing worker productivity and generating and disseminating knowledge, education is regarded as vital to economic growth and its subsequent benefits (e.g. improved living conditions, higher employment rate) (Stiglitz and Greenwald, 2014). The centrality of the economy in determining the standards of living of both individuals and societies make dealing with the economic aspects of life into an essential component of education for flourishing.

It is maintained

that the present

emphasis on economic

competitiveness and

productivity leads to

inequalities, social

tensions and the

marginalization

of other crucial

educational aspects.

Indeed, the preparation to succeed in a competitive global economy and employment market by equipping students with the necessary knowledge, skills, and traits has become a priority in educational systems around the world. Nevertheless, questions are increasingly raised about the way education currently relates to economic matters and specifically their prioritization. It is maintained that the present emphasis on economic competitiveness and productivity leads to inequalities, social tensions and the marginalization of other crucial educational aspects (Baptiste, 2001; Brighouse, **2006**). All these have a potentially harmful impact on flourishing. In addition, it is held that to effectively promote flourishing education must encompass economic dimensions that currently receive little attention, such as consumption and how to use available resources in a way that is more conducive to flourishing (Scitovsky, 1992; Gilead, 2017a; WG1-ch1; WG1-ch2).

To conclude, formal education



To promote flourishing, education should extend students' learning by teaching them to think critically, exercise agency and strive to improve the political and social aspects of society. tends to prepare students to integrate into the political and economic aspects of social life, but this might not be sufficient for flourishing. To promote flourishing, education should extend students by teaching them to think critically, exercise agency and strive to improve the political and social aspects of society. In addition, education should go beyond the state and the economy and deal with the global and communal aspects of life. This section only touches on this briefly and it is also somewhat difficult to distinguish between the social realm and the interpersonal since the lines between them are blurred. For this reason, some aspects that may be considered as part of the society curricular domain will be examined in this report under the interpersonal curricular domain.

TECHNOLOGY

The word technology, derived from the Greek words 'techne' (artefact) and 'logos' (knowledge), refers to the artefacts that are

invented or adapted with the purpose of addressing human challenges. In this context, artefacts can assume a material (e.g. computer hardware) or non-material form (e.g. software); technology also includes associated processes that surround the use of artefacts. Over the course of history, and especially in the last two centuries, the role of technology in human life has become more central. Moreover, in recent decades accelerated technological changes and advances have made technology indispensable for almost every aspect of life, from work to leisure to personal relationships. The COVID-19 pandemic has only pushed this trend further (WG2**ch6** for further discussion on education and technology). It is, therefore, essential that education in and for flourishing takes full account of the significance of technology. That said, we frame the relationship with technology in light of both its positive potential contributions to flourishing, as well as its more contested and negative aspects and side-effects, acknowledging,

The emphasis on preparing students for the technological sphere has extended beyond techno- and media-centric conceptions to include more human-centric aspects. for example, claims of its negative impacts on attention span (Carr, 2010), on consumerism and noncritical education (Postman, 2011) and on interpersonal relationships (Turkle, 2017).

Technology, as a curricular domain for flourishing in education, can be conceptualized as a domain-specific and a transversal relationship. Domain-specific technology competencies can serve as a pathway for moving from digital literacy to digital fluency, which is foundational for becoming responsible and active citizens. As a domain-specific relationship, individuals can learn about technology as a subject and develop, both within and outside schools, skills to engage in lifelong learning. New curricular directions have emerged to support learning in a new age; most notable among these is the emphasis on computational thinking, the use of digital technologies to engage in innovation and entrepreneurship and understanding how advanced AI technologies, such as algorithms, can construct our lived experiences in the digital

world. On the other hand, from a transversal perspective, technology can be seen as a means to support the achievement of other educational goals, with a focus not only on problem solving and disciplinary learning, but also on developing higher-order thinking skills that can guide self-regulated learning and acquiring a deep understanding of the world in which we live.

Significantly, however, over the years, the emphasis on preparing students for the technological sphere has extended beyond techno- and media-centric conceptions to include more human-centric aspects. It is recognized that unchecked technological developments can have potentially detrimental impacts on many dimensions of life that are essential for flourishing, such as the environment, health and politics (WG3-ch5). As a result, education for technology, it is claimed, should be viewed as an 'ethical practice of facilitating learning and improving performance by creating, using and managing



... in order to best promote flourishing, the curricular integration of technology should also prepare students to engage in ethical and responsible citizenship. appropriate technological processes and resources' (Januszewski and Molenda, 2013, p. 1). It should also include 'the application of knowledge to support the development of productive, thoughtful and responsible persons' (Spector, 2015, p. xxvii). Such views place technology as central to flourishing, acknowledge the role of technology in human activity and indicate the active relationship between humans and technology.

As in the case for all other curricular domains, the overarching goal should be seen as helping learners progress from a level of familiarity with technology, through acquiring digital literacy skills to having the ability to become an active agent in shaping technology and thus participating in its creation. The notion of empowerment should be at the centre of a re-envisioned role for technology in education (Kyza, 2017). The International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE) describes seven types of standards that students need to achieve to become

empowered actors in today's complex societies. These standards are particularly relevant to flourishing and relate to students' abilities to:

- set personal learning goals and choose technologies that can support students in achieving them (empowered learner);

 be responsible and ethical citizens of the digital world (digital citizen);

 use diverse resources and actively engage in knowledge building (knowledge constructor);

- understand how technology works and be involved in how technology can be shaped to support collective and individual goals (innovative designer);

 employ advanced technologies to solve complex problems (computational thinker);

- select appropriate digital means to express and communicate with



others (creative communicator); and

- use digital tools to collaborate with others, and to understand global challenges from multiple viewpoints (global collaborator).

Care should also be taken to

ensure that relief, inclusion and democratization are not empty promises, but can be realized through technology (Macgilchrist, 2018; Buck, 2020).

Finally, in order to best promote flourishing, the curricular integration of technology should also prepare students to engage



It is hence essential that education does not only focus on the technical aspects of using technology, which is in themselves conducive to flourishing, but goes beyond this to secure the proper development and use of technology that serves to promote human flourishing. in ethical and responsible citizenship. In a conceptual analysis, Choi (2016) identifies four components of digital citizenship that relate to ethics, media and information literacy, participation/ engagement and critical resistance. Choi's claims are aligned with contemporary views of technology that dismiss perspectives of technology as value-free and unproblematic (Gonzalez, 2015) and propose a critical view of technology, call for media and information literacy education, and seek to engage students as creators not just consumers of technology. In this context, technology should be understood as a human activity to be debated, altered and shaped to meet specific needs.

To conclude, at present, technology has unprecedented significance in human life and hence in human flourishing. Education must, therefore, engage with it deliberately. Technology, however, is a double-edged sword that holds much promise but also poses grave danger to human flourishing. It is hence essential that education does not only focus on the technical aspects of using technology, which are in themselves conducive to flourishing, but goes beyond this to secure the proper development and use of technology that serves to promote human flourishing (WG2-ch6; WG3-ch7).

INTERPERSONAL

The 'interpersonal' is the curricular domain associated with an individual's engagement with other individuals and groups. The premise underpinning the need for this curricular domain is stated in MGIEP (2020, p. xxv): 'humans are complex social and emotional beings whose well-being depends on learning to communicate their needs to each other effectively and managing their emotions in healthy ways'. While people may differ in regards to their inclination to engage with others, it is hard to imagine a flourishing life without the capabilities required for understanding others, being able to communicate and collaborate with them, or knowing
Taking relationships to be a fundamental aspect of education, this is probably the most straightforward curricular domain as it is consistent with the understanding of relationship as based on human-to-human interaction. how to extend and receive care. This applies across ages (Mayseless, 2015). Our view of these various relationships is informed by a holistic understanding of human beings grounded in affective and social neuroscience (Immordino-Yang, 2015). That is, when considering the enhancement of our ability to communicate we rely on advancements in these fields that show the substantial role of emotions and embodied processes in determining this ability (Damasio, 2006; Singer and Lamm, 2009). We further ground our case in growing evidence of the fact that interpersonal capabilities can be cultivated (MGIEP, 2020).

Taking relationships to be a fundamental aspect of education, this is probably the most straightforward curricular domain as it is consistent with the understanding of relationship as based on human-to-human interaction. This applies to the most pervasive relationships in educational spaces (**WG3-ch7**) concerning teacher–student, student–student and teacher– teacher relationships; however, adopting a broader view, we acknowledge these encounters beyond the institutional form of education under this curricular domain (**WG3-ch7**). Accordingly, formal, non-formal and informal relationships and encounters are to be considered here as well. In addition, the opportunities for curriculum development within this domain are diverse, spanning conventional classroom settings to outdoor activities, team sports, community projects and several others.

Concepts such as friendship and relationship building in the discourse of education date back to Aristotle and have been revisited by philosophers, such as Nel Noddings (2003a) who argues strongly that these are central and, often, even more important than various conventional topics, such as algebra. However, despite this history and the pervasiveness of relationships in an individual's life, throughout most of the twentieth century, the interpersonal cannot be said to have been a substantial curricular domain in much of public education. This has been



changing in the past three decades with the significant rise of the discourse focused on an aggregate of terms -'soft skills', 'twentyfirst century skills' and social and emotional learning (SEL), which we will adopt here given both its pervasiveness and its specific focus on the interpersonal domain (Jones and Bouffard, 2012; Durlak et al., 2015; MGIEP, 2020), (WG3-ch4). SEL has developed for a variety of reasons, including a growing awareness of the importance of social and emotional intelligence and its contribution to success in life (Goleman, 1995, 2008), evidence of the importance of nurturing relationships in childrens' and adolescents' development (Siegel, 2015) and the growing need for collaborative skills in the jobmarket (OECD, 2018). In the last decade, the focus on cultural competencies in SEL has increased based on various challenges, such as migration, racism, misogyny, poverty and mental health, which have led to a need to address the socio-emotional dimensions of educational institutions both from the perspective of preventative health and as a

proactive educational orientation (Greenberg et al., 2003). The learning environment has become complex as students and teachers deal with diverse racial, ethnic, gender, socio-economic and language contexts (Weissberg et al., 2015).

Social-emotional capabilities grant individuals the ability to conduct themselves wisely and considerately in relationships with others. Various components have been described and featured in different models of SEL. Jones and Bouffard (2012) focus on cognitive regulation (e.g. attention control, inhibiting inappropriate responses, working memory), emotional processes (e.g. emotional knowledge and expression, emotional and behavioural regulation, empathy and perspective-taking) and social/interpersonal skills (e.g. understanding social cues, interpreting others' behaviours, navigating social situations, interacting positively with peers and adults). The Collaborative for Social and Emotional Learning's (CASEL) model features five competencies of self-awareness,



Figure 3: CASEL Wheel. See https://casel.org/fundamentals-of-sel/what-is-the-casel-framework/

Social-emotional capabilities grant individuals the ability to conduct themselves wisely and considerately in relationships with others.

self-management, social awareness, relationship skills and responsible decision-making (https://casel. org/). MGIEP (2020) emphasizes empathy as the capacity to recognize emotion and to also resonate with others' emotional states such as happiness, excitement or fear; perspective taking, as the ability to consider others' points of view, which includes understanding their thoughts, feelings, motivations and intentions; compassion as the ability to take positive action to alleviate suffering in the other.

While the overarching aim of SEL is to encourage tolerance for different cultures and drive equity in learning environments, it is important to approach it with sensitivity to socio-political contexts, such as racial issues in the United States, migration in Europe and multicultural heritage



... the interpersonal curricular domain intersects with the intrapersonal domain appearing hereafter as the domain of 'self '. in Australasia (Repetto et al., 2007; Durlak et al., 2011; Gregory and Fergus, 2017).

Importantly, the interpersonal curricular domain intersects with the intrapersonal domain appearing hereafter as the domain of 'self'. This is especially the case when focusing on faculties, such as attention and emotional regulation, which underpin social-emotional capabilities. The line that demarcates these two curricular domains is thin, and clearly some pedagogies applied toward the development of one domain can affect the other; nevertheless, the orientation and aim of the interpersonal domain is the enhancement of relationships with other individuals or groups, whereas the orientation of the domain of 'self' is inward as explained hereafter.

SELF

The proposal of 'self' as a curricular domain acknowledges the fact that individuals are in relationships not only with what is external to them but also with their own bodies and minds. This curricular domain focuses on embodied first-person experience, which can unfold in the form of thoughts, sensations and emotions. It is hence a curriculum as perceived from the perspective of the 'self' (Pinar and Grumet, 1976). It includes what the 'self' contributes to experience by virtue of its deliberate and non-deliberate responses to that presented both inside and outside formal educational settings. Furthermore, it encompasses the deliberate engagement of subjects with themselves through practices by which attention is turned in (e.g. reflection, mindfulness, other forms of meditation) to explore one's inner experience and to cultivate virtuous living (Ergas, 2017), as well as through practices that are pursued for purposes of mental and physical health (e.g. sports).

Looking back historically, several contexts and fields not only warrant the possibility to consider such relationships in curricular terms but also point

The proposal of 'self' as a curricular domain acknowledges the fact that individuals are in relationships not only with what is external to them but also with their own bodies and minds. This curricular domain focuses on embodied first-person experience, which can unfold in the form of thoughts, sensations and emotions. to its indispensability within a conceptual framework for education in and for flourishing and within curriculum theory writ large. The self domain hearkens back to the Delphic injunction 'know thyself', and Socrates's claim in the Phaedrus, 'I am still unable, as the Delphic inscription orders, to know myself and it really seems to me ridiculous to look into other things before I have understood that' (229e-230a). Twentieth century curriculum theorists have pointed to the need to reclaim this orientation in curriculum theory as part of an attempt to balance a growing preoccupation with standardization and accountability that tend to dehumanize education (Pinar and Grument, 1976; Palmer, 1998; Huebner, 1999).

During the first decades of the twenty-first century, the 'self' domain has received strong support with the development of 'contemplative science' that focuses on 'the core capacities, processes and states of the mind modified by contemplative practices' (**Dorjee, 2016, p. 1**).

Contemplative practices, also referred to as 'technologies of the self' (Foucault, 1988), have developed across cultures and throughout history and incorporate individuals learning to engage skillfully with their own experience toward cultivating awareness, connectedness and fulfilling lives (Roth, 2006). These practices feature a turning of the subjects' attention to, and an exercising of volitional control of, physical and mental habits (Davidson et al., 2012). The scientific study of contemplative practices and findings of their various mental and physical benefits and contributions to teaching and learning have led to an unprecedented rise in their incorporation in education (Shapiro et al., 2015; Schonert-Reichl and Roeser, 2016; Ergas and Hadar, 2019).

Based on the above, a variety of possibilities emerge for curriculum design concerning the understanding of 'relationships' within the self curricular domain. From a neuroscientific perspective, relationships within the self emerge due to the fact



Advancements in the study and implementation of a variety of contemplative practices in educational settings, including mindfulness, meditation of various forms, yoga, tai chi and several others, yield an array of pedagogical and curricular possibilities. that the human brain produces a significant amount of content both as a response to what is presented to it deliberately and on its own accord, both in formal learning situations and outside them (Smallwood and Schooler, 2006; Schooler et al., 2011; Christoff et al., 2016). In the past two decades, studies demonstrate that this inner content substantially affects mental states, moods, performance, thought processes, behaviours and engagement with others, and is hence directly associated with flourishing (Bar, 2009; Killingsworth and Gilbert, 2010; Wammes et al., 2016). Diverse 'inner' relationships can be considered within this domain, for example, relationship with one's body associated with body image tied directly with contemporary physical and mental health issues (Kelly et al., 2018); relationship between the person one is now and the person one aspires to become or conversely with one's past; relationship between different experiences of one's sense of 'self' (Gallagher, 2000; Damasio, 2012); spirituality and inner relationship with God (James. 1985).

Advancements in the study and implementation of a variety of contemplative practices in educational settings, including mindfulness, meditation of various forms, yoga, tai chi and several others, yield an array of pedagogical and curricular possibilities (Bai, Scott and Donald, 2009; Schonert-Reichl and Lawlor, 2010; Kuyken et al., 2013; Lin, Oxford and Brantmeier, 2013; Roeser, 2014; Weare, 2019). Here students (as well as teachers) learn to engage with their own present-moment embodied experience toward cultivating various capabilities that are conducive to their development and flourishing, including self-regulated learning, self-compassion, compassion toward others, awareness and agency (Roeser and Peck, 2009; Rashedi and Schonert-Reichl, 2019).

Some have raised concerns in respect to various aspects of contemplative practices in education, including the ways in which they are sometimes detached from their original traditions, the potential of their engendering solipsism and



their association with religion/ spirituality (Ergas, 2019; Purser, 2019). It is hence essential to consider how these practices are presented in secular contexts and in line with the sensitivities of local culture or community (Garcia-Campayo et al., 2017; Proulx et al., 2020; Kumar, 2021). In addition to the mental aspects of the self, and closely connected to them, are the physical aspects. Research has found a correlation between years of education and various aspects of health (**DECD**, **2010**). Hence, the domain of physical education and various



practices that individuals undertake to maintain and enhance health as well as those that are associated with seeking personal meaning through indoor and outdoor sports can clearly be considered within this curricular domain. It is increasingly recognized that education can make a substantial contribution to adopting a healthier lifestyle, which is central to flourishing. The contribution of education can range from bringing students to engage in physical activity and keeping a more balanced diet to how to lower the risk of infection during a pandemic.

4.2 .2

CRITICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE CURRICULAR FRAMEWORK

At least two critical issues emerge as we consider the elaboration of the curricular framework aimed at flourishing:

CURRICULAR DOMAINS AS REDUCTIONISTIC

The demarcation of discrete curricular domains aims to facilitate the development of a curriculum that intends to promote flourishing. We are aware that the dissection of experience into domains is somewhat reductionist and can potentially result in oversimplistic ways of thinking (Eisner, 1994). To counter this, firstly, we reiterate the need to think of the curricular domains in light of Bronfenbrenner's ecological model, in which domains interact with each other and, in light of complexity theory, which views education as webs of interconnected and mutually influencing elements. The breaking of the curriculum into domains, then, aims to aid the conceptualization of education for flourishing and is not supposed to reflect existing rigid divisions. Secondly, we briefly demonstrate how an engagement with one curricular domain easily intersects with other domains to a point where interrelations between them arise and ameliorate the siloed

... we reiterate the need to think of the curricular domains in light of Bronfenbrenner's ecological model, in which domains interact with each other and, in light of complexity theory, which views education as webs of interconnected and mutually influencing elements. approach to some degree.

For example, when exploring the curricular domain of technology, one can easily envision an intersection with other domains, such as the social domain. Framing the learning of technology can be considered to be part of acquiring jobmarket skills; framing it in terms of social justice questions, such as who has access to technology and how does such access shape learning and flourishing, points to intersections with social values. Intersections with the cultural domain come into sharp relief as we consider that cultivating digital literacy can be done by adjudicating knowledge presented in various websites about historical facts (Wineburg and Reisman, 2015).

Pointing to additional intersections, a relationship between the personal, interpersonal and social domains becomes evident when we consider the development of SEL and contemplative practices as part of the enhancement of cognitive functions, which in turn

A CURRICULAR FRAMEWORK FOR FLOURISHING IN EDUCATION contributes to one's performativity in the job market, or conversely as cultivating pro-social behaviours toward citizenship education (Davidson et al., 2012; Ergas, 2019).

WHY SIX DOMAINS?

The curriculum is divided into six domains based on deliberations drawing on existing educational and curricular theories and insights from conceptions of flourishing, as well as global challenges and the scientific perspective presented earlier in this report. Building on the rationale for the ISEE Assessment, these have led us to identify central domains that already draw considerable educational attention (society, culture) as well as some domains that may have been underestimated, ignored or marginalized in most educational systems. Based on this, the six domain framework seems to be effective for operationalizing education for flourishing; however, we acknowledge that some may see this framework as incomplete (e.g. some countries may wish





The six domain framework provides a starting point from which to think about education in and for flourishing.

to consider 'nation-state' as a separate domain) and add further domains. This is certainly possible. Furthermore, as often happens in education and following our dynamic systems perspective, implementation may well yield the need to reconsider, change and reassess and, like any framework, its value will need to be tested.

Nevertheless, the six domain framework provides a starting point from which to think about education in and for flourishing. Each of the six domains makes its

own indispensable contribution to the promotion of flourishing. Ideally, after adjustments have been made to the local context and conditions in which education takes place, education should touch on all the mentioned domains (with a possibility of adding others). To best promote flourishing, education should not focus only on one or two domains, as is mostly the case today, with priority given to the economic and political aspects, while others are marginalized or neglected. An adequate level of educational engagement with each domain, which can, of course, vary according to the context, can significantly contribute towards increasing flourishing.



CHAPTER

4.3



Learning trajectories and flourishing aims and manifestations

Further developing the curricular framework, we turn to explain the six learning trajectories (Figure 4) and how they feature in our framework for education in flourishing. This allows us to describe the shift from pillars of education in the Delors Report (International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century, 1996) to the ISEE Assessment's learning trajectories.

Recapping on the links between curriculum-teaching-assessment, learning and flourishing aims

Recapping the links between curriculumteaching-assessment, learning and flourishing aims and manifestations we postulate a shift from pillars to trajectories. and manifestations (Figure 1) we postulate a shift from pillars to trajectories. This shift is the result of considering education, not only as an edifice/institution requiring 'pillars', but also as a complex process that unfolds based on students' relationships framed by the curricular domains (and their intersections). This process of a students' encounter with the curriculum yields a range of learning trajectories that reflect an individual's development through various modalities, experiences and processes of learning.

The frameworks we propose acknowledge curriculum and learning as combining topdown and bottom-up processes. The curricular domains were described as fields of knowledge, values and practices that can be designed top-down. The learning trajectories reflect the changes in an individual's knowledge, values and practices as they engage in that curriculum, reacting and responding to it, which supports the learning experience. In doing so, a bottom-up effect is introduced to the formal

and taught curriculum. As a consequence of this encounter, the learner internalizes (some of) the knowledge, skills and values to varying extents and develops in the above-mentioned along a continuum from familiarity and understanding to agency (i.e. becoming an active contributor to the knowledge, values and practices in these curricular domains if the individual is so inclined).

Importantly, whereas the curricular domains have been demarcated as separate but intersecting, the learning trajectories are intentionally bundled together for two reasons.

1. Every curricular domain has the potential to contribute to all learning trajectories. Considering, for example, the encounter of a student with the cultural curricular domain, one can easily envision its contribution to learning to know, to learn, to think, to do and to become.

2. The dynamics of the human brain and mind as a bottom-



Following various trends and changes since the Delors Report ... we have shifted focus from learning to know, to do, to be and to live together, to learning to know and think, learning to do and evaluate, learning to learn, learning to live together, learning to live with nature and learning to be and become.

up influence on the curriculum within the learning experience suggests the need to consider a broader (and more realistic) understanding of learning. When examined from the neurophenomenology of the learner's experience, we note that the mind is not merely shaped by the curriculum but also by what it attends to from one moment to the next (Ergas, 2017). When considering the example of a classroom, the personal and interpersonal domains constantly introduce content into the planned curriculum (e.g. student inner thoughts, relationships between students as they communicate among themselves within a lesson). This content can be related or unrelated to the intended curriculum; regardless, it can yield unintended learning experiences (for better and for worse). Bundling the learning trajectories accounts for these dynamic processes, the fluidity of the learning experience and its less expected and planned outcomes.

Following various trends and changes since the Delors Report (International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century, 1996), we have shifted focus from learning to know, to do, to be and to live together, to learning to know and think, learning to do and evaluate, learning to learn, learning to live together, learning to live with nature and learning to be and become. All six are discussed and defined below, but briefly outlined here. Learning to learn, which was part of the Delors Report learning to know, is given its own treatment as we acknowledge the rise of independent and autodidactic forms of learning, which have proliferated in the past decades with the development of the internet, as well as the further development of meta-cognitive concepts, such as self-regulated learning (Zimmerman, 2002; Panadero, Jonsson and Botella, 2017). 'To think' is added to learning to know, reflecting the discourse around higher-order thinking, as well as the variety of ways that have been embraced and implemented in educational settings in this domain (Gardner, 2000; Lipman, 2003).





Figure 4.Six learning trajectories in the curricular framework for education in flourishing

'To become' is added to learning to be, stemming from conceptions of flourishing grounded in wisdom traditions across cultures, as well as from humanistic and positive psychology (Roth, 2006; Stock, 2006; Bai, Scott and Donald, 2009; Lin Lin, Oxford and Brantmeier, 2013). Finally, 'learning to live with nature' is added to learning to live together to account for the need to emphasize the place of the environment in this framework.

Learning to know and think

 reflects the trajectory of

knowledge acquisition and the ability to understand, modify and build on it. It refers to the pursuit of knowledge and the various modalities of thinking through which we advance toward broadening schemes of understanding. All curricular domains and relationships entail a variety of forms of knowledge spanning knowledge of culture, science, arts, environment and human rights to knowledge of self and other. We hence acknowledge the need to acquire knowledge but also to develop our thinking



skills by which we learn to draw connections and emerge with novel ideas, conjectures and possibilities. Learning to know and think entails both the pursuit of knowledge for preconceived instrumental reasons and also its pursuit for the mere joy of broadening one's horizons, and opening avenues for unexpected moments of understanding formed serendipitously by the human mind.

2. Learning to do and evaluate - concerns the various how-tos that are associated with deliberate action in pursuit of ethically based goals. This involves the trajectory of exercising skills, beginning with literacy, numeracy and manual skills (e.g. drawing, cutting) and extends to twentyfirst century and technological skills. However, we emphasize the importance of the relationships we have with the material and mental products we create by doing, as we learn to evaluate them with a growing sensitivity to their impact on ourselves, others and the environment. The value is not merely in the doing, but in

doing that is embedded within theconcept of flourishing.

3. Learning to learn – reflects the trajectory of developing sophistication, ease and/or speed in acquiring new skills and knowledge. Learning to learn is developed based on being exposed to and practising various methods of learning, developing our own ways of learning by ourselves and through externally imposed challenges. It is at the intersection of all curricular domains with the personal and interpersonal domains because it involves both cultivating metacognitive skills (e.g. self-regulation, attention) and capacities of collaborative learning toward ethically based ends. Learning to learn hence puts us in relation with ourselves and others, when engaged in particular learning tasks.

4. Learning to live together – All healthy human interactions depend on the ability to communicate, which depends on understanding and respect. Whether at the individual or at the social/national levels, our

Learning to be and become – situates the individual in relation to selfhood.

ability to survive and hopefully flourish depends on how well we can talk to each other, respect others' needs and wants and jointly work toward social justice and inclusion. Learning to live together concerns the trajectory of developing all aspects of human-to-human communication and relationships, as well as the understanding of social norms within one's context.

5. Learning to live with nature situated in the midst of an ecological crisis, with clear signs of global warming, anthropogenic climate change and species extinction, amongst others, sustainability is not a matter of choice. From young to old and across the globe, there is a need to learn about the current conditions and how we might confront them. Learning to live with nature hence involves the development of awareness and sensitivity to our place in, and responsibility for, the thriving of nature.

6. Learning to be and become – situates the individual in relation to selfhood. It stems from our

situatedness in the present moment amidst its concrete demands and the trajectory of our temporality as we seek meaning in existence. This implies learning to take care of ourselves physically and mentally, which is often necessary for attending to the needs of others. It expands toward learning how to live wisely amidst change, adversity and uncertainty as we contemplate who we are and who we want to become guided by an informed sense of purpose and meaning in life. It involves learning when to actively exercise agency and to change our and others' conditions as well as when to accept things as they are. Learning to be and become also encompasses when to try to bring about change and when to allow change to happen of its own accord.

Importantly, while the learning trajectories have been bundled to reflect the fluidity of the experience of learning, the intersections between them are to be acknowledged by curriculum developers and implementers.



As a final step in the elaboration of the proposed curricular framework for education in flourishing, we explain the curricular domains and learning trajectories as leading to flourishing aims and manifestations.

4.3.1

FLOURISHING AIMS AND MANIFESTATIONS

As a final step in the elaboration of the proposed curricular framework for education in flourishing, we explain the curricular domains and learning trajectories as leading to flourishing aims and manifestations. The following points describe the logic of our deliberations and Figure 5 presents the framework.

- Following **WG1-ch2** and **WG1ch3** we separate flourishing aims and manifestations into those that support the conditions of flourishing and those that provide the capacities for flourishing. The conditions apply to the external aims and manifestations of education in flourishing, namely to the desired state of affairs in the world to which education attempts to contribute. Capacities apply to the internal knowledge, skills and propensities acquired by individuals that can promote their flourishing and that of others. Education helps to enhance these within the individual as they engage in learning within the curricular domains.

- Ideally, enhanced external conditions recursively feed into education, so that the process of education is situated within a context of flourishing and is not only aimed at flourishing in an ideal future.

- Each curricular domain lends itself to certain conditions and capacities toward which it is oriented; however, here too, there will be clear intersections between domains.

- The terms that have been chosen are to be understood as illustrative and not prescriptive. We intentionally refrained from arriving at a comprehensive list of conditions and capacities for each domain for the following reasons:

• no list would be sufficiently comprehensive;

| | | | FLOOKISHING IN EDUCATION | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| | | ENVIRONMENT | CULTURE | SOCIETY | TECHNOLOGY | INTERPERSONAL | SELF |
| EDUCATION AS RELATIONSHIP | | NATURE | PAST AND PRESENT Knowledge, Achievements | SOCIETY (Norms, Job Market) | INTERNET, SOCIAL Networks, AI, etc. | OTHERS | ONESELF (BODY, MIND) |
| Learning trajectories | | Learning to know and think, learning to do and evaluate, learning to learn, learning to live together, learning to live with nature, learning to be and become. | | | | | |
| FLOURISHING AIMS AND MANIFESTATIONS | Conditions | Sustainability, (needs elaboration from experts), etc. | Preservation and innovation, scientific progress, etc. | Economic growth, peace, social justice, etc. | Ethical vision-based development, value-driven entrepreneurship, etc. | Inclusion, tolerance, dignity, etc. | Freedom, mobility, actualization, etc. |
| | Capacities | Sensitivity, environmental responsibility, awareness, etc. | Appreciation, cultural literacy, innovativeness, critical thinking, etc. | Literacy, numeracy, citizenship, human capital (job market skills), etc. | Digital literacy critical thinking, innovativeness, etc. | Empathy, perspective taking, communication skills, etc. | Agency, mindfulness, executive functions, self-regulation, growth mindset, etc. |

CURRICULAR DOMAINS FOR Flourishing in Education

Figure 5: Flourishing aims and manifestations

- respect for diversity and multiculturalism to grant Member States with freedom to apply culture-specific terms;
- freedom of interpretation within the bounds of the curricular domain is conducive to creative curricular design.

The above framework lends itself to considering various assessment practices that can be introduced in each of the curricular domains; from a schooling perspective to a policy making perspective. Further development in this direction opens as Member States can consider behaviours that are likely

to reflect the learning trajectories of flourishing. Merely offering some illustrative examples, in the environment domain we would hope to see more sustainability practice; in the cultural curricular domain, more reading of literature, growing interest in arts; in the social domain, improved literacy, economic growth and higher rates of voting; in the technological domain, wiser consumption of news and reduced rates in consumption of unethical content; in the interpersonal domain, reduction in racism and growing inclusion; in the personal domain, higher levels of wellbeing, health, satisfaction and meaning in life.



CHAPTER



4.4

Recommendations

1) Education is a dynamic

system: Education cannot be fully understood by reducing it to its

constitutive parts. For promoting flourishing, this implies the following.

237

The promotion of flourishing should be multi-scalar, and advance from micro to macro, that is, from the level of the individual student and teacher to that of policy, and vice versa.

should be multi-scalar, and advance from micro to macro, that is, from the level of the

that is, from the level of the individual student and teacher to that of policy, and vice versa. Interventions that are limited to one level (e.g. students only) are unlikely to create sustainable change if not supported by a systemic approach.

a) Systemic approach to change

- The promotion of flourishing

b) *Sensitivity to context* – It is essential that education for flourishing be sensitive to the context in which it takes place. Successful models and best practices might not be easily emulated if flourishing is the aim. Local conditions and culture need to guide the adaptation of interventions in order to increase chances of success.

c) Embracing multidisciplinary and interdisciplinary

approaches – No field exhausts the understanding of human beings, social systems and their flourishing. Diverse disciplines and fields are necessary as different

A CURRICULAR FRAMEWORK FOR FLOURISHING IN EDUCATION entry points for promoting, as well as overcoming, hindrances to flourishing.

2. *Results of education in and for flourishing:* Education for flourishing should have three main end results.

a) *Capacities, capabilities and propensities* – Education should provide individuals with a range of mental, physical and practical capacities and capabilities that increase their ability to choose and follow their own path towards a flourishing life. Education should also develop the propensity to act on these spontaneously, by will or as an acquired habit.

b) Interpersonal flourishing

- Each individual has the power to affect not only their own flourishing but that of others. Education should bring individuals to contribute to flourishing in widening interpersonal circles (e.g. through acts of caring or enabling others to develop their own agency).

4 CHAPTER

c) *Conditions of flourishing*– Education should encourage

individuals to contribute to the creation, maintenance and enhancement of the conditions (e.g. environmental, political, economic, cultural) that facilitate flourishing (e.g. protecting the environment).

3) *Framework for education in and for flourishing:* Curriculum, teaching and assessment should all be coherently oriented towards generating learning that is conducive to promoting flourishing in order to reach the three end results listed above (see Figure 4).

4. Curricular framework for education in and for flourishing:

To promote flourishing it is recommended that the curriculum encompass the following six domains (see Figure 5). Each domain can be viewed as engaging an individual in a relationship with a different aspect of the curriculum. The curricular domains are as follows. a) *The environment* – Learning to live harmoniously with the environment, to appreciate it and be able to enjoy it. In addition, protecting the environment and potentially even restoring it has become essential for our ability to live a flourishing life. The curriculum must provide us with the capacities and capabilities needed to conserve the environment as well as the drive to put this into practice.

b) *Culture* – By supporting people to engage in a relationship with culture (e.g. humanities, arts, leisure), education makes an indispensable contribution to flourishing. It opens avenues for developing potentialities, finding meaning, strengthening a sense of belonging and new forms of pleasure. Moreover, the cultural domain bears the potential to strengthen interconnectedness in a globalized world. The significance of engaging with culture for flourishing should not be overshadowed by instrumental and economic considerations.

By supporting people to engage in a relationship with culture (e.g. humanities, arts, leisure), education makes an indispensable contribution to flourishing.





c) *Society* – Education should prepare students to integrate with the political and economic aspects of social life. To effectively promote flourishing, education should go beyond preparing students for existing social arrangements. It should also teach them to think critically about these, formulate proposals, exercise

A CURRICULAR FRAMEWORK FOR FLOURISHING IN EDUCATION



agency and strive to improve them. In addition, education should go beyond the state and the economy and deal with the global and communal aspects of contemporary life.

d) *Technology* – Education must deliberately engage with technology and help learners progress from a level of familiarity with technology, through acquiring digital literacy skills to having the ability to become active agents in shaping technology toward ensuring that it will be in the service of human flourishing. This demands that students learn to engage with technology ethically.

... individuals are in relationship not only with what is external to them, but also with their own bodies and minds. This has far reaching implications for individuals' sense of flourishing.

e) *Interpersonal* – Education should enhance the abilities of individuals to conduct themselves wisely and considerately in relationships with others. It should contribute to the development of the cognitive, emotional and social capabilities needed for promoting relationships that contribute to the flourishing of oneself and others. Education should also strive to encourage tolerance for different cultures and drive equity in learning environments while remaining sensitive to sociopolitical contexts.

f) *The self* – individuals are in relationship not only with what is external to them, but also with their own bodies and minds. This has far reaching implications for individuals' sense of flourishing. It is therefore essential that education for flourishing provide individuals with inner capacities that enable them to affect their physical and mental experiences (i.e. by means of a variety of practices that direct attention deliberately to their first-person experience).

5. *Flexibility in the curricular framework:* It is important that the six-domains curricular framework be flexible to allow modifications to the proposed domains and to adapt to the local context and needs.

a) *Adding domains:* The six domains framework provides a starting point from which to think



Learning trajectories: The process of a students' encounter with the curriculum domains will be oriented towards six learning trajectories, which reflect the individual's development through various modalities, experiences and processes of learning. about education for flourishing. Other domains can be added to it. It is recommended, however, that after adjustments have been made to the local context and conditions, education for flourishing touches at least upon these six domains.

b) Combining domains: We

recommend using the domains both as ways to design curricula within the particular domains and to dissolve the boundaries between the domains by combining them (e.g. cultivating environmental sensitivity by means of digital literacy).

6. *Learning trajectories:* The process of a students' encounter with the curriculum domains will be oriented towards six learning trajectories, which reflect the individual's development through various modalities, experiences and processes of learning.

a) *Learning to know and think* – knowledge acquisition, understanding and critical thinking. b) *Learning to do and evaluate* – developing skill and dexterity and cultivating an ability to evaluate their undertakings.

c) *Learning to learn* – becoming a selfregulated learner as well as a group collaborator.

d) *Learning to live together*– developing social-emotional capabilities, communications skills and compassion.

e) *Learning to live with nature*becoming knowledgeable about and caring for the environment.

f) *Learning to be and become* – taking care of physical and mental health, finding meaning.

7. *Flourishing aims and manifestations:* The curricular domains and learning trajectory lend themselves to improved external conditions and inner capacities, which reflect aims and manifestations of education in and for flourishing (see Figure 5).

REFERENCES

Abram, D. (2014) 'On depth ecology', The Trumpeter, 30(2), pp. 101–104.

Abram, D. (2018) Storytelling and wonder: on the rejuvenation of oral culture. Available at: http://wildethics.org/ essay/storytelling-and-wonder

Akar, B. (2019) Citizenship education in conflict-affected areas: Lebanon and beyond. London: Bloomsbury Academic.

Andersen, L., Boud, D. and Cohen, R. (2000) 'Experience-based learning', Understanding Adult Education and Training, 2, pp. 225–239.

Appiah, K.A. (2017) Cosmopolitanisms. New York: NYU Press.

Bai, H., Scott, C. and Donald, B. (2009) 'Contemplative pedagogy and revitalization of teacher education', Alberta Journal of Educational Research, 55(3), pp 319–334.

Banks, J.A. (2017) 'Failed citizenship and transformative civic education', Educational Researcher, 46(7), pp. 366–377.

Baptiste, I. (2001) 'Educating lone wolves: pedagogical implications of human capital theory', Adult Education Quarterly, 51(3), pp.184–201.

Bar, M. (2009) 'The proactive brain: memory for predictions', Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 364.1521, pp. 1235–1243.

Barbezat, D.P. and Bush, M. (2013) Contemplative practices in higher education: powerful methods to transform teaching and learning. New York: John Wiley & Sons.

Bartram, J., Lewis, K., Lenton, R. and Wright, A. (2005) 'Focusing on improved water and sanitation for health', The Lancet, 365(9461), pp.810–812.

Bassok, D., Latham, S. and Rorem, A. (2016) 'Is kindergarten the new first grade?', Aera Open, 1(4), pp. 1-31.

Becker, G.S. (2009) Human capital: a theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Beiner, R. (ed.) (1995) Theorizing citizenship. New York: State University of New York Press.

Biesta, G. (2007) 'Why "what works" won't work: evidence-based practice and the democratic deficit in educational research', Educational Theory, 57(1), pp. 1–22.

Biesta, G. (2009) 'Good education in

an age of measurement: on the need to reconnect with the question of purpose in education', Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability, 21(1), pp. 33–46.

Blenkinsop, S. and Morse, M. (2017) 'Saying yes to life: the search for the rebel teacher', in Jickling, B. and Sterling, S. (eds.) Post-sustainability and environmental education: remaking education for the future. Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 49–61.

Bok, D. (2009) Universities in the marketplace: the commercialization of higher education (vol. 49). Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Boulton, J.G, Allen, P.M. and Bowman, C. (2015) Embracing complexity: strategic perspectives for an age of turbulence. Oxford University Press: Oxford.

Brighouse, H. (2006) On education. London: Routledge.

Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979) The ecology of human development. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Bruner, J.S. (1960) The process of education. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Buck, M.F. (2020) 'Of chimæras and trojan horses—critical remarks on digitalization in democratic societies', in Binder, U. and Drerup, J. (eds.) Demokratieerziehung und die Bildung digitaler Öffentlichkeit. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-3-658-28169-4_11, pp. 183–196.

Byrne, D. (2001) Complexity theory and the social sciences – an introduction. London: Routledge.

Callan, E. and White, J. (2002) 'Liberalism and communitarianism', in Blake, N., Smeyers, P., Smith, R. and Standish, P. (eds.) The Blackwell guide to philosophy of education. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing, pp. 95–109.

Capra, F. (1982) The turning point: science, society, and the rising culture. New York: Bantam.

Carr, N. (2010) The shallows: how the internet is changing the way we think, read and remember. London: Atlantic Books Ltd.

Checkley, W., Gilman, R.H., Black, R.E., Epstein, L.D., Cabrera, L., Sterling, C.R. and Moulton, L.H. (2004) 'Effect of water and sanitation on childhood health in a poor Peruvian peri-urban community', The Lancet, 363(9403), pp. 112–118.

Choi, M. (2016) 'A concept analysis of digital citizenship for democratic citizenship education in the internet age', Theory & Research in Social Education, 44(4), pp. 565–607. Christoff, K., Irving, Z.C., Fox, K.C., Spreng, R.N. and Andrews-Hanna, J.R. (2016) 'Mind-wandering as spontaneous thought: a dynamic framework', Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 17(11), pp. 718–731.

Colander, D. and Kupers, R. (2016) Complexity and the art of public policy: solving society's problems from the bottom up. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Curry, O., Whitehouse, H. and Mullins, D. (2019) 'Is it good to cooperate? Testing the theory of morality-as-cooperation in 60 societies', Current Anthropology, 60(1), pp. 47–69.

Damasio, A.R. (2006) Descartes' error. New York: Random House.

Damasio, A.R. (2012) Self comes to mind: constructing the conscious brain. New York: Vintage.

Davidson, R. J., Dunne, J., Eccles, J.S., Engle, A., Greenberg, M., ... and Vago, D. (2012) 'Contemplative practices and mental training: prospects for American education', Child Development Perspectives, 6(2), pp. 146–153.

Davis, B. and Sumara, D. (2014) Complexity and education: inquiries into learning, teaching, and research. London: Routledge.

Dewey, J. (1933) How we think. Chicago: Heath & Co. Publishers. Dewey, J. (1938) Experience and education. New York, NY: Collier Books.

Disinger, J. (1989) 'The current status of environmental education in U.S. school curricula', Contemporary Education, 60 (3), pp. 126–136.

Dorjee, D. (2016) 'Defining contemplative science: the metacognitive self-regulatory capacity of the mind, context of meditation practice and modes of existential awareness', Frontiers in Psychology, 7, pp. 1788–1795.

Durkheim, E. (1972) Emile Durkheim: selected writings. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Durlak, J.A., Domtirovich, S.E., Weissberg, R.P. and Gullota, T.P. (eds.) (2015) Handbook of social and emotional learning: research and practice. New York: Guilford Publications.

Durlak, J.A., Weissberg, R.P., Dymnicki, A.B., Taylor, R.D. and Schellinger, K.B. (2011) 'The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: a meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions', Child Development, 82(1), pp. 405–432.

Egan, K. (1997) The educated mind: how cognitive tools shape our understanding. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Eisner, W.E. (1994) The educational imagination: on the design and evaluation of school programs. New York: Macmillan.

R E F E R E N C E S

Entwistle, N. (2003) Promoting deep learning through teaching and assessment: conceptual frameworks and educational contexts. Paper presented at the ESRC Teaching and Learning Research Programme, First Annual Conference, University of Leicester, November 2000. Available at: https://www.etl.tla.ed.ac.uk/ docs/entwistle2000.pdf.

Ergas, O. (2017) Reconstructing 'education' through mindful attention: positioning the mind at the center of curriculum and pedagogy. Berlin: Springer.

Ergas, O. (2019) 'Education and mindfulness practice: exploring a dialog between two traditions', Mindfulness, 10(8), pp. 1489–1501.

Ergas, O. and Hadar, L.L. (2019) 'Mindfulness in and as education: a map of a developing academic discourse from 2002 to 2017', Review of Education, 7(3), pp.757–797.

Falk, R. (1993) 'The making of global citizenship', in Brecher, J., Childs, B. and Cutler, J. (eds.) Global visions: beyond the new world order. Boston: South End Press, pp. 39–50.

Finell, E. and Nätti, J. (2019) 'The combined effect of poor perceived indoor environmental quality and psychosocial stressors on long-term sickness absence in the workplace: a follow-up study', International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16(24). doi:10.3390/ijerph16244997. Fogarty, R. (1991) 'Ten ways to integrate curriculum', Educational Leadership, 49(2), pp.61–65.

Foucault, M. (1988) Technologies of the self: a seminar with Michel Foucault. Cambridge, MA: University of Massachusetts Press.

Franck, O. (2017) Highlighting ethics, subjectivity and democratic participation in sustainability education: challenges and contributions, in Franck, O. and Osbeck, C. (eds.) Ethical literacies and education for sustainable development young people, subjectivity and democratic participation. Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 1–18.

Freire, P. (1970) Pedagogy of the oppressed. London: Continuum.

French, M. (1986) Beyond power: on women, men, and morals. New York: Ballantine Books.

Gagne, R.M. (1970) The conditions of learning. London: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.

Gallagher, S. (2000) 'Philosophical conceptions of the self: implications for cognitive science', Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 4(1), pp. 14–21. García-Campayo, J., Demarzo, M., Shonin, E. and Van Gordon, W. (2017) 'How do cultural factors influence the teaching and practice of mindfulness and compassion in Latin countries?', Frontiers in Psychology, 8. doi:10.3389/ fpsyg.2017.01161.

Gardner, H.E. (2000) Intelligence reframed: multiple intelligences for the 21st century. London: Hachette.

Geyer, R. and Rihani, S. (2012) Complexity and public policy: a new approach to 21st century politics, policy and society. London: Routledge.

Gilead, T. (2017a) 'Education's role in the economy: towards a new perspective', Cambridge Journal of Education, 47(4), pp. 457–473.

Gilead, T. (2017b) 'Justifying the teaching of the humanities: a new economic approach', Policy Futures in Education, 15(3), pp. 346–359.

Giroux, H.A. and Penna, N.A. (1979) 'Social education in the classroom: the dynamics of the hidden curriculum', Theory & Research in Social Education, 7(1), pp. 21–42.

Goleman, D. (1995) Emotional intelligence. New York: Bantam Books.

Goleman, D. (2008) 'The secret to success', The Education Digest, 74(4), pp. 8–9.

Gonzalez, W.J. (2015) 'On the role of values in the configuration of technology: from axiology to ethics', in Gonzalez, W.J. (ed.) New perspectives on technology, values, and ethics. Cham, Switzerland: Springer, pp. 3–27.

Green, A. (1990) 'Education and state formation', in Green, A. (ed.) Education and state formation: the rise of education systems in England, France and the USA. London: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 76–110.

Greenberg, M.T., Weissberg, R.P., O'Brien, M.U., Zins, J.E., Fredericks, L. Elias, M.J. (2003) 'Enhancing schoolbased prevention and youth development through coordinated social, emotional, and academic learning', American Psychologist, 58(6–7), pp. 466–474.

Gregory, A. and Fergus, E. (2017) 'Social and emotional learning and equity in school discipline', The Future of Children, 27(1), pp. 117–136.

Gross, R. (2015) Psychology: the science of mind and behaviour. London: Hodder Education.

Habermas, J. (1991) The structural transformation of the public sphere: an inquiry into a category of bourgeois society. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Hansen, D.T. (2010) 'Cosmopolitanism and education: a view from the ground', Teachers College Record, 112(1), pp.1–30. Harari, Y.N. (2018) 21 lessons for the 21st century. New York: Random House.

Hattie, J. (2009) Visible learning: a synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Abingdon: Routledge.

Henry, G., Osborne, E. and Salzberger-Wittenberg, I. (2003) The emotional experience of learning and teaching. London: Routledge.

Hirst, P. H. (1971). 'What is teaching?', Journal of Curriculum Studies, 3(1), pp. 5–18.

Hoskins, B. (2006) Draft framework on indicators for active citizenship. Ispra: CRELL.

Huba, M.E. and Freed, J.E. (2000) Learner-centered assessment on college campuses: shifting the focus from teaching to learning. Needham Heights: Allyn & Bacon.

Huckle, J. (1993) 'Environmental education and sustainability: a view from critical theory', in Fien, J. (ed.) Environmental education: a pathway to sustainability.Victoria, Australia: Deakin University Press, pp. 43–68.

Huebner, D.E. (1999) The lure of the transcendent: collected essays by Dwayne E. Huebner.

Immordino-Yang, M.H. (2015) Emotions, learning, and the brain: exploring the educational implications of affective neuroscience. New York: WW Norton.

Immordino-Yang, M.H., Darling-Hammond, L. and Krone, C.R. (2019) 'Nurturing nature: how brain development is inherently social and emotional, and what this means for education', Educational Psychologist, 54(3), pp.185–204.

International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century (1996) Learning: the treasure within. Report to UNESCO of the International Commission on Education for the Twenty-first Century. Paris: UNESCO.

James, W. (1985) The varieties of religious experience. Boston: Harvard University Press.

Januszewski, A. and Molenda, M. (eds.) (2013) Educational technology: a definition with commentary. Abingdon: Routledge.

Jickling, B. (2017) 'Education revisited: creating educational experiences that are held, felt, and disruptive', in Jickling, B. and Sterling, S. (eds.) Post-sustainability and environmental education: remaking education for the future. Cham, Switzerland : Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 15–30.

REFERENCES

Jones, S.M. and Bouffard, S.M. (2012) 'Social and emotional learning in schools: from programs to strategies and commentaries', Social Policy Report, 26(4), pp. 1–33.

Kahneman, D. (2011) Thinking, fast and slow. New York: Macmillan.

Kelly, Y., Zilanawala, A., Booker, C. and Sacker, A. (2018) 'Social media use and adolescent mental health: findings from the UK Millennium Cohort Study', EClinicalMedicine, 6, pp. 59–68.

Killingsworth, M.A. and Gilbert, D.T. (2010) 'A wandering mind is an unhappy mind', Science, 330(6006), pp. 932–932.

Kolstad C., Urama, K., Broome, J., Bruvoll, A., Cariño Olvera, M. ... and Minx, J.C. (eds.) Fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Kraftl, P. (2013) Geographies of alternative education: diverse learning spaces for children and young people. Bristol: Policy Press.

Kumar, S. (2021) 'Mindfulness in a Moroccan university: exploring students' transformational journey through an academic course in mindfulness', Journal of Transformative Learning. doi: 10.1177/1541344620986218 Kuyken, W., Weare, K., Ukoumunne, O., Vicary, R., Motton, N., ... and Huppert, F. (2013) 'Effectiveness of the mindfulness in schools Programme: non-randomised controlled feasibility study', The British Journal of Psychiatry, 203(2), pp. 126–131.

Kyza, E.A. (2017) 'Technologyenhanced learning: a learning sciences perspective', in Spector, M.J., Lockee, B.B. and Childress, M.D. (eds.) Learning, design, and technology: an international compendium of theory, research, practice, and policy. Cham: Springer International Publishing, pp. 1–24.

Lamm, Z. (1986) 'Ideologies and educational thought', in Bar-Tal, D. (ed.), Psychology and counseling in education. Jerusalem: Israel Ministry of Education, pp. 5–19.

Lengyel, A., Szőke, S., Kovács, S., Bába, E.B. and Müller, A. (2019) 'Assessing the essential pre-conditions of an authentic sustainability curriculum', International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, 20(2), pp. 309–340.

Lin, J., Oxford, R.L. and Brantmeier, E.J. (eds.) (2013) ReEnvisioning higher education: embodied pathways to wisdom and social transformation. Charlote, NC: IAP.

Lipman, M. (2003) Thinking in education. Boston: Cambridge University Press. Macgilchrist, F. (2018) 'Cruel optimism in Edtech: when the digital data practices of educational technology providers inadvertently hinder educational equity', Learning, Media and Technology, 44(1), pp. 77–86.

MacIntyre, A. (2013) After virtue. London: Bloomsbury Academic.

Marx, K. (2000) Karl Marx: selected writings. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Mason, M. (2008) 'Complexity theory and the philosophy of education', Educational Philosophy and Theory, 40, pp. 4–18.

Mayer, M. (2004) 'What can we do in schools for ESD? Reflections and proposals from the ENSI International Network', in Quality environmental education in schools for a sustainable society, proceeding of an international seminar and workshop on environmental education (25-26 August 2004). Cheongju, Korea: Cheongju National University of Education, pp. 135–151.

Mayer, R.E. (1977) Thinking and problem solving: an introduction to human cognition and learning. Glenview, IL: Scott, Foresman.

Mayseless, O. (2015) The caring motivation: an integrated theory. London: Oxford University Press.

McLaughlin, T. (1992) 'Citizenship, diversity and education: a philosophical perspective', The Journal of Moral Education, Special Issue: Citizenship and Diversity, 21(3), pp. 235–250.

Meyer, H. and Boyd, W.L. (2001) (eds.) Education between state, markets, and civil society: comparative perspectives. Abingdon: Routledge.

MGIEP (2020) Rethinking learning – a review of social and emotional learning for education systems. Summary for decision makers. New Delhi: UNESCO. Available at: https://d1c337161ud3pr.cloudfront. net/files%2F826f3ee4-e808-44e3-9da6-49c2c744e0c9_Rethinking%20 Learning%20SDM.pdf.

Mogensen, F. and Mayer, M. (2005) ECO-schools trends and divergences: a comparative study on ECO-school development processes in 13 countries. Vienna: Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Dept. V/11c, Environmental Education Affairs.

Morin, E. (1992) Toward a study of humankind: the nature of nature (vol. 1). New York: Pete Lang.

Morrison, K. (2008) 'Educational philosophy and the challenge of complexity theory', Educational Philosophy and Theory, 40, pp. 19–34. Newfield, C. (2012) 'Ending the budget wars: funding the humanities during a crisis in higher education', Profession, 1, pp. 272–286.

Nickerson, R.S., Perkins, D.N. and Smith, E.E. (2014) The teaching of thinking. London: Routledge.

Noble, K.G., Houston, S.M., Brito, N.H., Bartsch, H., Kan, E., ... and Schork, N.J. (2015) 'Family income, parental education and brain structure in children and adolescents', Nature Neuroscience, 18(5), pp.773–778.

Noddings, N. (2003) Happiness and education. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Noddings, N. (2003) 'Is teaching a practice?', Journal of Philosophy of Education, 37(2), pp. 241–251.

Noddings, N. (2006) Critical lessons: what our schools should teach. Boston: Cambridge University Press.

Nussbaum, M. (1994) 'Patriotism and cosmopolitanism', in Brown, G.W. and Held, D. (eds.) The cosmopolitan reader. Cambridge and Malden, MA: Polity Press, pp. 155–162.

Nussbaum, M. (2010) Not for profit: why democracy needs the humanities. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. O'Neill, G. and McMahon, T. (2005) 'Student-centred learning: what does it mean for students and lecturers', in O'Neill, G., Moore, S. and McMullin, B. (eds.)Emerging issues in the practice of university learning and teaching. Dublin: AISHE, pp. 27–36.

OECD (2018) Education at a glance: OECD indicator. Paris: OECD Publishing.

Orr, D.W. (2017) 'Foreword', in Jickling, B. and Sterling, S. (eds.) Post-sustainability and environmental education: remaking education for the future. Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. xii–xi.

Osler, A. and Starkey, H. (2005) Changing citizenship: democracy and inclusion in education. Maidenhead: Open University Press.

Owusu, G. (2010) 'Social effects of poor sanitation and waste management on poor urban communities: a neighborhoodspecific study of Sabon Zongo, Accra', Journal of Urbanism, 3(2), pp.145–160.

Palmer, P.J. (1998) The courage to teach: exploring the inner landscape of a teacher's life. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Pan, S.L. and Zhang, S. (2020) 'From fighting COVID-19 pandemic to tackling sustainable development goals: an opportunity for responsible information systems research', International Journal of Information Management, 55, 102196.

R E F E R E N C E S

Panadero, E., Jonsson, A. and Botella, J. (2017) 'Effects of self-assessment on self-regulated learning and self-efficacy: four meta-analyses', Educational Research Review, 22, pp. 74–98.

Parsons, T. (1985) Talcott Parsons on institutions and social evolution: selected writings. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Peters, R.S. (ed.) (2010) The concept of education (International library of the philosophy of education vol.17). Abingdon: Routledge.

Pinar, W. (2014) Curriculum: toward new identities. London: Routledge.

Pinar, W. and Grumet, M.R. (1976) Toward a poor curriculum. Debuque, Iowa: Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company.

Posch, P. (1991) 'The educational perspective', in CERI/OECD, Environment, school and active learning. Paris: OECD, pp. 97–103.

Posch, P. (1999) 'The ecologisation of schools and its implications for educational policy', Cambridge Journal of Education, 29(3), pp. 341–348.

Postman, N. (2011) The end of education: redefining the value of school. New York: Vintage. Pressley, M. and McCormick, C. (1995) Cognition, teaching, and assessment. New York: HarperCollins College Publishers.

Proulx, J., Croff, R., Hebert, M. and Oken, B. (2020) 'Results of a mindfulness intervention feasibility study among elder African American women: a qualitative analysis', Complementary Therapies in Medicine, 52. doi:10.1016/j. ctim.2020.102455

Purser, R. (2019) McMindfulness: how mindfulness became the new capitalist spirituality. London: Repeater Books.

Quaynor, L. (2012) 'Citizenship education in post-conflict contexts: a review of the literature', Education, Citizenship and Social Justice, 7(1), pp.33–57.

Radford, M. (2008) 'Prediction, control and the challenge to complexity', Oxford Review of Education, 34, pp. 505–520.

Rashedi, R.N. and Schonert-Reichl, K.A. (2019) 'Yoga and willful embodiment: a new direction for improving education', Educational Psychology Review, 31, pp. 725–734.

Reiss, M. and White, J. (2013) An aimsbased curriculum: the significance of human flourishing for schools. London: IoE Press. Repetto, E., Pena, M., Mudarra, M.J. and Uribarri, M. (2007) 'Guidance in the area of socio-emotional competencies for secondary students in multicultural contexts', Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology, 5(1), pp. 159–178.

Roderick, L. and Merculieff, I. (2013) Stop talking. Anchorage: University of Alaska Press.

Roeser, R.W. (2014) 'The emergence of mindfulness-based interventions in educational settings', Motivational Interventions, 18, pp. 379–419.

Roeser, R.W. and Peck, S.C. (2009) 'An education in awareness: self, motivation, and self-regulated learning in contemplative perspective', Educational Psychologist, 44(2), pp.119–136.

Room, G. (2011) Complexity, institutions and public policy – agile decision-making in a turbulent world. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Rose, D. (2005) 'An indigenous philosophical ecology: situating the human', The Australian Journal of Anthropology, 16(3), pp.294–305.

Roth, H.D. (2006) 'Contemplative studies: prospects for a new field', Teachers College Record, 108(9), pp.1787–1815.

Rousseau, J.J. (1762/2001) Emile. London: Everyman.

Sapolsky, R.M. (2017) Behave: the biology of humans at our best and worst. London: Penguin.

Savery, J.R. and Duffy, T.M. (1995) 'Problem based learning: an instructional model and its constructivist framework', Educational Technology, 35(5), pp. 31–38.

Schnack, K. (1998) 'Why focus on conflicting interests in environmental education?', in Ahlberg, M. and Filho, W.L. (eds.) Environmental education for sustainability: good environment, good life. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang, pp. 83–96.

Schonert-Reichl, K.A. and Lawlor, M.S. (2010) 'The effects of a mindfulness-based education program on pre-and early adolescents' well-being and social and emotional competence', Mindfulness, 1(3), pp.137–151.

Schonert-Reichl, K.A. and Robert W.R. (eds.) (2016) Handbook of mindfulness in education: integrating theory and research into practice. New York: Springer.

Schooler, J.W., Smallwood, J., Christoff, K., Handy, T.C., Reichle, E.D. and Sayette, M.A. (2011) 'Meta-awareness, perceptual decoupling and the wandering mind', Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 15(7), pp. 319–326.

Schwab, J.J. (1982) Science, curriculum and liberal education: selected essays. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. Scitovsky, T. (1992) The joyless economy: the psychology of human satisfaction. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Senge, P. (2006) The fifth discipline. New York: Random House.

Shapiro, S.L., Lyons, K.E., Miller, R.C., Butler, B., Vieten, C. and Zelazo, P.D. (2015) 'Contemplation in the classroom: a new direction for improving childhood education', Educational Psychology Review, 27(1), pp. 1–30.

Siegel, D.J. (2015) The developing mind: how relationships and the brain interact to shape who we are. New York: Guilford Publications.

Singer, T. and Lamm, C. (2009) 'The social neuroscience of empathy', Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1156(1), pp. 81–96.

Smallwood, J. and Schooler, J.W. (2006) 'The restless mind', Psychological Bulletin, 132(6), pp. 946–958.

Spector, J.M. (ed.) (2015) The SAGE encyclopedia of educational technology. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.

Sterling, S. (2017) 'Assuming the future: repurposing education in a volatile age', in Jickling, B. and Sterling, S. (eds.) Post-sustainability and environmental education. Remaking education for the future. Cham, Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan, pp. 31–48. Stiglitz, J.E. and Greenwald. C.B. (2014) Creating a learning society: a new approach to growth, development, and social progress. New York: Columbia University Press.

Stock, B. (2006) 'The contemplative life and the teaching of the humanities', Teachers College Record, 108(9), pp.1760–1764.

Taylor, C. (1994) Multiculturalism: examining the politics of recognition. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Throsby, D. (2001) Economics and culture. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Turkle, S. (2017) Alone together: why we expect more from technology and less from each other. London: Hachette.

UNESCO (2004) United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development 2005–2014: draft international implementation scheme. Paris: UNESCO.

UNESCO (2005) United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development 2005–2014: international implementation scheme. Paris: UNESCO.

Van Poeck, K., Goeminne, G. and Vandenabeele, J. (2016) 'Revisiting the democratic paradox of environmental and sustainability education: sustainability issues as matters of concern', Environmental Education Research, 22(6), pp. 806–826.

R E F E R E N C E S

Wals, A.E. (2010) 'Between knowing what is right and knowing that is it wrong to tell others what is right: on relativism, uncertainty and democracy in environmental and sustainability education', Environmental Education Research, 16(1), pp. 143–151.

Wals, A.E. (2011) 'Learning our way to sustainability', Journal of Education for Sustainable Development, 5(2), pp. 177–186.

Wammes, J. D., Seli, P., Cheyne, J. A., Boucher, P. O. and Smilek, D. (2016) 'Mind wandering during lectures II: relation to academic performance', Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in Psychology, 2(1), pp. 33–48.

Weare, K. (2019) 'Mindfulness and contemplative approaches in education', Current Opinion in Psychology, 28, pp. 321–326.

Weinstein, H.M., Freedman, S.W. and Hughson, H. (2007) 'School voices: challenges facing education systems after identity-based conflicts', Education, Citizenship and Social Justice, 2(1), pp.41–71.

Weissberg, R.P., Durlak, J.A., Domitrovich, C.E. and Gullotta, T.P. (2015) 'Social and emotional learning: past, present, and future, in Durlak, J.A., Domitrovich, C.E., Weissberg, R.P. and Gullotta, T.P. (eds.) Handbook of social and emotional learning: research and practice. New York: Guilford Publications, pp. 3–20. Westheimer, J. and Kahne, J. (2004) 'What kind of citizen? The politics of educating for democracy', American Educational Research Journal, 41(2), pp. 237–269.

White, J.P. (2011) Exploring well-being in schools: a guide to making children's lives more fulfilling. London: Taylor & Francis.

Wineburg, S. (2018) Why learn history (when it's already on your phone). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Wineburg, S. and Reisman, A. (2015) 'Disciplinary literacy in history: a toolkit for digital citizenship', Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, 58(8), pp. 636–639.

Yates, L. and Young, M. (2010) 'Globalisation, knowledge and the curriculum', European Journal of Education, 45(1), pp. 4–10.

Young, M. (2013) 'Overcoming the crisis in curriculum theory: a knowledge-based approach', Journal of Curriculum Studies, 45(2), pp. 101–118.

Zajonc, A. (2006) 'Love and knowledge: recovering the heart of learning through contemplation', Teachers College Record, 108(9), pp. 1742–1759.

Zimmerman, B.J. (1990) 'Self-regulated learning and academic achievement: an overview', Educational Psychologist, 25(1),pp. 3–17. Zimmerman, B.J. (2002) 'Becoming a self-regulated learner: an overview', Theory into Practice, 41(2), pp.64–70.



WORKING Group 01

CHAPTER



Human flourishing in schools

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56383/FOQI1067

This chapter should be cited as:

Flook, L., O'Toole, C., Ritter, J. and Schonert-Reichl, K. A. (2022) 'Human flourishing in schools' in Duraiappah, A.K., van Atteveldt, N.M., Borst, G., Bugden, S., Ergas, O., Gilead, T., Gupta, L., Mercier, J., Pugh, K., Singh, N.C. and Vickers, E.A. (eds.) Reimagining Education: The International Science and Evidence based Assessment. New Delhi: UNESCO MGIEP.
ducation for human flourishing needs to foster a range of human capacities. Three relationship levels are emphasized:1) relationships with other people; 2) relationships with ourselves; and 3) relationships to knowledge or subject matter. In doing so, we argue that education for 2030 and beyond cannot focus solely on maximizing individual cognitive potential, or simply imparting the technical know-how to be successful in the labour market. Schools and educators have a responsibility and important role in promoting values of inclusion, equality, participation and democracy through cultivating capacities at each of these three levels. These relational capacities support the capacity to make ethically informed decisions and actions that improve individual and collective flourishing, and include being able to: tune in to one's own emotions, thoughts and feelings; understand others' perspectives; develop compassion for self and others; resolve conflicts peacefully; and engage critically with subject matter.

Coordinating Lead Authors

Lisa Flook

Catriona O'Toole

Jason Ritter

Kimberley A. Schonert-Reichl



CHAPTER



5.1

<u>Overview and</u> orientation of chapter

Education and, by extension, schools have traditionally embraced a Cartesian view of the self; in other words, a sense of self as fully autonomous, rational, self-contained and largely

separable from the social and physical environment. With this assumption of the fundamental separateness of human beings, a primary goal of schooling was to support students to 'think for themselves': the individual mind was primary and relations between people were secondary or optional. Moreover, traditional schooling has tended to privilege cognitive advancement (rationality) over emotions, feelings and personal experiences. Curriculum content has tended to be taught objectively - at arm's length from students' own lives and experiences (Palmer, 1983; Zajonc, 2009; Barbezat and Bush, 2014). All of this has served to reinforce a range of fundamental separations, including between self and other, reason and emotion, mind and body, and so on (O'Toole and Simovska).

Yet, it is our embodied engagement with the world that orients us and gives meaning to the situations that we encounter. In this chapter, we draw on a range of perspectives that recognize the profoundly interdependent, dynamic and emergent nature of human development and interaction. As shown in **WG1-ch3**, it is increasingly

recognized that human beings exist intrinsically as embodied beings, and mental functions such as perception, cognition and emotion cannot be fully understood without reference to the physical body as well as the social and material environment in which they are experienced (e.g. Gibson, 1979; Varela et al., 1991; Damasio, 2000; Linell, 2009; Cromby, **2015)**. Our being in the world is thoroughly interdependent with the existence of others, in that our experiences, actions, thoughts and utterances are bound up with those of other people and shaped by our perceptual grasp of what the physical and social environment affords. Thus, when students and teachers are engaged in thinking, talking, reading or trying to understand, they are interacting with each other, but also with the contributions and knowledge of other people, contexts and cultures. We include a vignette toward the end of this chapter that demonstrates the impact of a relational orientation in the real-life experiences of students and their community.

In line with the definitions of education and flourishing set out



... schools seeking to promote human flourishing need to place relationships at their centre. in previous chapters, we argue that schools seeking to promote human flourishing need to place relationships at their centre. In the following section, we highlight the importance of relationships at three intertwined levels: 1) relationships with ourselves (or intrapersonal relationships); 2) relationships with other people (or interpersonal relationships); and 3) relationships to knowledge, subject matter or curriculum content. These three relationship levels dovetail with those discussed in WG1-ch4. Specifically, WG1-ch4 highlights six curricular domains for flourishing: environment, culture, society, technology, interpersonal and self. Our 'Relationships with Others' and 'Relationships with Ourselves' align perfectly with their fifth and sixth domains respectively. However, we have collapsed their first four curricular domains (environment, culture, science and technology) and we deal with these together under 'Relationship to Curriculum Knowledge'. In doing so, we do not suggest each element is unimportant in its own right; however, we are

interested in exploring curriculum domains in a more holistic way. We seek to explore the nature of students' relationships with subject knowledge broadly, in ways that can be applied across subject areas. By emphasizing three relationship levels, we argue that education for 2030 and beyond cannot just be about maximizing individual cognitive potential, or about imparting the technical know-how to be successful in the labour market. At the school level, education for human flourishing needs to foster a range of additional capacities, such as the capacity to tune in to one's own emotions, thoughts and feelings, to develop compassion for self and others, to understand another person's perspective, to resolve conflicts peacefully, to engage critically with subject matter and for ethically informed decisions and actions to improve individual and collective flourishing. These capacities are crucial for supporting students to respond to the question of how human beings can live well together on the planet with its finite resources.

5.1.1

INTRAPERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS (RELATIONSHIP WITH OURSELVES)

Traditional classrooms tend to engage students in knowledge about the world outside of themselves (Ergas, 2017). They are educated about mathematics or history, but have little opportunity to engage with how their minds actually work (Ergas, 2017). Gilbert and Choden (2015) note that our minds are often 'tricky, troublesome' and difficult to cope with. The human mind has evolved over millennia to respond to threats and challenges to survival; that we sometimes have trouble coping with the demands of contemporary living is to be expected. Yet, in traditional classrooms, academic achievement takes precedence over emotional awareness and self-regulatory skills (Davidson et al., 2012). Gilbert and Choden (2015) argue that, given

what is now known about the human mind, this is nothing short of a tragedy and highlights just how much we orient education towards fulfilling the needs of the economy and society.

More broadly, many of our decisions and actions are guided not by pure rationality (if there is such a thing), but significantly influenced by non-conscious processes, emotions, feelings and sensations, and by our perceptual grasp of what the social and material world affords (Gibson, 1979; Varela et al., 1991; Damasio, 2010). This is not entirely problematic since it is well documented that people often make good decisions without conscious deliberation (Kahneman, 2003; Dijksterhuis et al., 2006). Nevertheless, our nonconscious decision-making is susceptible to powerful biases and social conditioning. For instance, how we interact with others is influenced by a large array of biases associated with gender, race, accents, attire and so on. Moreover, the setting of the interaction (formality, design, familiarity, etc.) will bring its own

Education for 2030 and beyond cannot just be about maximizing individual cognitive potential, or about imparting the technical know-how to be successful in the labour market



set of biases, and the concerns and emotions one is experiencing at the time of the interaction will also play an important role. Thus, our decisions and actions are powerfully shaped by often unquestioned and unconscious habitual ways of thinking. Rather than being governed by mind/ body processes about which we are unaware, learning something about how the mind/body shapes subjective experience and influences our actions in the world is an important component of education for the future (WG1-ch4; WG3-ch2; WG3-ch4).

Ergas (2017) discusses this in terms of the importance of an 'inner curriculum' in education, which might involve tending to students' subjective present moment experience, thereby facilitating a sense of integration between mind and body, between knowing and being, and between self and other. An education that invites students to attend to the undercurrent of subliminal thoughts/feelings/ sensations offers them greater understanding of human frailties and possibilities for greater agency over decisions and actions in their personal and civic lives.

There is now growing awareness that the cultivation of emotional balance and attentional skills is fundamental to successful learning and an increasing body of research showing that mindfulness and other contemplative methods can be effective in developing these attributes (Greenberg and Harris, 2012; Roeser and Pinela, 2014). The potential of mindfulness, social and emotional learning (SEL) and other mind/body approaches will be reviewed in section 5.4. Such approaches are not merely focused on individual skill sets or dispositions, but can also enhance empathy and compassionate connection to others, helping to foster ethical actions in the social and physical world (Zajonc, 2009).

There is now growing awareness that the cultivation of emotional balance and attentional skills is fundamental to successful learning.



5.1.2

INTERPERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS (RELATIONSHIPS WITH OTHERS)

Interpersonal relationships

incorporate the multiple humanto-human interactions between school personnel, between teachers and students, between students themselves, and at broader levels between school, family and community. The complexities that exist in the formation and maintenance of these relationships require recognition of the interrelatedness of individual, social and ecological



... care theory broadly suggests the need to understand that the emotional and rational dimensions of our being are intertwined rather than dichotomized or separated, thus challenging the dominant understanding of the fully autonomous self. systems (Pianta, Hamre and Allen, 2012). Nevertheless, as highlighted in WG1-ch4, strengthening the capacity for positive interpersonal relationships has not traditionally been prioritized as a central goal or purpose of schooling (Davidson et al., 2012; Schonert-Reichl and Roeser, 2016). We review extant research evidence in section 5.2 below. Firstly, we present some philosophical perspectives to inform our thinking about interpersonal relationships within the school context.

There are numerous philosophical perspectives on the nature of interpersonal relationships. Nel Noddings (2012, 2013) highlights the universal and inalienable need for care throughout one's life span. Along with other feminist and multicultural theorists (e.g. Gilligan, Walker), she asserts that children, women and men exist in relationships, but that dominant Western culture tends to underemphasize relationships and overemphasize independence and separation. She sees education as being central to the cultivation of caring in society. The teacher

as the carer is concerned with the expressed needs of the cared-for child, rather than the assumed needs of the school or the prescribed curriculum of study (Noddings, 2012). Moreover, care theory broadly suggests the need to understand that the emotional and rational dimensions of our being are intertwined rather than dichotomized or separated, thus challenging the dominant understanding of the fully autonomous self. Care theory thus recognizes the significance of students' emotional or affective development in schools, and challenges the traditional (over-)emphasis on academic achievement.

Martin Buber's (1962) dialogical philosophy provides an orientation for exploring the nature of interpersonal relationships in his famous I-Thou and I-It relational orientations. I-thou is a relation of subject-to-subject, while I-it is a relation of subject-to-object. As human beings, we strive for interpersonal relationships where I is understood in relation to You and vice versa. I-it involves

Martin Buber's dialogical philosophy provides an orientation for exploring the nature of interpersonal relationships in his famous I-Thou and I-It relational orientations. distancing, whereby we separate ourselves from the other. Buber (1962, p. xiv) says, 'I-Thou is a relationship of openness, directness, mutuality, and presence' while 'I-It is the typical subject-object relationship, in which one knows and uses other persons or things without allowing them to exist for themselves in their uniqueness'. It is all too easy for human relationships to be characterized by I-It interaction (Morgan et al., 2015) and this is something that needs to be carefully attended to, especially within the school context.

The term intersubjectivity is often used to refer to shared experiences between individuals or groups. It is a fundamental component of social communication and the new experiences that result from a shared experience (Linell, 2009). However, other people often come with a perspective that is different from one's own. That is, in addition to the emphasis on mutuality and reciprocity, relationships are often characterized by strains and tensions, differences between

people and traditions, and boundaries between communities, knowledge, norms and expectations. Buber concentrates on the close relationship between I and thou and their possibly communion-like interaction. In contrast, Bakhtin (1986) introduces the notion of alterity to acknowledge what is strange, unknown or different about the other. Communication requires respect for different, sometimes even alien, points of view, prompting reflection and thereby possibly enriching collective knowledge. This focus on alterity is important in school contexts as they provide a space for acknowledging differences of perspective and opinion, asymmetries, and argumentation, competition and conflict, misunderstandings and misalignments.

Others focus more explicitly on power differentials within relationships. When teachers and students engage in interaction, their contributions do not carry the same weight; there is a power differential. Power is not always





negative as is evident in the case of scaffolding – when the more knowledgeable teacher supports the less knowledgeable student within their zone of proximal development – thereby, in effect, empowering them. However, Freire (1970) highlights that in traditional classrooms students can be 'dehumanized' and treated as objects, as vessels to be filled, rather than human beings in their own right. Paulo Freire's critical pedagogy explores the socio-political context where equality and de-socialization are foundational values. For Freire and other critical educational theorists (e.g. hooks, 1994; Giroux, 2020), education should foster



Freire highlights that in traditional classrooms students can be 'dehumanized' and treated as objects, as vessels to be filled, rather than human beings in their own right democratic dialogue situated in the learner's reality, supporting the development of a critical consciousness, whereby learners become aware of the world they live in, critically consider the forces that vie for power, and discover how they can participate in the transformation of their world.

5.1 .3

RELATIONSHIPS WITH CURRICULUM KNOWLEDGE / WORLD KNOWLEDGE

Education remains heavily biased toward third-person learning, such that students learn about subject matter in an objective way, as though the knowledge is 'out there', separate from themselves (Barbezat and Bush, 2014). Palmer (2017) asserts that traditional pedagogies keep students at arm's length from the subject matter they study, creating a wall of separation between the knower and the known. This, in turn, creates an ethical gap between the educated person and the world that is inevitably impacted by their actions. First-person and contemplative pedagogies can begin to bridge this rift between knower and known. We explore first-person approaches and the wider contribution of curriculum and pedagogy to human flourishing in section 5.3 below. Having provided a tentative orientation for this chapter, throughout the following sections we review literature and discuss how education can enhance these fundamental relationships.

This section addresses interpersonal relationships with a focus on proximal relationships including teacher– student relationships and peer relationships. It also discusses broader school, family and community relationships. We consider the school as a complex dynamic system, emphasizing whole school approaches and the transformative potential of schools.



CHAPTER

5.2



Relationships with others: school relationships for flourishing

5.2.1

TEACHER-STUDENT AND PEER RELATIONSHIPS

In most of the world, children and young people spend a large proportion of their waking hours at school. Their relationships with teachers are crucial not only for their engagement in school, but also for their wellbeing outside of school (Eccles et al., 1993). A robust body of literature highlights that teacherchild relationships influence socio-emotional and cognitive development as early as preschool and continue to influence students' social and intellectual capacities throughout childhood and adolescence (WG3-ch4). Students who report better quality teacher-student relationships are more likely to have higher levels

of psychological engagement, academic achievement and school attendance and reduced levels of disruptive behaviours, suspension and dropout (Lan and Lanthier, 2003; Lee and Burkam, 2003; Barile et al., 2012).

Researchers in this area demonstrate that through their day-to-day interactions, teachers influence the quality of students' social, emotional and intellectual experiences by addressing children's need to belong (Pianta, 2006; Wentzel, 1997, 1998) by providing classroom contexts that stimulate children's motivation and learning (Barile, et al., 2012; Quin, **2017**), by fostering a social identity (Whitaker, 2020), and by supporting the development of children's emotional regulation, behavioural and academic skills (Hughes, 2012: Wang, Brinkworth and Eccles, 2013; Yowell and Smylie, 1999).

Furthermore, positive relationships matter, not just for students, but for teachers as well (Claessens et al., 2017). Positive relationships with students, in which high levels of affiliation prevail, afford teachers internal rewards and give meaning to their work. Indeed, they are mentioned as one of the primary reasons for teachers to stay in the profession (O'Connor, 2008; Veldman et al., 2013) and one of the most important sources of enjoyment of, and motivation for, teaching (Hargreaves, 2000).

There are numerous theoretical perspectives on studentteacher relationships, including attachment, motivation and systems perspectives, although many of these ways of thinking about the nature and impact of student-teacher relationships share a great deal of conceptual overlap and should not be considered mutually exclusive (Pianta, **1999).** For instance, attachment perspectives suggest that, as the interim adult caregiver, a teacher's relationship with their students is one of providing a secure base for exploration of the school environment (Ainsworth et al., 1979). In this way, a feeling of mutual affection between teacher and student may promote student engagement and buffer against negative emotions such as boredom, frustration and anxiety (Wentzel, 1997). Teachers may also

Positive relationships with students, in which high levels of affiliation prevail, afford teachers internal rewards and give meaning to their work.



The more that teachers are able to meet students' interpersonal need for relatedness - such as ensuring students feel cared for, supported and emotionally connected - the more they are likely to simultaneously support students' intellectual and academic needs. serve as significant attachment figures for students who have experienced relational trauma or instability in family life (**Bergin and Bergin**, **2009**). Attachment theory highlights how students' relationships in school may be influenced by their attachment histories with primary caregivers, which shape students' beliefs about the nature of interpersonal interaction. These beliefs may in turn shape students' engagement and participation in the classroom.

From a motivation perspective, both Maslow (1954) and Deci and Ryan (2001) highlight that relatedness or social belonging is a basic psychological need, which influences intrinsic motivation, self-regulation and well-being (Deci. 2009: WG1-ch3). The more that teachers are able to meet students' interpersonal need for relatedness - such as ensuring students feel cared for, supported and emotionally connected - the more they are likely to simultaneously support students' intellectual and academic needs (Allen and Kern, 2017; Wentzel and Wigfield, 1998).

Relationships with peers are also

of central importance to children throughout childhood and adolescence (Wentzel, 2017). They provide a source of companionship and entertainment, help in solving problems, personal validation and emotional support, and a foundation for identity development. Children who enjoy positive relationships with peers appear to experience levels of emotional well-being, beliefs about the self and values for prosocial forms of behaviour and social interaction that are stronger and more adaptive than do children without positive peer relationships. An additional finding is that children who enjoy positive relationships with their peers also tend to be engaged in, and even excel at, academic tasks more than those who have peer relationship problems. For instance, Ladd and Coleman (1997) find that the number of mutual friendships children have in their classrooms predicts changes in school attitudes (gains) over time.

An important factor in fostering positive peer relationships is promoting respect for diversity within the school environment.

identity by the school community. A feeling on the part of young people that their individual identities (including ethnic, cultural and religious identities) are known, understood and accepted prompted a wider sense of peer acceptance and 'fitting in' at school. For instance, Booker's (2007) investigation of sense of belonging for African-American high school students examines the extent to which students 'felt that they could freely be themselves at their school and at the same time be a welcome member' (**p. 310**).

Craggs and Kelly (2018) link

and acceptance of individual

students' sense of school belonging

Irrespective of the different theoretical positions, what is apparent from the above overview is that there exists a consilience of empirical and conceptual literature from across diverse fields, which attests to the centrality of relationships for both student and teacher well-being. The basic desire for relatedness, recognized in educational research, corresponds with the stance that human beings are fundamentally relational beings. There are many important implications for school practices. Strengthening the capacity for meaningful relationships needs to be a central goal of schooling for 2030 and beyond. This means placing compassion and connection at the centre of school practices, nurturing empathy and trust, creating a felt sense of safety and belonging at school, and responding to problems by using restorative and relationship enhancing approaches, rather than relying on coercive or controlling disciplinary approaches.

In this section, the relationship to knowledge is explored, highlighting the connection that invariably exists between school and society. As Feinberg and Soltis (2004, p. 10) note, 'Schools are a human invention. They have a history. They change forms either in reaction to social forces or because of our conscious attempt to change them'. This suggests the powerful influence of social context on how schools are structured and how they

Strengthening the capacity for meaningful relationships needs to be a central goal of schooling for 2030 and beyond. This means placing compassion and connection at the centre of school practices.



CHAPTER



5.3

Relationships with knowledge: flourishing through what and how students learn in school

function, at the same time as it points toward the potential of

conscientious educators to shape schooling in positive, and possibly

'Schools are a human invention. They have a history. They change forms either in reaction to social forces or because of our conscious attempt to change them' (Feinberg and Soltis, 2004, p.10). divergent, ways toward previously unimagined futures. To the latter point, Vinson and Ross (2001, p. 52) argue 'the key to the curriculum experienced in the classroom is the teacher'.

In light of the influence of social context on education, individuals have sought to explain and understand the relationship between school and society in disparate ways, including:

- functionalism, wherein schools are viewed as the primary instruments for meeting the demands of modern political, social and economic life;

- conflict theory, wherein schools are viewed as important instruments in the never-ending struggle between different groups to hold power and status; and

- interpretivism, wherein global arguments about the role of schools in society are discarded in favour of culture-bound frameworks of particular schools that influence how and why individuals act in certain ways in those contexts.

Interestingly, despite the widely varying nature of these understandings of the purpose of schools, each shares certain commonalities. For instance, there is always a curriculum to be taught, usually rooted in academic disciplines. There is also invariably a hidden curriculum (Jackson, 1968; Longstreet and Shane, 1993), wherein the emphasis of instruction and the ways in which students are taught or treated take on immense significance. Student learning, consequently, takes place both directly and indirectly.

5.3.1

ON SCHOOLS AS A Conduit of student Relationships with Knowledge

Bruner (1996, p. 27) notes how



... in order to effectively teach for human flourishing, teachers must consider the appropriate subject matter for their lessons, the most beneficial pedagogical methods in which to engage their students and how to manage their classrooms in relation to their purpose. 'School curricula and classroom "climates" always reflect inarticulate cultural values as well as explicit plans; and these values are never far removed from considerations of social class. gender, and the prerogatives of social power'. Drawing from Dewey's (1923, 1938) work around experience and education, Singer (2003, p. 69) notes how students learn 'from the full spectrum of their experiences in school, not just the specific thing they are studying in class. They learn from what they are studying, how they are studying, who they are studying with, and how they are treated'. Hence, in order to effectively teach for human flourishing, teachers must consider the appropriate subject matter for their lessons, the most beneficial pedagogical methods in which to engage their students and how to manage their classrooms in relation to their purpose.

As established in the previous chapter, curriculum is a broad term that can engender a wide range of meanings. At the narrow end of the spectrum,

formal schooling usually involves an overt, explicit or written curriculum. This is the intentional instructional agenda that primarily draws from subject areas to provide students with knowledge and skills deemed important. There is often an imbalance here in terms of the degree to which this curriculum focuses on the transmission of standard and socially acceptable views, attitudes and behaviours in any given society, and the degree to which the focus is on the transformation of the learner through critical thinking, reflective inquiry and questioning and critiquing the status quo.

Since disciplinary knowledge represents the bedrock for much of the overt, explicit or written curriculum, the concept needs to be unpacked. To that end, disciplinary knowledge is often thought to refer to the unique ways disciplinary experts 'create, disseminate, and evaluate knowledge' (Shanahan and Shanahan, 2008, p. 48). As the de facto 'gold standard' for addressing and solving various societal problems,



As the de facto 'gold standard' for addressing and solving various societal problems, many think an important aim of schooling is to provide students with scaffolded practice in 'saying(writing)-doing beingvaluing-believing' (Gee, 1996) like recognized members of a discipline. many think an important aim of schooling is to provide students with scaffolded practice in 'saying(writing)-doing-beingvaluing-believing' (Gee, 1996) like recognized members of a discipline.

This belief in the power of disciplinary knowledge leads students to study subjects like mathematics, science, history, literature and languages from early on in their formal schooling. There is general consensus that these disciplines must be simplified for younger students to be able to engage, but even that concern has been addressed through concepts like the spiral curriculum bolstered by Bruner's (1960, p. 33) assertion that 'any subject can be taught effectively in some intellectually honest form to any child, at any stage of development,' and that schools waste time by postponing 'difficult' topics. Embedded in the study of these disciplines seems to be the assumption that students will actively approach societal and even global problems and issues using disciplinary tools and concepts to produce knowledge,

reach conclusions and make judgement, while also formulating their own stance on these issues. But such assumptions about the place of schools in helping students to form relationships with disciplinary knowledge – and how it is constructed – often do not seem to have a firm basis in what actually goes on in many contemporary schools, as will be discussed in the next section.

ON HOW WELL SCHOOLS ARE FACILITATING STUDENT RELATIONSHIPS WITH KNOWLEDGE

Education remains heavily biased toward third-person learning, such that students learn about subject matter in an objective way, as though the knowledge is 'out there', separate from themselves (Palmer, 1983). This in turn may create an ethical gap between the educated person and the world that is inevitably impacted by their actions. Framed another way, Newmann (1990, p. 44) describes the problem of authenticity and thoughtfulness, or the lack thereof, in school classrooms as



... there is evidence that the growing, seemingly global, emphasis on standards and standardized testing tends to foster acceptance of the notion of instruction as knowledge transmission, while the emphasis on accountability tends to compel teachers to engage in broad superficial content coverage.

follows: 'At best, much classroom activity fails to challenge students to use their minds in any valuable way; at worst, much classroom activity is nonsensical or mindless'. Essentially, Newmann (p. 44) argues that this situation is unacceptable precisely because it does little to guide students in how to apply knowledge, or how to be thoughtful, when faced with non-routine challenges. Similarly, other researchers find that students often report feeling bored and unmotivated to participate in the learning opportunities typically provided to them in school (Eccles, Lord and Midgely, 1991; Eccles et al., 1993; Jackson and Davis, 2000; Macklem, 2015; Cappella, Aber and Kim, 2016).

Further, there is evidence that the growing, seemingly global, emphasis on standards and standardized testing tends to foster acceptance of the notion of instruction as knowledge transmission, while the emphasis on accountability tends to compel teachers to engage in broad superficial content coverage (Darling-Hammond, 2004; NRC, 2012). Wineburg (1997) claims that this emphasis is problematic because both are based on a view of knowledge that has its roots in behaviourism. This view of knowledge rests on two increasingly controversial assumptions. The first, 'decomposibility', views knowledge as an aggregation of independent units, or 'bonds,' between a stimulus and a response' (Wineburg, 1997, p. 256). This assumption bolsters support for misguided 'banking' models of learning, whereby knowledge can simply be deposited with students (Freire, 1970, 1993). The second behaviourist assumption regarding the nature of knowledge, 'decontextualization', centres on 'the notion that the skill or knowledge one learns remains constant regardless of context' (Wineburg, 1997, p. 256). As such, once something is learned, it can supposedly be recalled and successfully employed in any other situation. Both of these behaviourist assumptions regarding the nature of knowledge encourage teachers to think of instruction as the simple



transmission of information as opposed to deeper, more meaningful inquiries with their students.

At the broader end of the curriculum spectrum, how

teachers engage their students in learning and how they treat them as individuals also matters. Standards basically assert what is important to know without taking into consideration why it is important to know it. Westheimer and Kahne (2004)



effectively demonstrate that the ways in which curriculum is taught can send messages to students that reinforce the relative importance of certain values, such as being personally responsible, participating in one's community to effect change or taking a justice-oriented stance to work to change unjust social, political and/or economic systems. This is also true in how teachers approach diversity in their curriculum and/ or via the classroom and school environment. For instance, Nieto (1994) identifies four possible visions for multicultural education, including those grounded in monoculturalism, tolerance, acceptance, respect, and affirmation, solidarity and critique. By providing vignettes that describe how a school embracing each vision would actually look, distinctions become evident in several important areas, including the extent to which content is inclusive of the contributions, perspective and talents of women or those outside the cultural mainstream; pedagogy is flexible and marked by active methods that provide for student choice; fair and equitable disciplinary policies are developed; and governance structures are shared and allow for community involvement. The choices teachers and schools make along these lines can send implicit messages to students about what matters, and the roles they are expected to play within societal structures. Nieto (1994, p. 69) calls for providing students with apprenticeships 'in democracy and social justice' noting how it would be unrealistic to expect students 'to be able to function in a pluralistic society if all we give them are skills for a monocultural future' (p. 69). Finally, even the absence of topics or various methods of learning can send messages to students via the null curriculum (Eisner, 1994).

CURRICULUM AND PEDAGOGY FOR FLOURISHING

All of this begs the question of what kind of curriculum and pedagogy ought we consider to further human flourishing. **Dewey** (1938/1997) argues that one of the central problems in formal

The goal here is to help students understand that flourishing is not something that is simply achieved; 'one works at it continually (path), in concert with others (participation), and intentionally with others who are of different ideology, perspective, or culture (pluralism)'. education is that it usually fails to consider that the world that existed for one generation is not the same world that will exist for the next generation. According to Dewey (1923), 'Each generation is inclined to educate its young so as to get along in the present world instead of with a view to the proper end of education: the promotion of the best possible realization of humanity as humanity (p. 91)'. Rejecting clear distinctions between content as curriculum and pedagogy as teaching methods (Segall, 2004), which can lead to a passive education that seems largely detached from experience, below are holistic recommendations of emphases that might guide a curriculum for flourishing. The goal here is to help students understand that flourishing is not something that is simply achieved; 'one works at it continually (path), in concert with others (participation), and intentionally with others who are of different ideology, perspective, or culture (pluralism)' (Parker, 2008, p. 68).

To that end, mounting evidence

suggests that students, at any level of their schooling, are capable of engaging in tasks that require them to interpret, analyse, evaluate and synthesize knowledge (Bloom, 1956). This ability can be harnessed toward prompting students to actively engage in socially relevant tasks like crafting position papers on foreign policy (King et al., 2009), discussing controversial public issues (Hess, 2002) and constructing historical arguments and interpretations based on primary source evidence (Levstik and Barton, 1996; VanSledright, **2002**). Engaging in these kinds of tasks, especially while working with others who may have varying perspectives, emphasizes critical thinking 'designed to promote a transformation of some kind in the learner' (Thornton, 1994, p. 233). Still, Banks (2001, p. 74) maintains:

Academic knowledge and skills are essential in today's global society, but they are not sufficient. Students must also develop the knowledge, attitudes, and skills needed to interact positively with people from diverse groups and to participate

S CHAPTER



in the nation's civic life.

Stanley and Nelson (1994, p. 267) suggest the emphasis here might be more on facilitating 'the content, behaviours, and attitudes that question and critique standard and socially accepted views'.

Further to this, as discussed above, much of the overt,

Academic knowledge and skills are essential in today's global society, but they are not sufficient.

explicit or written curriculum of formal schooling is derived from disciplinary content and knowledge. Although the term 'discipline' suggests that clear fields of study exist and each is defined by its own discrete methods of inquiry, this is not always the case. Nelson (2001, p. 22) argues that 'those experienced in academic politics and those with intellectual interests beyond any individual topic of study recognize that academic disciplines are not obviously distinct areas of knowledge with clear boundaries and unique bodies of literature or modes of scholarly inquiry'. Foucault (1972, p. 224) went so far as to claim that 'disciplines constitute a system of control in the production of discourse, fixing its limits through the action of an identity taking the form of a permanent reactivation of the rules'. Regardless, one thing is clear. Individuals do not go out into the world and interact or try to engage with situations by only drawing from a single discipline or field of study at a time. Our engagement is holistic and not able to be predicted in advance.

For this reason, educators increasingly cite the value of interdisciplinary approaches to education. As established in WG1ch4, six curricular domains seem particularly relevant for students as they relate to the concept of human flourishing. These domains include environment, culture, society, technology, interpersonal and personal. Interdisciplinary instruction accounts for the overlapping nature of these domains insofar as it involves presenting knowledge of constituent disciplines and their relations, connections to other domains and uses in the everyday world. Generally intended as a complement to specialization, this kind of instruction is often justified as a preparatory method for people to consider factors together that are usually treated in isolation (Bailis, 2002). According to Haynes (2002, p.xv), the nature of these approaches requires one to 'move away from an absolutist conception of truth to a conception of truth that is situated, perspectival, and discursive and that informs and is informed by the investigator's own

:..... C H A P T E R



sense of self-authorship (p. xv)'. Interdisciplinary instruction, then, is not synonymous with a single process, set of skills, method or technique. Instead, 'it is concerned primarily with fostering in students a self-authorship and a situated, partial, and perspectival notion of knowledge that they can use to respond to complex questions, issues, or problems' (Haynes, p. xvi). Closely related to interdisciplinary instruction, inquiry based, problem based and project based approaches represent studentcentred approaches to holistic learning that offer choice and encourage meaning-making through active exploration, investigation and application of knowledge to new situations and problems. According to Darling-

Service learning typically involves a reciprocal relationship that meets or addresses a community need, purposefully integrates academic content into the experience, prompts students to question, explain and coconstruct solutions to various societal issues, and encourages participants to reflect and connect understandings to their personal perspectives.

Hammond et al. (2020, p. 100), the kind of learning that flows from these approaches requires 'strong self-regulation, executive functioning, and metacognitive skills; resourcefulness, perseverance, and resilience in the face of obstacles and uncertainty; the ability to learn independently; and curiosity, inventiveness, and creativity'. These approaches support higher-order thinking and the application of intrapersonal and interpersonal skills that are broadly applicable and relevant into adulthood (NRC, 2012). Shared learning approaches such as these provide opportunities for students to collaborate and learn from peers.

In keeping with the theme of being able to work together to respond to complex questions, issues or problems, there might also be good reason to think about how students can apply developing their knowledge and skills in the community outside of the school walls. One increasingly popular approach to this can be found in service learning. Service learning typically involves a reciprocal relationship that meets or addresses a community need, purposefully integrates academic content into the experience, prompts students to question, explain and co-construct solutions to various societal issues, and encourages participants to reflect and connect understandings to their personal perspectives (Novak et al., 2009). A major benefit of service learning is that it places teaching and learning directly in a social context, facilitating socially responsive knowledge (Conway, Amel and Gerwien, 2009). This can encourage metacognition and the development of transferable knowledge and skills to other kinds of contexts.



CHAPTER

5.4



Relationship to self: support for learners' social emotional wellbeing as a necessary condition for flourishing

In this section we turn to the intrapersonal level, with close attention to SEL and mindfulness as vehicles for flourishing. This inner dimension connects to both of the other levels of relationship, affecting relationships with others, as well as with knowledge and subject matter.

The potential for flourishing

applies to all children, and schools play an essential role. However, we must acknowledge the disparity in access to resources and exposure to trauma that are a reality for many. Sadly, not all children enter school with their basic needs like food and shelter - met on a regular basis. And some children experience a lack of physical and/ or psychological safety in their community and/or home. It is very challenging for a student to focus on learning when they have not eaten a nutritious meal or their family faces housing insecurity. Similarly, emotional and psychological distress also interfere with learning (Shankar and Park, 2016). The first step in closing the gaps is to ensure that these basic needs for food, shelter and safety are met through school and community resources.

Schools are a microcosm of the macrocosm of society that can disrupt or perpetuate inequities (Shedd, 2015; WG2-ch5). Educating children who will enter a world fraught with disparities and challenges requires a reimagining of what is possible. We talk about

modelling for children – but, to varying degrees in the United States (USA) and other developed countries, it is worth asking what we are modelling when we look at the budget for education compared to defence, the drastic difference in per pupil spending between affluent and impoverished communities, the global climate crisis, a prison system overcrowded and overrepresented by people of colour, a health care system that many have no access to, and a pharmaceutical industry that profits from people. We need to truly value education, not as a means of producing workers to feed the economy, but as humans who each have value and the potential to disrupt and reimagine a deficient system. Just as we track GDP, we can examine gaps in health and well-being, across racial groups and the socio-economic spectrum, as benchmarks of a nation's success.

To promote flourishing in children requires attending to their development holistically. Children's development is multifaceted, encompassing many

The potential for flourishing applies to all children, and schools play an essential role.



To promote flourishing in children requires attending to their development holistically. distinct yet related areas, including cognitive, physical, social, emotional and spiritual. Growth and development in any one area necessarily impact upon every other area (Diamond, 2007). Given the complex and interrelated nature of the development of this biopsychosocial organism that is a human being, it is to be expected that no single approach holds all the answers. In this section, we review approaches that address multiple dimensions of children's growth, with particular attention to social emotional capacities. These skills are sometimes referred to as non-academic or co-cognitive skills. We prefer the latter and will use the term co-cognitive where relevant, because these skills are inextricably linked to the process and outcomes of learning (WG3-ch4).

SEL offers a powerful tool to promote flourishing in education. It encompasses a host of cocognitive capacities essential to learning and well-being. These skills, including self and other awareness, responsible decisionmaking and relationship skills, are embedded and reinforced across domains from classrooms and schools to the home and community (CASEL, n.d.). SEL is taught in a variety of ways, including through explicit instruction, teacher modelling of behaviour and skills, and integration with academic subjects. According to a landmark meta-analysis that aggregated findings across 213 studies of universal schoolbased SEL programmes involving over 270,000 K-12 students, SEL instruction is most effective when taught by the classroom teacher and programme activities are sequenced, active, focused on social or personal skills and explicit in targeting SEL rather than general positive development (following the acronym SAFE; Durlak et al., 2011). SEL programmes showed benefits across a range of social and emotional outcomes and increased students' academic performance by 11 percentile points. A meta-analysis of followup effects from six months to eighteen years later found lasting benefits of SEL programmes in social-emotional skills and wellbeing that held across differences

in students' racial and socio economic background (Taylor et al., 2017).

The Collaborative for Social and Emotional Learning (CASEL) curates a clearinghouse of SEL programmes for different grades that are informed by research (CASEL, n.d.). Programmes tend to be more widely available for elementary age students, with fewer stand-alone SEL programmes available for upper grades. However, there is a recognition that SEL can and should be woven into academic instruction. For example, empathy can be practised through literature by understanding and taking on the perspective of different characters. Subject matter curricula like Facing History and Ourselves can promote greater social and personal awareness through exploring connections between historical and modern day events, in addition to empowering students to become advocates for social change. It is possible and necessary to cultivate SEL outside of formal programmes, a topic that will

be further explored later in this chapter.

A related emerging area is transformative SEL, which intersects with social justice and is concerned with advancing equity in access to resources and outcomes in education. Transformative SEL competencies focus on identity, intersectionality, agency, belonging and engagement as central to furthering socialemotional development and achieving equity in education (Jagers, Rivas-Drake and Williams, 2019). Strategies identified as promoting these transformative social and emotional competencies are culturally infused SEL skill development, project based learning and youth participatory action research. Also relevant to a discussion of equity are disciplinary approaches. Restorative practices for resolving conflict and behavioural issues offer an inclusive approach to counteract exclusionary, punitive measures that disproportionately marginalize students of colour. Research evidence suggests that restorative approaches

Transformative SEL competencies focus on identity, intersectionality, agency, belonging and engagement as central to furthering social emotional development and achieving equity in education.



teach students skills for conflict resolution and help students become better integrated into the school community (Wearmouth, McKinney and Glynn, 2007; Morrison, 2013).

Closely linked to a discussion of SEL is recognizing the relevance of emotion for learning and creating space for emotions like joy, interest and engagement to promote flourishing through education. The role of emotion in cognitive processing and behaviour is supported by multiple lines of research, including evidence from neuroscience and social and cognitive psychology (Immordino-Yang, Darling-Hammond and Krone, **2019**). Positive emotion facilitates memory and learning (Fredrickson and Branigan, 2005; Fredrickson, 2013). Therefore, creating conditions that allow for enthusiasm and curiosity to emerge is essential, for example, through interest driven learning (Edelson and Joseph, 2004). Another finding highlights the salience of interpersonal relationships for learning and eliciting positive affiliative emotions – as such, educators can cultivate

relationships with students and facilitate peer groups to deepen learning and social connections (Christenson and Havsy, 2004; Lieberman, 2012; Wentzel and Watkins, **2011).** A third finding is that self-transcendent emotions like compassion, gratitude and awe are linked to prosocial behaviour (Bai et al., 2017; Stellar et al., 2017) - finding ways to bring these emotions into the classroom may play a role in fostering a positive classroom climate. Another line of research from educational neuroscience posits that learning environments optimize social and emotional development by engaging students in three types of activities, each linked to a specific neural network: 1) attention and productivity on tasks; 2) reflection and meaning-making; and 3) making learning emotionally relevant (Immordino-Yang, Darling-Hammond and Krone, 2019).

Another potential approach for cultivating flourishing in education informed by neuroscience is mindfulness. Recognized for its impact on cognitive as well as affective

Closely linked to a discussion of SEL is recognizing the relevance of emotion for learning and creating space for emotions like joy, interest and engagement to promote flourishing through education.





dimensions of well-being, mindfulness has burgeoned over the last several decades in both the mainstream and as a topic of scientific inquiry (**Ergas** **and Hadar, 2019).** Mindfulness is sought after for its stress reducing and wellness enhancing effects. Mindfulness practices tap cognitive processes (attention and



In terms of research evidence, meta-analyses of mindfulness in school settings indicate beneficial impacts for students across cognitive, social and academic areas of functioning ... While research on mindfulness in education is still at a relatively early stage, the evidence base is growing.

executive functions) as well as social-emotional skills (prosocial behaviour, emotion awareness). The promise of mindfulness approaches recognizes that these are fundamental capacities for human flourishing. Furthermore, mindfulness and SEL can be applied in complementary ways (Schonert-Reichl, Hanson-Peterson and Hymel, 2015). A distinction that has been made is the relative emphasis on training inner capacities with mindfulness and outer capacities with SEL (Lantieri and Zakrezewski, 2015).

In terms of research evidence, meta-analyses of mindfulness in school settings indicate beneficial impacts for students across cognitive, social and academic areas of functioning. In a metaanalysis that included 24 studies in school settings, of which 13 were published, the largest effect sizes emerged for cognitive performance (g=.80), stress (g=.39), and resilience (g=.36)(Zenner, Herrnleben-Kurz and Walach, 2014). Another meta-analysis that included 76 school based studies examined follow-up results and

found that effects were stronger at follow-up than at post-test with estimates of effects ranging from g=.31-.32 at post-test and g=.40-.46 at follow-up (Klingbeil et al., 2017). This meta-analysis found the strongest effects for mindfulness (g=.51), metacognition and cognitive flexibility (g=.40), followed by emotion regulation (g=.32) and attention (g=.29) among factors categorized as process variables, and outcomes variables yielded the largest effects across academic achievement and school functioning (g=.39), internalizing problems (g=.39) and social competence and prosocial behaviours (g=.37). While research on mindfulness in education is still at a relatively early stage, the evidence base is growing.

Mindfulness has as its foundation awareness of breath, body, mind (thoughts and emotions) and phenomenal experience. The seven attitudes of non-judgement, patience, openness, trust, nonstriving, acceptance and letting go support mindfulness (Kabat-Zinn, 2013). Considerable research evidence has documented an

Mindfulness has as its foundation awareness of breath, body, mind (thoughts and emotions) and phenomenal experience. array of physical and mental health benefits, which has led mindfulness and its extensions to be applied in virtually every sector, including the field of education. Mindfulness programmes in school settings have been investigated across a range of ages from Pre-K to high school. Of 447 empirical and theoretical papers published on mindfulness in education between 2002 and 2017, 37 were empirical studies of K9-K12 students, 40 of K5-K8 students, 40 of K1-K4 students and seven of Pre-K students (Ergas and Hadar, 2019). While there is variability in programme structure, prototypical offerings for students are held in the classroom from one to four times per week for 20-30, 40-60 or 90 minutes per session over 8-12 weeks (Mendelson et al., 2010; Flook et al. 2015; Schonert-Reichl, Hanson-Peterson and Hymel, 2015; Bluth and Eisenlohr-Moul, 2017).

Programmes typically include movement practices as a key activity along with awareness to the breath and body as anchors. Although practices are applicable across development, the length and emphasis on specific practices can be adapted for particular groups of students. For example, it may be appropriate to increase the length of practices as students mature (in terms of age and developmentally), and certain practices like noticing thoughts may resonate more with older students as their cognitive processing and mental world becomes more complex. Physical props and visual aids can assist younger students in practising, for example, by drawing attention to the breath through blowing on a pinwheel or placing a light object on the abdomen to notice how the belly moves up and down with in and out breaths (Kaiser-Greenland, 2010; Center for Healthy Minds, n.d.). In general, practices can be adapted and are relevant across development considering that the basic capacity of attention spans developmental stages. Complementary practices invoking care for oneself and others, such as loving kindness and compassion practices, explicitly address prosocial skills. Such kindness practices promote a sense of connection





and can be particularly helpful for teenagers who may be prone

to self-judgement and selfcriticism. Mindfulness and caring
It is not only a question of what children learn but how they apply that knowledge and skill in their lives and the world beyond school. practices are wholly compatible and reinforce one another. In addition, teacher modelling and direct experience with training is recommended as a component of classroom mindfulness (Hulburt, Colaianne and Roeser, 2020).

In addition to facilitating academic learning, socialemotional skills are valuable in and of themselves. Rates of mental health afflictions surge in adolescence, and the experience of stress is reported even among elementary age students - these indicate a need for attending to well-being early on in life (Deighton et al., 2019; Wagner et al., 2017). Learning to manage difficult emotions, forming and maintaining healthy relationships, and caring for oneself and an ever-widening circle of others are essential to flourishing. Ideally, approaches to fostering flourishing will be able to grow with children, and have applicability in their lives both in and outside of school, with skills being reinforced and modelled by adults at school, home and in the community.

It is not only a question of what children learn but how they apply that knowledge and skill in their lives and the world beyond school. How does their emotional well-being and the well-being of others figure into their choices as they go through life? We can have the same knowledge but apply it in vastly different ways. Preparing children to thrive in a global and interconnected world requires more than rote learning. Co-existing and caring for ourselves and others is necessary for thriving. We are surrounded by reminders that living in ways that are self-focused is not sustainable. We need a more well-rounded education to live and fulfill our potential as human beings, recognizing and honouring our interdependence on each other and the earth. Education for flourishing is not complete without an inner education.

Finally, we round out this review by stepping back to look at the broader context in which flourishing for children is fostered. Supporting the educators who work with children on a daily



СНАРТЕК

5.5



The importance of educators in creating a climate that promotes flourishing in educational spaces through social and emotional learning approaches SEL interventions and skill development should occur within supportive classroom and school environments, as well as help to create such a climate.

schools operate as systems, and decades of research suggest that the unique culture and climate of classrooms and schools affects how and what students learn (Thapa et al., 2013). Whereas school culture refers to a general set of norms, beliefs and practices or 'the way things are done around here' (Hemmelgarn, Glisson and James, 2006), school climate 'is based on patterns of people's experiences of school life and reflects norms, goals, values, interpersonal relationships, teaching and learning practices, and organizational structures' (National School Climate Council et al., **2007**, **p. 4**). Culture and climate in combination influence the interactions and relationships among administrators, teachers, school staff and students, and of their approaches to teaching and learning (Gottfredson et al., **2005**). Therefore, any approach to promoting SEL needs to take into account both school culture and climate and systematically and intentionally embed SEL into the

basis is fundamental to creating an environment in which students

can flourish. Classrooms and

fabric of a school.

SEL interventions and skill development should occur within supportive classroom and school environments, as well as help to create such a climate. Additionally, successful SEL-related school and classroom activities foster active student voice in decisionmaking, problem-solving and engagement for lifelong learning. Researchers also have shown that effective programmes provide repeated opportunities to practise new skills and behaviours within the programme structure and beyond to real-life situations. That is, providing opportunities to practise within classroom lessons is important, but actual opportunities to practise in reallife situations are likely to have even more impact (Nation et al., 2003; Durlak et al., 2011; Weare and Nind, 2011).



Systemic SEL is an approach to create equitable learning conditions that actively involve all Pre-K to Grade 12 students in learning and practising social, emotional, and academic competencies.

5.5.1

SYSTEMIC SEL

Educators are a central component of a systemic approach to promoting SEL in schools as a means to advance human flourishing in the education system. Consistent with WG1ch4, which highlights education as a dynamic system, recently researchers have shown that promoting the social and emotional competencies and flourishing of students is most effective when explicit attention is given to all levels of the system, including educator SEL (Mahoney et al., 2020). As recently espoused by Mahoney et al. (2020, p. 1),

Systemic SEL is an approach to create equitable learning conditions that actively involve all Pre-K to Grade 12 students in learning and practicing social, emotional, and academic competencies. These conditions require aligned policies, resources, and actions at state and district levels that encourage local schools and communities to build the personal and professional capacities of adults to: implement and continuously improve evidence-based programmes and practices; create an inclusive culture that fosters caring relationships and youth voice, agency, and character; and support coordinated schoolfamily-community partnerships to enhance student development.'

Especially noteworthy in the above description of systemic SEL is that any approach to promote social and emotional competence in students must consider the interpersonal and intrapersonal capacities of the adults in the education system.

Several organizing frameworks have been proposed for systemic SEL, each one outlining a variety of components that influence SEL, such as school culture and climate, or teachers' pedagogical skills. Each framework identifies similar student outcomes, such as greater academic achievement and improved social-emotional





Figure 1. Three essential ingredients of systemic SEL

competence. Many of these frameworks share three distinct and interrelated dimensions – the learning context, students' SEL and teachers' SEL – and any discussion of SEL needs to include all three. In Figure 1, these three dimensions are portrayed in a circle to illustrate their interconnectedness and to highlight that each dimension influences, and is influenced by, the others.

THE LEARNING CONTEXT

To be effective, SEL interventions and skill development should occur in a safe, caring, supportive, participatory and well-managed environment – that is, an environment that supports students' development and lets them practise the skills they learn. The learning context encompasses such factors as communication styles, performance expectations, classroom structures and rules, school organizational climate, commitment to the academic success for all students, district policies, and parental and community involvement.

TEACHERS AND SEL

A confluence of research has emerged in recent years



contending that teachers are the engine driving SEL programmes and practices in classrooms and schools (Schonert-Reichl, Kitil and Hanson-Peterson, 2017). Yet until recently, their role in explicitly promoting SEL, as well as their own social and emotional competence and well-being, have received scant attention (Hadar et al., 2020). What do we know about the well-being of teachers? How does teachers' social-emotional competence influence students' SEL, and how can we promote it? And do prospective teachers receive any information about SEL and their own social and emotional competence in their teacher preparation programmes? The importance of these questions should not be underestimated. If we do not accurately understand teachers' own well-being and how teachers influence students' SEL, we can never fully know whether and how to promote SEL in the classroom. Such knowledge could not only guide theory, it could also give us practical information about how teachers can set students on a trajectory toward being socially skilled and wellrounded citizens who are ready to responsibly navigate their personal and professional pathways to adulthood. Given recent empirical evidence demonstrating the strong association of teachers' well-being with students' well-being (**Braun**, **Schonert-Reichl and Roeser, 2020**), to promote flourishing in students, it is essential to first identify the ways to cultivate flourishing in teachers.

Effective SEL interventions and skill development occurs when teachers have the requisite social and emotional skills to create an environment that is safe, caring, supportive and well-managed, and have the competencies and knowledge to effectively implement SEL programmes. Teachers' own SEL competence and well-being play a critical role in influencing the learning context and the infusion of SEL into classrooms and schools (Jones, Bouffard and Weissbourd, 2013). As stated earlier in this chapter, classrooms with warm teacherchild relationships facilitate deep learning among students (Merritt et al., 2012), and when children feel





... when teachers poorly manage the social and emotional demands of teaching, students demonstrate lower levels of performance and less on-task behaviour. comfortable with their teachers and peers, they are more willing to grapple with challenging material and persist at difficult learning tasks. Conversely, when teachers poorly manage the social and emotional demands of teaching, students demonstrate lower levels of performance and less on-task behaviour (Marzano, Marzano and Pickering, 2003; Braun, Schonert-Reichl and Roeser, 2020). Hence, it is essential that efforts are made to support the development of teachers' SEL competencies in order to optimize their classroom performance and their ability to promote SEL in their students (Jennings and Frank, 2015). Similarly,

Jennings and Greenberg's (2009) Prosocial Classroom Model suggests that teachers' socialemotional competence and well-being affect the classroom management strategies they use, the relationships they form with students and the quality with which they implement SEL programmes and practices. These factors, in turn, can contribute to a healthy classroom climate that then leads to students' social, emotional, and academic success.

5 C H A P T E R

In the context of education, teachers can experience stress when they appraise a situation as threatening and yet have limited ability to change or improve it.

5.5.2

TEACHER WELL-BEING: THE PATHWAY TO PROMOTE FLOURISHING IN CLASSROOMS

'Classroom teaching . . . is perhaps the most complex, most challenging, and most demanding, subtle, nuanced, and frightening activity that our species has ever invented. In fact, when I compared the complexity of teaching with that much more highly rewarded profession, "doing medicine," I concluded that the only time medicine even approaches the complexity of an average day of classroom teaching is in an emergency room during a natural disaster' (Shulman, 2004, p. 504).

Why is it important to consider teacher well-being in discussions of the promotion of flourishing in students? If teachers support SEL,

what might prevent them from implementing SEL strategies and programmes in their classrooms? Decades' of research shows that teaching is one of the most stressful professions in the human service industry (Montgomery and Rupp, 2005). Work-related stress encompasses the detrimental physical and emotional responses that arise from a mismatch between a job's requirements and a worker's capabilities, resources or needs (Kyriacou, 2010). In the context of education, teachers can experience stress when they appraise a situation as threatening and yet have limited ability to change or improve it. Take, for instance, the case of teacher autonomy. Among professional occupations, teachers rate lowest in feeling that they have a say in what happens in the workplace (Gallup, 2014). The percentage of teachers who report low job autonomy increased from 18 per cent in 2004 to 26 per cent in 2012 (Sparks and Malkus, 2015). The proportion of teachers who report significant levels of on-the-job stress is also rising. In a recent Gallup Poll (2014) on occupational

stress, 46 per cent of teachers reported high daily stress – on par with nurses and just above doctors. Teachers and nurses had the highest levels of reported stress among all occupational groups.

Why does teacher stress matter for our understanding of SEL? High levels of chronic stress can lead to occupational burnout - characterized by emotional exhaustion, depersonalization and a low sense of accomplishment in one's work (Maslach, Schaufeli and Lieter, 2001). Teacher stress has also been linked to decreased job satisfaction, poor instructional practices and poor student outcomes (e.g. Schwarzer and Hallum, 2008). Taken together, there is evidence that the occupational stress of teachers can thwart efforts to promote flourishing in students.

Chronic work stress and exhaustion among teachers is also associated with negative changes in biological indicators of stress. Recent research has found that teachers who report chronic stress demonstrate atypical patterns of physiological stress reactivity, as assessed via daytime levels of the stress hormone cortisol (Katz et al., 2016; Wolfram et al., 2013).

Recent research also shows that, like other emotions, stress is contagious and can spill over into the classroom. That is, when teachers are stressed, students are the collateral damage. Evidence of this comes from a recent large-scale study examining the relationship between classroom environments and students' mental health in over 10,000 first grade students and their teachers. More specifically, Milkie and Warner (2011) find that, in classrooms in which teachers reported higher levels of stress in the form of not having access to material resources and not feeling respected by their colleagues, higher numbers of students experienced mental health problems. That is, when teachers did not have access to key ingredients for teaching, ranging from basic resources such as paper and pencils and heating to child-friendly furnishings and computers - students experienced

Recent research shows that, like other emotions, stress is contagious and can spill over into the classroom.





higher levels of externalizing problems (e.g. arguing, fighting, impulsivity), interpersonal issues (e.g. expressing emotions, resolving conflicts) and internalizing problems (e.g. anxiety, sadness, low self-esteem). Additionally, when teachers did not receive the support of colleagues, students also suffered.

More recent research lends

support for stress contagion in the classroom and the potential detrimental role of teacher stress in predicting student well-being (WG2-ch5; WG2-ch10). Drawing from the stress-contagion framework, Oberle and Schonert-Reichl (2016) examined the link between teacher burnout and student stress in a sample of fourth and seventh grade children in Canada. To assess teacher burnout,

Research on teacher attrition provides some interesting insights into the value of understanding the ways in which social and emotional teaching and learning dimensions affect teachers. teachers completed the Maslach Burnout Inventory modified for teachers (Maslach et al., 1996). To assess student stress, students' salivary cortisol was collected as a biological indicator of students' stress reactivity. Biological stress reactivity is frequently assessed via the reactivity of the hypothalamicpituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, a homeostatic system that follows a circadian rhythm and is activated in response to cognitive (e.g. fear, excitement, anxiety) or noncognitive (e.g. infections) stressors (Jessop and Turner-Cobb, 2008). Cortisol levels found in saliva or blood can be used as an indicator for HPA axis activity. The integrity of the HPA axis is essential to human health. In a typical diurnal HPA-axis regulation pattern, cortisol levels rise within 20-45 minutes after waking and then gradually decline across the day. Inappropriately low or elevated levels of cortisol can compromise HPA axis functioning (Jessop and Turner-Cobb, 2008). Students' salivary cortisol was collected from children at 9 a.m., 11.30 a.m., and 2 p.m. in the classroom setting. Analyses revealed that, after

adjusting for differences in cortisol levels due to age, gender and time of waking, higher morning cortisol levels in students could be significantly predicted from higher levels of self-reported burnout of classroom teachers. Although these findings were correlational, the research conducted by Oberle and Schonert-Reichl (2016) was the first to show that teachers' occupational stress is linked to students' physiological stress regulation. What is not yet known is the direction of the stress contagion. That is, does teachers' burnout lead to higher levels of stress in students? Or do students who enter the classroom with higher levels of stress lead to increased teacher burnout? Only future research determining this causal relationship will lend further clarity to this relationship.

Research on teacher attrition provides some interesting insights into the value of understanding the ways in which social and emotional teaching and learning dimensions affect teachers. The evidence is now clear that teacher burnout and attrition

5 C H A P T E R

... empirical evidence has emerged suggesting that when teachers receive training in the behavioural and emotional factors that impact teaching and learning in the classroom, they feel better equipped to propose and implement positive, active classroom management strategies that deter students' aggressive behaviours and promote a positive classroom learning climate.

is a major problem that poses a threat to efforts to improve teacher quality. According to a report from the National Commission on Teaching and America's Future (Barnes, Crowe and Schaefer, 2007), teacher turnover costs the USA up to \$7 billion a year, with the negative impact of teacher turnover being greatest at low-performing, high-poverty, high-minority schools. Stress and poor emotion management rank as the primary reasons why teachers become dissatisfied with the profession and leave their positions (Darling-Hammond, 2001). Another contributing factor is student behaviour (Ferguson, Frost and Hall, 2012). One study, for instance, indicated that of the 50 per cent of teachers who leave the field permanently, almost 35 per cent report reasons related to problems with student discipline (Ingersoll and Smith, 2003). Problems with student discipline, classroom management and student mental health emerge at the beginning of teachers' careers, and firstyear teachers feel unprepared to manage their classroom effectively and are unable to recognize

common mental health problems such as anxiety (Siebert, 2005; Koller and Bertel, 2006). On a more positive note, empirical evidence has emerged suggesting that when teachers receive training in the behavioural and emotional factors that impact teaching and learning in the classroom, they feel better equipped to propose and implement positive, active classroom management strategies that deter students' aggressive behaviours and promote a positive classroom learning climate (Alvarez, 2007).

As can be surmised, the majority of extant studies have focused on teacher well-being through a deficit lens by examining teacher stress and burnout (Spilt, Koomen and Thijs, 2011; Collie et al., 2015). This research has been in concert in showing a general lack of teacher well-being among teachers across the globe (Kyriacou, 2011). Moreover, the research has shown that higher levels of teacher stress and burnout is associated with concomitant levels of student problem behaviour (Hoglund, Klingle and Hosan, 2015) and lower student

academic achievement (e.g. McLean and Connor, 2015; Herman, Hickmon-Rosa and Reinke, 2018). Although these findings are valuable, one criticism of examining teacher well-being through a deficit lens is that it fails to identify the influential factors within a school system that serve to strengthen teacher well-being (Collie et al., 2015).

Reviewing the evidence linking teachers' social and emotional competence and student outcomes, Jennings and Greenberg (2009) point to the importance of quality teacher-student relationships and effective student and classroom management skills (as well as implementation dosage and fidelity) in obtaining the best outcomes for students. Accordingly, they recommend the development and implementation of interventions designed to specifically address teachers' SEL competencies, reduce teacher stress and burnout, and improve teacher well-being. The past few years have seen the emergence of interventions specifically targeted at improving teachers' SEL and

stress management, although these are limited. For example, two programmes designed to promote teachers' SEL competence by incorporating mindfulness based approaches are CARE (Cultivating Awareness and Resilience in Education) and SMART-in-Education (Stress Management and Resiliency Training). Mindfulness is typically described as an attentive, non-judgemental and receptive awareness of present moment experience in terms of feelings, images, thoughts and sensations/perceptions (e.g. Kabat-Zinn, 2013). Both programmes aim to increase teachers' mindfulness, job satisfaction, compassion and empathy for students, efficacy for regulating emotions, and decrease stress and burnout. Initial research to date has supported the effectiveness of both the CARE (Jennings et al., 2011, and SMART-in-Education (e.g. Benn et al., 2012; Roeser et al., 2013) programmes in promoting teacher SEL competence and well-being. Nonetheless, further research is needed to examine whether such positive changes in teacher wellbeing spill over into the classroom

The past few years have seen the emergence of interventions specifically targeted at improving teachers' SEL and stress management.



Mounting research points to why and how teachers must develop solid social and emotional competence in order to foster SEL effectively and positively impact the well-being of their students. and lead to improvements in students' SEL competence.

Recent evidence from recent research on the CARE programme provides evidence that a programme focusing on promoting teacher well-being has spillover effects for students. Specifically, a randomized controlled trial was conducted to evaluate the CARE programme with 224 teachers who taught at urban elementary schools within a high poverty region of New York City (Jennings et al., 2017). Participants were randomly assigned to the intervention group (CARE) or a waitlist control group. The study revealed that those in the CARE group showed greater improvements in self-reports of adaptive emotion regulation and mindfulness, as well as greater reductions in psychological distress and feelings of time urgency than those in the control group. Additionally, observations revealed that teachers who received CARE training were better able to maintain levels of emotional support for students across the school year,

while those who did not receive CARE training declined in their level of emotional support. Taken together, programmes such as SMART-in-Education and CARE address the need for 'holistic' approaches to education because they address the importance of an ecological approach that considers both teachers and students.

5.5.3

SEL AND TEACHER PREPARATION

In order to understand the conditions under which the effective promotion of students' SEL and development can occur, institutional factors that may impact SEL promotion need to be addressed. Therefore, an important issue is to what extent pre-service teacher education provides the necessary information, coursework and/or experiences that prepare teachers to address dimensions relevant to SEL, including information on



theories and research on the social and emotional development and the knowledge and skills necessary for creating classroom learning contexts that are well-managed (Hadar et al., 2020). Mounting research points to why and how teachers must develop solid social and emotional competence in order to foster SEL effectively, and positively impact the well-being of their students (Jennings and



... caring classroom and school contexts can be created when explicit attention is given to implementing SEL programmes and practices for students and when teachers also attend to the development of their own social and emotional competence and well-being. Greenberg, 2009; Roorda et al., 2011; Brackett et al., 2012). Yet, in the vast majority of teacher preparation programmes, SEL is marginalized, if addressed at all (Bridgeland, Bruce and Hariharan, 2013; Fleming and Bay, 2004).

Findings from a research scan - Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) and Pre-service Teacher Education: A Scan of SEL Content in Certification **Requirements and Teacher** Education Programs Across the U.S. and Canada - confirms that only a small handful of USA university based teacher education schools attempt to explicitly integrate SEL into their educator preparation programmes (Schonert-Reichl, Kitil and Hanson-Peterson, 2017). This phenomenon reflects how the current dominant systemic focus on metrics has mostly left social-emotional learning/development on the sidelines of education discussion, policy and practice.

5.5.4

PROMOTING FLOURISHING IN SCHOOLS: THE STORY OF THE BREAKFAST CLUB

As can be surmised, caring classroom and school contexts can be created when explicit attention is given to implementing SEL programmes and practices for students and when teachers also attend to the development of their own social and emotional competence and well-being. Yet, promoting students' flourishing may sometimes occur outside a specific evidence based SEL programme. That is, students' flourishing can be fostered organically when teachers provide students with opportunities to have a voice in the creation of a caring classroom and school context. What does this look like in practice? The following vignette is illustrative of what can happen

when a teacher engages students in cultivating a caring classroom and school context and allows them the space to put theory into practice to promote flourishing in education.

In 2006 a group of teachers in Western Canada attended a session on social responsibility in which one of the authors (KSR) served as a facilitator. At the session, the teachers learned about the research on happiness (Lyubomirsky, King and Diener, 2005) and the ways in which it could be cultivated and promoted. One of the findings discussed with the teachers was from research that illustrated that when individuals engage in altruism - random acts of kindness – they become healthier and happier. Following the session, one of the teachers, who taught eighth graders in a school characterized as high risk, shared with her students the research on happiness. With their interest piqued, the students decided to conduct an experiment to determine if they could promote their own happiness by helping others. That school day they then

went on to engage in 'random acts of kindness' for their teachers; holding doors open, offering compliments and helping teachers with various projects. At the end of the school day the students returned to their classroom with great excitement and reported to their teacher that performing random acts of kindness 'was fun!'

The students wanted to continue their 'experiment' and perform even more acts of kindness anonymously. They decided to name themselves The Breakfast Club and proceeded to do many more acts of kindness for the teachers and staff at their school, beginning first with writing an anonymous letter to all of the teachers telling them how much they were appreciated. The students' next random act of kindness came with the help of the community. The students asked, 'what do all of our teachers really like? Starbucks coffee!' The students then went to their local Starbucks and asked if they would donate coffee to all of their teachers. The Starbucks' employees said yes. The students then placed

... students' flourishing can be fostered organically when teachers provide students with opportunities to have a voice in the creation of a caring classroom and school context.





a letter on the principal's desk indicating that coffee was in the staffroom for the teachers and signed it 'The Breakfast Club.'

Over the course of the school year, The Breakfast Club's enthusiasm and engagement for performing random acts of kindness for teachers, staff and their peers blossomed. They continued to do random acts of kindness with the generous support of the community via donations (e.g. pizza, donuts, chocolates). Moreover, the local newspapers became aware of the activities and covered the story of The Breakfast Club in their papers. More donations from the

SEL can unfold when there is an explicit focus on creating the contexts and conditions in which students are given the power, love and support to follow their heart to make the world a kinder and more compassionate place in which we all can live.

community poured in, including several anonymous donations. All members of the school community – administrators, teachers, staff and students – were engaged in discussions in which they speculated about who the members of The Breakfast Club might be.

After the school holidays, The Breakfast Club decided to take their efforts further and have their classmates engage in random acts of kindness with them. They assigned each classroom in the school to another as their 'anonymous givers' and gave each classroom a breakfast name (e.g. Cheerios, Blueberry Muffins). Shortly thereafter, anonymous acts of kindness were occurring all over the school.

A couple of months went by and the students from The Breakfast Club decided to take their acts of kindness even further. They wanted to spread their giving to the community. They decided to give a challenge to members of their school community: 'Raise 1,300 food items for the local food bank and we will reveal ourselves!' The school far exceeded that goal – students from every classroom in the school donated items for the food bank. During the final assembly, the food was displayed and The Breakfast Club students stood up one by one to increasing applause from members of the entire school community. This joyous moment was captured on film and can be seen at: https://www.youtube. com/watch?v=0Lj5pWWA_ MY&t=140s.

The story of The Breakfast Club illustrates an important lesson about SEL. That is, SEL is not only concerned with the promotion of students' social and emotional competence through the implementation of school and classroom based programmes. SEL can unfold when there is an explicit focus on creating the contexts and conditions in which students are given the power, love and support to follow their heart to make the world a kinder and more compassionate place in which we all can live.



CHAPTER

5.6

Conclusions

Relationships are at the heart of flourishing in education. Flourishing is promoted through multiple, interweaving relationships at the interpersonal, curricular and intrapersonal levels. Strengthening the capacity for positive interpersonal relationships with teachers and peers is consequential for students developing motivation, a sense of belonging and identity. As put forth by care theory, teachers who act as carers, tending to the needs of their students, facilitate students' social and emotional development. Interpersonal relationships provide crucial opportunities for practising communication that entails respect for different points of view, prompting reflection, clarification and a shared understanding that enhances collective knowledge.

Pedagogy characterized by flexibility and active student engagement that allows for community involvement and shared governance is critical to engendering a global consciousness in students that enables ethically informed decisions and actions to improve individual and collective flourishing.

Systemic SEL takes into account the learning context and prioritizes teachers' SEL as a foundation for creating a safe, caring, supportive, participatory and well-managed environment to nurture students' SEL.

Disciplinary knowledge is a facet of relationship to curriculum and knowledge. Alongside content itself, the capacity to engage critically with subject matter is central and conveyed through how teachers engage students with the curriculum. The how and why of curricula are arguably as important as, if not more important than, the content itself. Instructional approaches like inquiry based, problem based and project based approaches engage learners in tasks that emphasize mastery and essential skills including interpretation, analysis, evaluation and synthesis of information. Pedagogy characterized by flexibility and active student engagement that allows for community involvement and shared governance is critical to engendering a global consciousness in students that enables ethically

informed decisions and actions to improve individual and collective flourishing.

Turning inward with mindfulness and compassion are ways to encourage self-knowledge. Students become students in the fullest sense, not just of academic subjects, but of themselves. Studying oneself allows for observing the patterns of mind and interrupting unconscious habits and biases. Through contemplative self-study students learn to be receptive to their embodied sensory experience, bringing together the mind and body, thus piercing Cartesian duality. A compassionate and mindful stance practised inwardly further enhances these qualities in relationship with others, honouring our fundamental interconnectedness as social beings. Further, critical pedagogy and transformative SEL empower students as agents of social change through developing critical consciousness to understand and transform societal inequities. Each aspect of this relationship triad reinforces the other, and



A transformation in education is unfolding across the globe catalysed by pioneering research demonstrating that a high-quality education should promote human flourishing and not only academic competencies of students. collectively – relationships with others, knowledge and self – are crucial for supporting students to respond to the challenge of how human beings can sensibly live with purpose and meaning on this planet.

A transformation in education is unfolding across the globe catalysed by pioneering research demonstrating that a high-quality education should promote human flourishing and not only academic competencies of students such as reading, writing, maths, social studies and science; schools today need to cultivate positive human qualities in students that will equip our future generation with the skills and competencies to thrive in an ever-changing world. Indeed, the past decade has witnessed a burgeoning empirical literature supporting the contention that a systemic approach and explicit integration of programmes and practices that promote students' social and emotional competencies and human flourishing into all levels of the education system can not only deter educational failure (e.g. school dropout), and behaviour problems (e.g. aggression, mental illness), but can provide the conditions in which all students thrive.

Alongside this empirical evidence, there is also now widespread agreement among educators, parents, students and the public at large that schools should be a place that nurtures the development of positive human traits and human flourishing in all students – developing students' interpersonal and intrapersonal relationships (Greenberg and Turksma, 2015; Jazaieri, 2017; Roeser, Colaianne and Greenberg, 2018).

To create a world characterized by caring, cooperation, empathy and compassion among all people – one that has at its core the promotion of flourishing – it is essential that educators, parents, community members and policymakers work together to promote students' personal and social competence, support educators' flourishing by supporting the development of their own social and emotional competencies,

Although much has been learned in the past decade about programmes and practices that promote human flourishing in schools, the field has much further to go before firm conclusions can be drawn about the specific ways in which a comprehensive and systemic approach to promoting flourishing in schools advances students' short-term and long-term school and life success.

create curriculum that is engaging and developmentally appropriate and embed a focus on flourishing and SEL into pre-service teacher education. Indeed, it is critical that we make intentional efforts to devise the most effective educational practices that promote flourishing at all levels of the system. Such efforts must be based on strong conceptual models and sound research.

Although much has been learned in the past decade about programmes and practices that promote human flourishing in schools, the field has much further to go before firm conclusions can be drawn about the specific ways in which a comprehensive and systemic approach to promoting flourishing in schools advances students' short-term and long-term school and life success, particularly in relation to ethical dimensions of development, such as compassion in relationships with themselves and others. Indeed, many questions remain regarding the ways in which programmes and practices designed to promote

students' social and emotional competencies and flourishing can forecast their future success. For example, what are the processes and mechanisms that lead to successful improvements in students' prosocial and kind behaviours across areas of the school curriculum? What role does context play? Which programmes and practices work best for which students in helping them flourish and thrive? What role do educators play and how does their own well-being influence the well-being of their students? And under what conditions optimal development fostered? What role does technology play, and what technological advances can foster or deter these efforts? These are the types of questions that are being asked among educators, researchers and policy-makers, and are the types of questions we need to answer in order to determine the factors that lead to the development of students' flourishing in schools.



CHAPTER

5.6

Recommendations

Education for flourishing in schools should take into account three relationship levels: (1) relationships with other people; (2) relationships with ourselves; and (3) relationships to knowledge or subject matter. The traditional focus of schooling, on maximizing individual cognitive potential and imparting technical knowhow needed for success in the labour market, is insufficient for advancing human flourishing. Schools seeking to promote flourishing need to foster a range of additional capacities. These include, but are not limited to, the capacity to tune in to one's own emotions, thoughts and feelings, to understand another person's perspective, to resolve conflicts peacefully, to develop compassion for self and others, to engage critically with subject matter



Social and emotional learning (SEL) that helps students to manage difficult emotions, form and maintain healthy relationships, and care for themselves and an ever-widening circle of others is essential to flourishing. and to make ethically informed decisions and actions that can improve individual and collective flourishing.

Based on evidence and examples reviewed in this chapter, we make the following assertions and recommendations for policies and practices to support human flourishing in schools.

1. Many educational policies across the world emphasize competitiveness, efficiency, accountability and rigorous academic testing regimes. As a result, the 'softer' aspects of flourishing and social-emotional learning/development are mostly sidelined. To promote human flourishing there is a need for greater systemic support for fostering social and emotional competencies in school.

2. Schools that seek to support flourishing need to incorporate an inner education. Students need to be supported to tune in to mind/body processes such as thoughts, feelings, perceptions and sensations and recognize how these shape their choices and actions in the world.

3. Mindfulness is an important resource for cultivating flourishing in schools by engaging cognitive processes (executive functions and attentional skills), as well as social-emotional skills (prosocial behaviour, emotion awareness). Meta-analytic research on mindfulness in school settings indicates beneficial impacts for students across cognitive, social and academic areas of functioning.

4. Social and emotional learning (SEL) that helps students to manage difficult emotions, form and maintain healthy relationships, and care for themselves and an ever-widening circle of others is essential to flourishing. Approaches to fostering flourishing need to be able to grow with children and have applicability in their lives both in and outside of school, with skills being reinforced and modelled by adults at school, home and in the community.



Since the potential for flourishing applies to all children, it is necessary to acknowledge the disparity in access to resources and exposure to trauma that are a reality for many. 5. Since the potential for flourishing applies to all children, it is necessary to acknowledge the disparity in access to resources and exposure to trauma that are a reality for many. Not all children enter school with their basic needs, like food, security, safety and shelter, met on a regular basis. The first step in closing the gap is to ensure that students' basic needs are met through school and community resources.

6. Schools have an important role in promoting values of inclusion, equality, participation and democracy. Transformative SEL that offers concrete strategies for advancing these values in school settings should be embraced.

7. To advance flourishing, active learning approaches that encourage meaning-making and 'first-person' engagement with curriculum content should be adopted. Examples include interdisciplinary instruction, service learning, and inquiry based, problem based and project based learning. 8. Most curricular knowledge is not absolute. It is, therefore, recommended that students in schools have opportunities to engage with different perspectives on 'truth' and knowledge; to appreciate perspectives and world views that are different from their own; and to participate in their communities to effect change, and consider their role in challenging unjust social, political and/or economic systems.

9. It is recommended that school and classroom activities foster active student voice and democratic participation. Promoting shared decision-making and democracy in the classroom can facilitate socially responsive decisions outside the classroom walls helping to promote the types of ethically informed actions that nurture individual and collective flourishing.

10. Teachers' well-being should be enhanced. When teachers themselves possess social and emotional skills, when they embody the values of mindfulness, and when they





appreciate the importance of self-compassion, they are better able to create school and classroom environments that are safe, caring and supportive. It is, therefore, required that teachers receive support through all stages of professional development, from pre-service to in-service, that recognizes and prioritizes teacher well-being as an essential ingredient to flourishing in education.

R E F E R E N C E S

Ainsworth, Blehar, M. C., Waters, E. and Wall, S. (1979) Patterns of attachment: a psychological study of the strange situation. New York, NY: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Allen, K.A. and Kern, M.L. (2017) School belonging in adolescents: theory, research and practice. Singapore: Springer Singapore.

Alvarez, H.K. (2007) 'The impact of teacher preparation on responses to student aggression in the classroom', Teaching and Teacher Education, 23, pp. 1113–1126.

Bai, Y., Maruskin, L.A., Chen, S., Gordon, A.M., Stellar, J.E., ... and Keltner, D. (2017) 'Awe, the diminished self, and collective engagement: universals and cultural variations in the small self', Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 113(2), pp. 185–209.

Bailis, S. (2002) 'Laying a foundation for interdisciplinary teaching', in Haynes, C. (ed.) Innovations in interdisciplinary teaching. Westport, CT: Oryx Press, pp. xi-xxii.

Bakhtin, M.M. (1986) 'Speech genres and other late essays', A selection of essays from Russian original "Estetika slovesnogo tvorchestva". Austin: University of Texas Press. Banks, J.A. (2001) Cultural diversity and education : foundations, curriculum, and teaching Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Barbezat, D. and Bush, M. (2014) Contemplative practices in higher education: powerful methods to transform teaching and learning. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Barile, J.P., Donohue, D.K., Anthony, E.R., Baker, A.M., Weaver, S.R. and Henrich, C.C. (2012) 'Teacher– student relationship climate and school outcomes: implications for educational policy initiatives', Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 41(3), pp. 256–267.

Barnes, G., Crowe, E. and Schaefer, B. (2007) The cost of teacher turnover in five school districts: a pilot study. Washington, DC: National Commission on Teaching and America's Future.

Benn, R., Akiva, T., Arel, S. and Roeser, R.W. (2012) 'Mindfulness training effects for parents and educators of children with special needs', Developmental Psychology, 48, pp. 1476–1487.

Bergin, C. and Bergin, D. (2009) 'Attachment in the classroom', Educational Psychology Review, 21(2), pp. 141–170.

Bloom, B.S. (1956) Taxonomy of educational objectives, handbook: the cognitive domain. New York: David McKay. Bluth, K. and Eisenlohr-Moul, T.A. (2017) 'Response to a mindful selfcompassion intervention in teens: a within-person association of mindfulness, self-compassion, and emotional well-being outcomes', Journal of Adolescence, 57, pp. 108–118.

Booker, K. C. (2007) 'Likeness, comfort, and tolerance: examining African American adolescents' sense of school belonging', The Urban Review, 39(3), pp. 301-317.

Brackett, M.A., Reyes, M.R., Rivers, S.E., Elbertson, N.A. and Salovey, P. (2012) 'Assessing teachers' beliefs about social and emotional learning', Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 30, pp. 219–236.

Braun, S., Schonert-Reichl, K.A. and Roeser, R.W. (2020) 'Effects of teachers' emotion regulation, burnout, and life satisfaction on student well-being', Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 69, pp. 101–151.

Bridgeland, J., Bruce, M. and Hariharan, A. (2013) The missing piece: a national survey on how social and emotional learning can empower children and transform schools. Washington, DC: Civic Enterprises.

Bruner, J.S. (1960) The process of education. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Bruner, J. (1996) The culture of education. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Buber , M. (1962) I and thou. Edinburgh: T&T Clark.

Cappella, E., Aber, J.L. and Kim, H.K. (2016) 'Teaching beyond achievement tests: perspectives from developmental and education science', in Gitomer, D.H. and Bell, C.A. (eds.) Handbook of research on teaching. American Education Research Association, pp. 249–347.

CASEL (n.d.) 'CASEL Program Guides – Effective Social and Emotional Learning Programs. Available at: https://casel.org/ guide/ (Accessed: 25 October 2020).

Center for Healthy Minds (n.d.) Kindness curriculum. Available at: https://centerhealthyminds.org/jointhe-movement/sign-up-to-receive-thekindness-curriculum (Accessed: 25 October 2020).

Christenson, S.L. and Havsy, L.H. (2004) 'Family-school-peer relationships: significance for social, emotional and academic learning', in Zins, J.E., Weissberg, R.P., Wang, M.C. and Walberg, H.J. (eds.) Building academic success on social and emotional learning: what does the research say. New York, NY: Teachers College Press, pp. 59–75.

Claessens, L.C., van Tartwijk, J., van der

Want, A.C., Pennings, H.J., Verloop, N., and Wubbels, T. (2017) 'Positive teacher– student relationships go beyond the classroom, problematic ones stay inside', The Journal of Educational Research, 110(5), pp. 478–493.

Collie, R.J., Shapka, J.D., Perry, N.E. and Martin, A.J. (2015) 'Teacher wellbeing: exploring its components and a practice-oriented scale', Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 33(8), pp. 744–756.

Conway, J.M., Amel, E.L. and Gerwien, D.P. (2009) 'Teaching and learning in the social context: a meta-analysis of service learning's effects on academic, personal, social, and citizenship outcomes', Teaching of Psychology, 36(4), pp. 233–245.

Craggs, H. and Kelly, C. (2018) 'Adolescents' experiences of school belonging: a qualitative meta-synthesis', Journal of Youth Studies, 21(10), pp. 1411-1425.

Cromby, J. (2015) Feeling bodies: embodying psychology. London: Palgrave Macmillian.

Damasio, A.R. (2000). The feeling of what happens: body, emotion and the making of consciousness. London, UK: Vintage.

Damasio, A. (2010) Self comes to mind: constructing the conscious brain. Pantheon/Random House. Darling-Hammond, L. (2001) 'The challenge of staffing our schools', Educational Leadership, 58, pp. 12–17.

Darling-Hammond, L. (2004) 'Standards, accountability, and school reform', Teachers College Record, 106, pp. 1047–1085.

Darling-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B. and Osher, D. (2020) 'Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development', Applied Developmental Science, 24(2), pp. 97–140.

Davidson, R.J., Dunne, J., Eccles, J.S., Engle, A., Greenberg, M., ... and Vago, D. (2012) 'Contemplative practices and mental training: prospects for American education', Child Development Perspectives, 6(2), pp. 146–153.

Deci, E. L. (2009) 'Large-scale school reform as viewed from the self-determination theory perspective', Theory and Research in Education, 7(2), pp. 244–252.

Deci, E. L., Koestner, R. and Ryan, R. M. (2001) 'Extrinsic rewards and intrinsic motivation in education: reconsidered once again', Review of Educational Research, 71(1), pp. 1-27.

Deighton, J., Lereya, S.T., Casey, P., Patalay, P., Humphrey, N. and Wolpert, M. (2019) 'Prevalence of mental health problems in schools: poverty and other risk factors among 28 000 adolescents in England', The British Journal of Psychiatry, 215(3), pp. 565–567.

R E F E R E N C E S

Dewey, J. (1923) Democracy and education: an introduction to the philosophy of education. New York: Macmillan.

Dewey, J. (1938) Experience and education. New York, NY: Collier Books.

Diamond, A. (2007) 'Interrelated and interdependent', Developmental Science, 10(1), pp. 152–158.

Dijksterhuis, A., Bos, M. W., Nordgren, L. F. and Van Baaren, R. B. (2006) 'On making the right choice: the deliberationwithout-attention effect', Science, 311(5763), pp. 1005–1007.

Durlak, J.A., Weissberg, R.P., Dymnicki, A.B., Taylor, R.D. and Schellinger, K.B. (2011) 'The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: a meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions', Child Development, 82(1), pp. 405–432.

Eccles, J.S., Lord, S. and Midgley, C. (1991) 'What are we doing to early adolescents? The impact of educational contexts on early adolescents', American Journal of Education, pp. 521–542.

Eccles, J.S., Midgley, C., Wigfield, A., Buchanan, C.M., Reuman, D., ... MacIver, D. (1993) 'Development during adolescence: the impact of stageenvironment fit on young adolescents' experiences in schools and in families', American Psychologist, 48(2), pp. 90–101. Edelson, D.C. and Joseph, D.M. (2004, October) 'The interest-driven learning design framework: motivating learning through usefulness', Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Learning Sciences, pp. 166–73.

Eisner, E.W. (1994) The educational imagination: on the design and evaluation of school programs. New York: MacMillan.

Ergas, O. (2017) Reconstructing 'education' through mindful attention: positioning the mind at the center of curriculum and pedagogy. Berlin: Springer.

Ergas, O. and Hadar, L.L. (2019) 'Mindfulness in and as education: a map of a developing academic discourse from 2002 to 2017', Review of Education, 7(3), pp. 757–797.

Feinberg, W. and Soltis, J.F. (2004) School and society. New York: Teachers College Press.

Ferguson, K., Frost, L. and Hall, D. (2012) 'Predicting teacher anxiety, depression, and job satisfaction', Journal of Teaching and Learning, 8, pp. 27–42.

Fleming, J. and Bay, M. (2004) 'Social and emotional learning in teacher preparation standards', in Zins, J.E., Weissberg, R.P., Wang, M.C. and Walberg, H.J. (eds.) Building school success through social and emotional learning: implications for practice and research. New York: Teachers College Press, pp. 94–110. Flook, L., Goldberg, S.B., Pinger, L. and Davidson, R.J. (2015) 'Promoting prosocial behavior and self-regulatory skills in preschool children through a mindfulness-based kindness curriculum', Developmental Psychology, 51(1), pp. 44–51.

Foucault, M. (1972) The archaeology of knowledge and the discourse on language. New York: Pantheon.

Fredrickson, B.L. (2013) 'Positive emotions broaden and build', in Devine, P. and Plant, A. (eds.) Advances in experimental social psychology (vol. 47). San Diego: Academic Press, pp. 1–53.

Fredrickson, B.L. and Branigan, C. (2005) 'Positive emotions broaden the scope of attention and thought-action repertoires', Cognition & Emotion, 19(3), pp. 313–332.

Freire, P. (1970) Pedagogy of the oppressed. New York: Continuum.

Gallup (2014) State of American schools. Available at: http://www.gallup.com/ services/178709/state-america-schoolsreport.aspx.

Gee, J.P. (1996) Social linguistics and literacies: ideology in discourses. London: Taylor & Francis.

Gibson, J.J. (1979) The ecological approach to visual perception. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

Gilbert, P. and Choden (2015) Mindful compassion: using the power of mindfulness and compassion to transform our lives. London: Hachette.

Giroux, H. (2020). Critical pedagogy. Springer: Fachmedien Wiesbaden.

Gottfredson, G.D., Gottfredson, D.C., Payne, A.A. and Gottfredson, N.C. (2005) 'School climate predictors of school disorder: results from a national study of delinquency prevention in schools', Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 42, pp. 412–444.

Greenberg, M. T. and Harris, A. R. (2012) 'Nurturing mindfulness in children and youth: current state of research', Child Development Perspectives, 6(2), pp. 161-166.

Greenberg, M.T. and Turksma, C. (2015) 'Understanding and watering the seeds of compassion', Research in Human Development, 12, pp. 280–287.

Hadar, L.L., Ergas, O., Alpert, B. and Ariav, T. (2020) 'Rethinking teacher education in a VUCA world: student teachers' social-emotional competencies during the Covid-19 crisis', European Journal of Teacher Education, 43(4), pp. 573–586.

Hargreaves, A. (2000) 'Mixed emotions: teachers' perceptions of their interactions with students', Teaching and Teacher Education, 16(8), pp. 811-826. Haynes, C. (2002) 'Interdisciplinary curriculum design and instructional innovation', in Haynes, C. (ed.) Innovations in interdisciplinary teaching. Westport, CT: Oryx Press, pp. 3–15.

Hemmelgarn, A.L., Glisson, C. and James, L.R. (2006) 'Organizational culture and climate: implications for services and interventions research', Clinical Psychology: Science & Practice, 13, pp. 73–89.

Herman, K.C., Hickmon-Rosa, J.E. and Reinke, W.M. (2018) 'Empirically derived profiles of teacher stress, burnout, selfefficacy, and coping and associated student outcomes', Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions, 20(2), pp. 90–100.

Hess, D.E. (2002) 'Discussing controversial public issues in secondary social studies classrooms: learning from skilled teachers', Theory & Research in Social Education, 30(1), pp. 10-41.

Hoglund, W.L., Klingle, K.E. and Hosan, N.E. (2015) 'Classroom risks and resources: teacher burnout, classroom quality and children's adjustment in high needs elementary schools', Journal of School Psychology, 53(5), pp. 337–357.

Hooks, B. (1994) Teaching to transgress: education as the practice of freedom. New York: Routledge.

Hughes, J.N. (2012) 'Teacher–student relationships and school adjustment: progress and remaining challenges', Attachment & Human Development, 14(3), pp. 319-327. Hulburt, K.J., Colaianne, B.A. and Roeser, R.W. (2020) 'The calm, clear, and kind educator: a contemplative educational approach to teacher professional identity development', in Ergas, O. and Ritter, J.K. (eds.) Exploring self toward expanding teaching, teacher education and practitioner research. Bradford: Emerald Publishing Limited.

Immordino-Yang, M.H., Darling-Hammond, L. and Krone, C.R. (2019) 'Nurturing nature: how brain development is inherently social and emotional, and what this means for education', Educational Psychologist, 54(3), pp. 185–204.

Ingersoll, R.M. and Smith, T.M (2003) 'The wrong solution to the teacher shortage', Educational Leadership, 60, pp. 30–33.

Jackson, A. and Davis, G.A. (2000) Turning points 2000: educating adolescents in the 21st century. New York: Teachers College Press.

Jackson, P. (1968) Life in classrooms. New York: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston.

Jagers, R.J., Rivas-Drake, D. and Williams, B. (2019) 'Transformative social and emotional learning (SEL): toward SEL in service of educational equity and excellence', Educational Psychologist, 54(3), pp. 162–184.

R E F E R E N C E S

Jazaieri, H. (2018) 'Compassionate education from preschool to graduate school: bringing a culture of compassion into the classroom', Journal of Research in Innovative Teaching and Learning, 11(1), pp. 22-66.

Jennings, P. A. (2018) The traumasensitive classroom: Building resilience with compassionate teaching. WW Norton & Company.

Jennings, P.A., Frank, J.L., Snowberg, K.E., Coccia, M.A. and Greenberg, M.T. (2013) 'Improving classroom learning environments by Cultivating Awareness and Resilience in Education (CARE): results of a randomized controlled trial', School Psychology Quarterly, 28, pp. 374–390.

Jennings, P.A. and Greenberg, M.T. (2009) 'The prosocial classroom: teacher social and emotional competence in relation to student and classroom outcomes', Review of Educational Research, 79, pp. 491–525.

Jennings, P.A., Brown, J.L., Frank, J.L., Doyle, S., Oh, Y., Davis, R., ... Greenberg, M.T. (2017) 'Impacts of the CARE for Teachers program on teachers' social and emotional competence and classroom interactions', Journal of Educational Psychology, 109(7), pp. 1010–1028.

Jennings, P.A. and Frank, J.L. (2015) 'Inservice preparation for educators', in Durlak, J.A., Domitrovich, C.E., Weissberg, R.P. and Gullotta, T.P. (eds.) Handbook of social and emotional learning: research and practice. New York: Guilford Press, pp. 422–437.

Jennings, P.A., Snowberg, K.E., Coccia, M.A. and Greenberg, M.T. (2011). 'Improving classroom learning environments by cultivating awareness and resilience in education (CARE): results of two pilot studies', The Journal of Classroom Interaction, 46(1), pp. 37–48.

Jessop, D.S. and Turner-Cobb, J.M. (2008) 'Measurement and meaning of salivary cortisol: a focus on health and disease in children', Stress, 11(1), pp. 1-14.

Jones, S.M., Bouffard, S.M. and Weissbourd, R. (2013) 'Educators' social and emotional skills vital to learning', The Phi Delta Kappan, 94, pp. 62–65.

Kabat-Zinn, J. (2013) Full catastrophe living: how to cope with stress, pain and illness using mindfulness meditation. London: Hachette UK.

Kahneman, D. (2003) 'A perspective on judgment and choice: mapping bounded rationality', American Psychologist, 58(9), pp. 697–720.

Kaiser-Greenland, S. (2010) The mindful child: how to help your kid manage stress and become happier, kinder, and more compassionate. New York, NY: Simon and Schuster. Katz, D.A., Greenberg, M.T., Klein, L.C. and Jennings, P.A. (2016) 'Associations between salivary amylase, cortisol and selfreport indicators of health and wellbeing among educators', Teacher and Teacher Education, 54, pp. 98–106.

King, G. J. and McNabb, D.E. (2009) 'Crossroads dynamics in foreign policy: the case of Latvia', Problems of Post-Communism, 56(3), pp. 29-41.

Klingbeil, D.A., Renshaw, T.L., Willenbrink, J.B., Copek, R.A., Chan, K.T., ... and Clifton, J. (2017) 'Mindfulness-based interventions with youth: a comprehensive meta-analysis of group-design studies', Journal of School Psychology, 63, pp. 77–103.

Koller, J.R. and Bertel, J.M. (2006) 'Responding to today's mental health needs of children, families and schools: revisiting the pre-service training and preparation of school-based personnel', Education and Treatment of Children, 29, pp. 197–217.

Kyriacou, C. (2010). Effective teaching in schools: theory and practice. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Kyriacou, C. (2011) 'Teacher stress: from prevalence to resilience', in Langan-Fox, J. and Cooper, C.L. (eds.) Handbook of stress in the occupations. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing, pp. 161–176.

Ladd, G.W. and Coleman, C.C. (1997) 'Children's classroom peer relationships and early school attitudes: concurrent and longitudinal associations', Early Education and Development, 8(1), pp. 51-66.

Lan, W. and Lanthier, R. (2003) 'Changes in students' academic performance and perceptions of school and self before dropping out of schools', Journal of Education for Students Placed at Risk, 8(3), pp. 309-332.

Lantieri, L. and Zakrzewski, V. (2015) 'How SEL and mindfulness can work together', Greater Good Magazine Science-Based Insights for a Meaningful Life. Available at: https://greatergood. berkeley.edu/article/item/how_social_ emotional_learning_and_mindfulness_ can_work_together.

Lee, V.E. and Burkam, D.T. (2003) 'Dropping out of high school: the role of school organization and structure', American Educational Research Journal, 2, pp. 353–393.

Levstik, L.S. and Barton, K.C. (1996). 'They still use some of their past': historical salience in elementary children's chronological thinking, Journal of Curriculum Studies, 28(5), pp. 531–576.

Lieberman, M.D. (2012) 'Education and the social brain', Trends in Neuroscience and Education, 1(1), pp. 3–9. Linell, P. (2009) Rethinking language, mind, and world dialogically: interactional and contextual theories of human sensemaking. Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.

Longstreet, W.S. and Shane, H.G. (1993) Curriculum for a new millennium. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Lyubomirsky, S., King, L. and Diener, E. (2005). 'The benefits of frequent positive affect: does happiness lead to success?', Psychological Bulletin, 131(6), pp. 803-855.

Macklem, G.L. (2015) Boredom in the classroom: addressing student motivation, self-regulation, and engagement in learning. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-13120-7.

Mahoney, J.L., Weissberg, R.P., Greenberg, M.T., Dusenbury, L., Jagers, R.J., and Yoder, N. (2020) 'Systemic social and emotional learning: promoting educational success for all preschool to high school students', American Psychologist. doi: 10.1037/amp0000701.

Marzano, R.J., Marzano, J.S. and Pickering, D.J. (2003) Classroom management that works. Alexandra, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Maslach, C., Jackson, S.E. and Leiter, M.P. (1996) MBI: Maslach burnout inventory. Sunnyvale, CA: CPP, Incorporated. Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W.B. and Lieter, M.P. (2001) 'Job burnout', Annual Review of Psychology, 52, pp. 397–422.

Maslow, A.H. (1946) 'Problemcentering vs. means-centering in science', Philosophy of Science, 13(4), pp. 326–331.

McLean, L. and Connor, C. M. (2015) 'Depressive symptoms in third-grade teachers: relations to classroom quality and student achievement', Child Development, 86(3), pp. 945–954.

Mendelson, T., Greenberg, M.T., Dariotis, J.K., Gould, L.F., Rhoades, B.L. and Leaf, P. J. (2010) 'Feasibility and preliminary outcomes of a school-based mindfulness intervention for urban youth', Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 38(7), pp. 985–994.

Merritt, E.G., Wanless, S.B., Rimm-Kaufman, S.E., Cameron, C. and Peugh, J.L. (2012) 'The contribution of teachers' emotional support to children's social behaviors and self-regulatory skills in first grade', School Psychology Review, 41, pp. 141–159.

Milkie, M.A. and Warner, C.H. (2011) 'Classroom learning environments and the mental health of first grade children', Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 52, pp. 4–22.

Montgomery, C. and Rupp, A.A. (2005) 'A meta-analysis for exploring the diverse

R E F E R E N C E S

causes and effects of stress in teachers', Canadian Journal of Education, 28, pp. 458–486.

Morgan, A., Pendergast, D., Brown, R. and Heck, D. (2015) 'Relational ways of being an educator: Trauma-informed practice supporting disenfranchised young people', International Journal of Inclusive Education, 19(10), pp. 1037–1051.

Morrison, B. (2013) 'Restorative justice in schools', in Elliott, E. and Gordon, R. (eds.) New directions in restorative justice. Willan, pp. 50–76.

Nation, M., Crusto, C., Wandersman, A., Kumpfer, K.L., Seybolt, D., ... Davino, K. (2003) 'What works in prevention: principles of effective prevention programs', American Psychologist, 58, pp. 449–456.

National Research Council (NRC) (2012) Education for life and work: developing transferable knowledge and skills in the 21st century. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

National School Climate Council (NSCC), Center for Social and Emotional Education (CSEE) and National Center for Learning and Citizenship (NCLC) at Education Commission of the States (ECS) (2007) The school climate challenge: narrowing the gap between school climate research and school climate policy, practice guidelines and teacher education policy. A White Paper. Available at: https://schoolclimate.org/wp-content/ uploads/2021/05/school-climatechallenge-web.pdf.

Nelson, J.L. (2001) 'Defining social studies, in Stanley, W.B. (ed.), Critical issues in social studies research for the 21st century. Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing, pp. 15–38.

Newmann, F.M. (1990) 'Higher order thinking in teacher social studies: a rationale for the assessment of classroom thoughtfulness', Journal of Curriculum Studies, 22, pp. 41–56.

Nieto, S. (1994) 'Moving beyond tolerance in multicultural education', Multicultural Education, 1(9–12), pp. 35–38.

Noddings, N. (2012) 'The caring relation in teaching', Oxford Review of Education, 38(6), pp. 771-781.

Noddings, N. (2013). Caring: a relational approach to ethics and moral education Berkeley: University of California Press.

Novak, J., Murray, M., Scheuermann, A. and Curran, E. (2009) 'Enhancing the preparation of special educators through service learning: evidence from two preservice courses', International Journal of Special Education, 24(1), pp. 32–44.

Oberle, E. and Schonert-Reichl, K.A. (2016) 'Stress contagion in the classroom? The link between classroom teachers' burnout and morning cortisol in elementary school students', Social Science and Medicine, 159, pp. 30–37.

O'Connor, K. E. (2008) "You choose to care": teachers, emotions and professional identity', Teaching and Teacher Education, 24(1), pp. 117–126.

O'Toole, C. and Simovska, V. (forthcoming) 'Wellbeing and education: connecting mind, body and world', in Fauchner, C., McLellan, R.W. and Simovska, V. (eds.) Wellbeing and schooling: cross cultural and cross disciplinary perspectives. Oxford: Taylor & Francis.

Palmer, P.J. (1983) To know as we are known: education as a spiritual journey. New York: Harper Collins.

Palmer, P.J. (2017) The courage to teach guide for reflection and renewal. Italy: John Wiley & Sons.

Parker, W.C. (2008) 'Knowing and doing in democratic citizenship education', in Levstik, L.S. and Tyson, C.A. (eds.) Handbook of research in social studies education. New York: Routledge, pp. 65–80.

Pianta, R.C. (1999) Enhancing relationships between children and teachers. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Pianta, R.C. (2006) 'Classroom management and relationships between children and teachers: implications for

research and practice', in Evertson, C.M. and Weinstein, C.S. (eds.) Handbook of classroom management: research, practice, and contemporary issues. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum, pp. 685–710.

Pianta, R.C., Hamre, B.K. and Allen, J. P. (2012) 'Teacher student relationships and engagement: conceptualizing, measuring, and improving the capacity of classroom interactions', in Christenson, S.L., Reschly, A.L. and Wylie, C. (eds.), Handbook of research on student engagement. Berlin: Springer, pp. 365–386.

Quin, D. (2017) 'Longitudinal and contextual associations between teacher– student relationships and student engagement: a systematic review', Review of Educational Research, 87(2), pp. 345–387.

Roeser, R.W. and Pinela, C. (2014) 'Mindfulness and compassion training in adolescence: a developmental contemplative science perspective', New Directions for Youth Development, 142, pp. 9–30.

Roeser, R.W., Colaianne, B.A. and Greenberg, M.A. (2018) 'Compassion and human development: current approaches and future directions', Research in Human Development, 15(3–4), pp. 238–251.

Roeser, R.W., Schonert-Reichl, K.A., Jha, A., Cullen, M., Wallace, L., . . . Harrison, J. (2013) 'Mindfulness training and reductions in teacher stress and burnout: results from two randomized, waitlistcontrol field trials', Journal of Educational Psychology, 105, pp. 787–804.

Roorda, D.L., Koomen, H.M., Spilt, J.L. and Oort, F.J. (2011). 'The influence of affective teacher–student relationships on students' school engagement and achievement: a meta-analytic approach', Review of Educational Research, 81(4), pp. 493–529.

Schonert-Reichl, K.A. and Roeser, R.W. (eds.) (2016) Handbook of mindfulness in education: integrating theory and research into practice. New York, NY: Springer.

Schonert-Reichl, K.A., Hanson-Peterson, J.L. and Hymel, S. (2015) 'SEL and preservice teacher education', in Durlak, J.A., Weissberg, R.P., Domitrovich, C.E. and Gullotta, T.P. (eds.) Handbook of social and emotional learning: research and practice. New York and London: The Guilford Press, pp. 406–421.

Schonert-Reichl, K.A., Kitil, M.J. and Hanson-Peterson, J. (2017) To reach the students, teach the teachers: a national scan of teacher preparation and social and emotional learning. Vancouver, BC: CASEL.

Schwarzer, R. and Hallum, S. (2008) 'Perceived teacher self-efficacy as a predictor of job stress and burnout: mediation analyses. Applied Psychology, 57, pp. 152–171. Segall, A. (2004) 'Revisiting pedagogical content knowledge: the pedagogy of content/the content of pedagogy', Teaching and Teacher Education, 20, pp. 489–504.

Shanahan, T. and Shanahan, C. (2008) 'Teaching disciplinary literacy to adolescents: rethinking content-area literacy', Harvard Educational Review, 78 (1), pp. 40–59.

Shankar, N.L. and Park, C.L. (2016) 'Effects of stress on students' physical and mental health and academic success', International Journal of School & Educational Psychology, 4(1), pp. 5–9.

Shedd, C. (2015) Unequal city: race, schools, and perceptions of injustice. New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation.

Shulman, L.S. (2004) The wisdom of practice: essays on teaching, learning, and learning to teach. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Siebert, C.J. (2005) 'Promoting preservice teachers' success in classroom management by leveraging a local union's resources: a professional development school initiative', Education, 125, pp. 385–392.

Singer, A.J. (2003) Social studies for secondary schools: teaching to learn, learning to teach. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

R E F E R E N C E S

Sparks, D. and Malkus. N. (2015) 'Public school teacher autonomy in the classroom across school years 2003–2004, 2007– 2008, 2011–2012'. Stats in Brief (NCES 2015-089). US Department of Education National Center for Education Statistics.

Spilt, J.L., Koomen, H.M. and Thijs, J.T. (2011) 'Teacher wellbeing: the importance of teacher–student relationships', Educational Psychology Review, 23(4), pp. 457–477.

Stanley, W.B. and Nelson, J.L. (1994) 'The foundations of social education in historical context', in Martusewicz, R.A. and Reynolds, W.M. (eds.) Inside/out: contemporary critical perspectives in education. New York, NY: St. Martin's Press, pp. 266–284.

Stellar, J.E., Gordon, A.M., Piff, P.K., Cordaro, D., Anderson, C. L., ... and Keltner, D. (2017) 'Self-transcendent emotions and their social functions: compassion, gratitude, and awe bind us to others through prosociality', Emotion Review, 9(3), pp. 200–207.

Stephan, W.G. (2010) 'Education and diversity', in Parker, W. (ed.), Social studies today: research and practice. New York: Routledge, pp. 67–76.

Taxonomy Project (n.d.) Explore SEL – compare skill focus across frameworks. Available at: http://exploresel.gse.harvard. edu/compare-domains/) (Accessed: 25 October 2020). Taylor, R.D., Oberle, E., Durlak, J.A. and Weissberg, R.P. (2017) 'Promoting positive youth development through school-based social and emotional learning interventions: a meta-analysis of follow-up effects', Child Development, 88(4), pp. 1156–1171.

Thapa, A., Cohen, J., Guffey, S. and Higgins-D'Alessandro, A. (2013) 'A review of school climate research', Review of Educational Research, 83, pp. 357–385.

Thornton, S.J. (1994) 'The social studies near century's end: reconsidering patterns of curriculum and instruction', Review of Research in Education, 20, pp. 223–254.

VanSledright, B.A. (2002). 'Fifth graders investigating history in the classroom: results from a researcher-practitioner design experiment', The Elementary School Journal, 103(2), pp. 131–160.

Varela, F.J., Thompson, E. and Rosch, E. (1991) The embodied mind: cognitive science and human experience. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.

Veldman, I., Van Tartwijk, J., Brekelmans, M. and Wubbels, T. (2013) 'Job satisfaction and teacher–student relationships across the teaching career: Four case studies', Teaching and Teacher Education, 32, pp. 55–65. Vinson, K.D. and Ross, E.W. (2001) 'In search of the social studies curriculum: standardization, diversity, and a conflict of appearances', in Stanley, W.B. (ed.) Critical issues in social studies research for the 21st century. Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing, pp. 39–71.

Wagner, G., Zeiler, M., Waldherr, K., Philipp, J., Truttmann, S., ... and Karwautz, A.F. (2017) 'Mental health problems in Austrian adolescents: a nationwide, two-stage epidemiological study applying DSM-5 criteria', European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 26(12), pp. 1483–1499.

Wang, M. T., Brinkworth, M. and Eccles, J. (2013) 'Moderating effects of teacher–student relationship in adolescent trajectories of emotional and behavioral adjustment', Developmental Psychology, 49(4), pp. 690- 705.

Weare, K. and Nind, M. (2011) 'Mental health promotion and problem prevention in schools: what does the evidence say?', Health Promotion International, 26(S1), pp. i29–i69.

Wearmouth, J., Mckinney, R. and Glynn, T. (2007) 'Restorative justice in schools: a New Zealand example', Educational Research, 49(1), pp. 37–49.

Wentzel, K.R. (1997) 'Student motivation in middle school: the role of perceived pedagogical caring', Journal of Educational Psychology, 89(3), pp. 411-419.
Wentzel, K.R. (1998) 'Social relationships and motivation in middle school: the role of parents, teachers, and peers', Journal of Educational Psychology, 90(2), pp. 202-209.

Wentzel, K.R. (2010). 'Students' relationships with teachers' in Meece, J.L. and Eccles, J.S. (eds.) Handbook of research on schools, schooling and human development. New York, NY: Routledge, pp. 93-109.

Wentzel, K.R. (2017) 'Peer relationships, motivation, and academic performance at school' in Elliot, A.J., Dweck, C.S. and Yeager, D.S. (eds.), Handbook of competence and motivation: theory and application. The Guilford Press, pp. 586–603.

Wentzel K.R. and Watkins D.E. (2011) 'Peer relationships and collaborative learning: implications for instruction', in Mayer, R. and Alexander, P. (eds), Handbook of Research on learning and instruction. New York, NY: Routledge, pp. 322-343.

Wentzel, K.R. and Wigfield, A. (1998) 'Academic and social motivational influences on students' academic performance', Educational Psychology Review, 10(2), pp. 155-175.

Westheimer, J. and Kahne, J. (2004) 'What kind of citizen? The politics of educating for democracy', American Educational Research Journal, 41, pp. 237–269. Whitaker, M.C. (2020) 'Us and them: using social identity theory to explain and re-envision teacher–student relationships in urban schools', The Urban Review, 52(4), pp. 691-707.

Wineburg, S. (1997) 'Beyond 'breadth and depth': subject matter knowledge and assessment', Theory Into Practice, 36, pp. 255–261.

Wolfram, M., Bellingrath, S., Feuerhahn, N. and Kudielka, B.M. (2013) 'Emotional exhaustion and overcommitment to work are differentially associated with hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis responses to a low-dose ACTH1–24 (Synacthen) and dexamethasone–CRH test in healthy school teachers', Stress, 16, pp. 54–64.

Yowell, C.M. and Smylie, M.A. (1999) 'Self-regulation in democratic countries', The Elementary School Journal, 99(5), pp. 469–490.

Zajonc, A. (2009) Meditation as contemplative inquiry: when knowing becomes love. Great Barrington, MA: Lindisfarne Books.

Zenner, C., Herrnleben-Kurz, S. and Walach, H. (2014) 'Mindfulness-based interventions in schools—a systematic review and meta-analysis', Frontiers in Psychology, 5, 603. doi: 10.3389/ fpsyg.2014.00603.

KEY ACRONYMS

123

3D: Three-Dimensional

ABC

AAC: Augmentative and Alternative Communication

ABI: Acquired Brain Injury

ACC: Anterior Cingulate Cortex

ADHD: Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder

AI: Artificial Intelligence

AIED: Artificial Intelligence in Educational Development

ALE: Activation Likelihood Estimation

ASC: Autism Spectrum Condition

ASD: Autism Spectrum Disorder.

AT: Assistive Technology

BDNF: Brain Derived Neurotrophic Factor

BMI: Body Mass Index

BPEB: Building Performance Evaluation

CA: Canada

CARE: Cultivating Awareness and Resilience in Education

CASEL: Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning

CBTS: Computer Based Tutoring Systems

CCA: Canadian Council for the Arts

CCE: Climate Change Education

CCL: Canadian Council on Learning

CD: Conduct Disorder

CDA: Cognitive Diagnosis Assessment

CNAT: Clasby Neurodiversity Assessment Tool

CPS: Collaborative Problem-Solving

CRPD: Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.

CSCL: Computer Supported Collaborative Learning

CVT: Control-Value Theory

DEF

DA: Dynamic Assessment

DBCFSN: Detroit Black Community Food Security Network DESD: Decade of Education for Sustainable Development

DfE: Department for Education

DFID: Department for International Development

DH: Department of Health.

DI: Differentiated Instruction

DNA: Deoxyribonucleic Acid

DSD: Department of Social Development

DSM: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders

DSMMD: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders

DT: Design Thinking

DTI: Diffusion Tensor Imaging

DWCPD: Department for Women, Children and Persons with Disabilities

EBE: Evidence Based Education

ECCE: Early Childhood Care and Education

ECE: Early Childhood Education

EdTech: Education Technology

EE: Environmental Education

EEF: Education Endowment Foundation

EEG: Electroencephalography

EF: Executive Functions

EFA: Education for All

EFL: English as a Foreign Language

EfS: Education for Sustainability

EI: Education International

EN: Educational Neuroscience

ePEN: Electronic Performance Evaluation Network

ESD: Education for Sustainable Development

ESE: Environmental and Sustainability Education

FEC: Futures of Education Commission

fMRI: functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging

fNIRS: functional Near-Infrared Spectroscopy

GHI

GDP: Gross Domestic Product

GEB: General Ecological Behaviour

GHG: Greenhouse Gas

GIFT: Generalized Intelligent Framework for Tutoring

GIRFEC: Getting It Right For Every Child

GNP: Gross National Product

GPE: Global Partnership for Education

GWAS: Genome-Wide Association Study

HCT: Human Capital Theory

IPCC: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

IPS: Intraparietal Sulcus

IQ: Intelligence Quotient

IRT: Item Response Theory

ISEE Assessment: International Science and Evidence based Education Assessment

ISTE: International Society for Technology in Education

JKL

J-PAL: Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab

KBS: Keep Back Straight

LA: Learning Analytics

LAC: Latin American Country

LATAM: Latin America

LGBTQ+: Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer or Questioning

LMICs: Low- and Middle-Income Countries

LTD: Long-Term Depression

LTP: Long-Term Potentiation

LUOTS: Lightning Up the Old Train Station

MNO

MA: Millennium Ecosystem Assessment

MBE: Mind, Brain and Education

MDES: Minimum Detectable Effect Size

MDG: Millennium Development Goal

MEG: Magnetoencephalography

ACRONYMS

MOOC: Massive Open Online Course

MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging

MTSS: Multi-Tier Systems of Support

NAPLAN: National Assessment Program – Literacy and Numeracy

NCEE: National College Entrance Exam

NCLB-Act: No Child Left Behind-Act

NCP: Nature's Contribution to People

NEA: National Education Association

NEP: New Ecological Paradigm

NGO: Non-Governmental Organization

NRC: National Research Council

OECD: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

PQRS

PBL: Project Based Learning

PE: Physical Education

PERMA: Positive Emotions, Engagement, (positive) Relationships, Meaning, and Accomplishment

PET: Positron Emission Tomography

PFC: Prefrontal Cortex

PGS: Polygenic Score

PISA: Programme for International Student Assessment

PISA-D: PISA for Development

POC: People of Colour

POE: Post Occupancy Evaluation

PTE: Pearson Test of English

PTSD: Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder

R&D: Research and Development

RAN: Rapid Automatized Naming

RCP: Representative Concentration Pathways

RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial

RD: Reading Disorder

REM: Rapid Eye Movement

ROI: Return on Investment

RtI: Response to Intervention

SCS: Sustainable Community Schools

SDG: Sustainable Development Goal

SDM: Summary for Decision-Makers

SEAL: Social and Emotional Aspects of Learning

SEF: Stage-Environment Fit

SEL: Social and Emotional Learning

SEND: Special Educational Needs and Disabilities

SES: Socio-economic Status SLD: Specific Learning Disability

SMART: Stress Management and Resiliency Training

SNP: Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms

SOGIE: Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity Expression

STEAM: Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts and Mathematics

STEM: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics

TUV

TALIS: Teaching and Learning International Survey

TBI: Traumatic Brain Injury

TFI: Teach for India

ToM: Theory of Mind

TPB: Theory of Planned Behaviour

TPJ: Temporoparietal Junction

UDL: Universal Design for Learning

UK (or U.K.): United Kingdom

UKABIF: United Kingdom Acquired Brain Injury Forum

UN: United Nations

UNCRC: United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child

UNDESA: United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs

UNDESD: United Nations Decade of Education for Sustainable Development

UNEP: United Nations Environment Programme

UNESCO: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

UNESCO MGIEP: UNESCO Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Education for Peace and Sustainable Development

UNFCCC: United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

UNICEF: United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund UNPF: United Nations Population Fund

UNPFA: United Nations Fund for Population Activities

USA: United States of America

USSR: Union of Soviet Socialist Republics

VRU: Violence Reduction Unit

VS: Ventral Striatum

VUCA: Volatile, Uncertain, Complex and Ambiguous.

WXYZ

WEIRD: Western, Educated, Industrialised, Rich and Democratic WG1: Working Group 1 (of the ISEE Assessment)

WG2: Working Group 2 (of the ISEE Assessment)

WG3: Working Group 3 (of the ISEE Assessment)

WG4: Working Group 4 (of the ISEE Assessment)

WHO: World Health Organization

WSSD: World Summit on Sustainable Development

WWF: World Wide Fund for Nature

ZPD: Zone of Proximal Development

GLOSSARY

WORKING GROUP - 1



Adolescence

Adolescence refers to the developmental period between childhood and adulthood, that is, when children are eleven to nineteen years of age.

See also: infancy, childhood

Amygdala

The amygdala is a subcortical brain structure and is part of the limbic system (as are the hypothalamus and hippocampus). The amygdala is critical for learning (e.g., forming memories) about the emotional significance of (positive and negative) stimuli, emotion processing and emotional responses, but has also been implicated in processes such memory processing, motivation, anticipating reward, and decision making. The amygdala - therefore - is involved in all learning, most notably social-emotional learning. Furthermore, the amygdala is closely linked to activity of the HPA-axis.

See also: hippocampus, HPA-axis

Anterior cingulate cortex

The anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) is a brain region involved in various complex cognitive functions such as error detection, attention, decision-making, empathy, cognitive/impulse control and affect regulation. The ACC has connections to both the limbic system and the prefrontal cortex.

Assessment in the context of ISEE

Assessment in the context of the ISEE refers to a deliberative process in which experts from different fields, arriving from a number of disciplines and different regions in the world, consider various perspectives on a certain concept/domain (here: education) and based on past literature, theories and deliberations arrive at informed key messages, findings and recommendations.

Assessment in the context of learning and education

Assessment in the context of learning and education operates at various levels of education systems: students, teachers, schools and entire systems themselves. Assessment can be seen as a constant activity occurring during formal or informal teaching as a teacher evaluates student understanding and reflects on their work, and as students reflect on and regulate their own learning (learner or student assessment). Assessment occurs constantly throughout one's life and offers evaluation and feedback on one's progression in relation to any problem or task. Furthermore, assessment is also a formal practice that occurs at the teacher, school and policy-making level.

Capability

Capability refers to a person's effectiveness in promoting a designated outcome. Having the capability to become 'x' means that it can be predicted that he will become x if he makes the effort. A capability is what is within a person's power to do and what he is free to do.

See also: capacity, potentials and propensity

Capability Approach to Education

The capability approach (or capabilitarianism) is an educational philosophy and policy originally devised by the Indian economist Amartya Sen (1933). The capability approach stresses that (educational) policies should (also) strive to enlarge people's capabilities.

Capacity

A capacity is a possibility; ascribing a capacity to someone means that one denies a presupposed argument for the necessity that she cannot do/be (come) x. Having a capacity means that one assumes that if the conditions are correct, someone would be able to do or become what the capacity indicates she is able to do or become. A capacity notion of potential only denies that a person cannot acquire some characteristic, it does not say that he will.

See also: capability, potentials and propensity.

Childhood

Childhood refers to the developmental period between infancy and adolescence, that is, when children are two to eleven years of age.

Citizenship education

Citizenship education emphasises that (on of) the goal(s) of education is forming critical citizens able to reflect on politics, sensitive to questions of social and economic justice and aware of power relations among individuals, groups, genders and so on. Citizenship education strives (or should strive) to form active, responsible and participatory citizens rather than docile subjects.

Cognition

Cognition is the mental process involved

in knowing, understanding and learning.

Contemplative Science

Contemplative Science aims to integrate insights about the optimization of human development from both science and the wisdom of the world's contemplative meditative traditions in order to gain a better understanding of the nature of the mind and of life, as well as how various practises can help us to cultivate skills leading to a personally meaningful and socially beneficial life.

COVID-19 (pandemic)

COVID-19 refers to a coronavirus that first emerged in December 2019 and has led to a pandemic in 2020-2021 (which is still continuing at this time of writing) resulting in lockdowns and school closures across the world.

Curriculum

Curriculum is seen as both the object concerned with the materialisation of policies and subject matters, and the experience and the process that comes to life in teaching and learning. It is both the collective experience of a class and the first-person experience of a lifelong journey. It includes both the top-down elements of policy-making and teacher deliberation as well as the bottom-up processes that are introduced into the learning experience as students interact with teachers and other students and engage formally and informally with the curriculum.

See also: teaching



Curricular domain

We define a 'curricular domain' as a relatively distinct area/field that includes knowledge and practises to be learned.

DEF

Delors Report

The Delors report, officially titled "Learning: The Treasure Within" was created by the Delors commission in 1996 in order to provide a basis for reflection and debate about what choices should be made in formulating (educational) policies. The report emphasises the importance of a humanistic approach to education and establishes "the four pillars" of education, namely: learning to be, learning to know, learning to do, and learning to live together. The report has further emphasised and updated the concept of lifelong learning.

Education

Education is a societal process that shapes human behaviour and social action. It stands for three central types of activities of teachers and students, namely teaching, learning and evaluation, that each express a particular relationship with the actors involved. Education can be framed as a broad, complex system consisting of a set of human and non-human elements and the relationships between them, e.g., teacher-student, self-other, self-self, self-society, self-ecology. Human elements include students, teachers, administrators, parents, policymakers, stakeholders and various others. Non-human elements comprise learning spaces - classes, schools, virtual, outdoor, textbooks, etc. The term complex system entails the presence in the system of a group of multiple components working both independently and interdependently that prevent the system from being fully controlled and predicted, hence it is bound to evolve in unexpected ways.

Educational system

The educational system consists of a set of human and non-human elements and the relationships between them. Human elements include not only students and teachers but extends to include administrators, parents, policymakers, stakeholders and various others. Nonhuman elements comprise learning spaces - classes, schools, virtual, outdoor, textbooks, etc.

Education technology (EdTech)

Technology refers to the artefacts which are invented or adapted with the purpose of addressing human challenges. In this context, artefacts can assume a material (i.e. computer hardware) or nonmaterial form (i.e. software); technology also includes associated processes that surround the use of the artefacts. Education Technology (EdTech) refers to any technology (process or tool) applied in an educational context or as a solution to an educational problem

Emotion regulation

Emotion regulation refers to recognizing and managing emotions.

Eudaimonic theory of well-being.

Eudaimonic theories of well-being suggest that persons live a life of well-being if

GLOSSARY Working Group- 1

they realise goods that are deemed to be objectively good for all people or if they develop or have developed their human capacities to the full (i.e., functioning well).

Formal Curriculum

The formal (or "planned" or "taught") curriculum refers to that which is formally taught.

GHI

Hedonic theory of well-being

Hedonic (or subjective) theories of wellbeing equate well-being to having positive emotions about life and presume that individuals themselves are the judges of their well-being (i.e.,, 'feeling well').

Hidden Curriculum

A hidden curriculum is a set of lessons "which are learned but not openly intended" to be taught in school such as the norms, values, and beliefs conveyed in both the classroom and social environment.

Human Flourishing

Human flourishing is both the optimal continuing development of human beings' potentials and living well as human beings. Living well as a human being means being engaged in relationships and activities that are meaningful, i.e. aligned with both their own values and humanistic values, in a way that is satisfying to them. Flourishing is conditional on the contribution of individuals and requires an enabling environment (e.g., fulfil basic biological and existential needs). It can be regarded as a particular interpretation of wellbeing. Furthermore, flourishing involves community and is an interpersonal, not a personal pursuit.

Hippocampus

The hippocampus is a brain structure located in the allocortex and is part of the limbic system (as are the amygdala and hypothalamus). The hippocampus is primarily involved in memory processes and learning. Furthermore, the hippocampus is closely linked to activity of the HPA-axis.

See also: amygdala, HPA-axis

HPA-Axis

The Hypothalamic Pituitary Adrenal (HPA)-Axis is a biological stress system (i.e., neuroendocrine system) that controls reactions to stress as well as many body processes. HPA-axis activity follows a circadian rhythm and is activated in response to cognitive (e.g. fear, excitement, anxiety) or non-cognitive (e.g. infections) stressors. Furthermore, the HPA-axis is closely linked to activity of the amygdala and hippocampus.

See also: amygdala, hippocampus.

Human capital

Human capital refers to people's knowledge, skills and abilities that can increase production and contribute to economic growth and employment. The human capital approach to education proposes that education has a vital economic role. It (therefore) sees the domains of reading, mathematics and



science (as opposed to, e.g., the arts, humanities, physical education, and social skills) as critical for preparing individuals to fulfil their productive potential and thus contribute to maximising national or corporate economic performance.

Infancy

Infancy refers to the developmental stage between birth and two years of age.

Interdisciplinary/Multidisciplinary/ Transdisciplinary

Three terms used interchangeably in the ISEE which refer to combining and/or involving several academic disciplines or professional specializations in assessing education and learning.

JKL

Knowledge-based economy

The knowledge-based economy refers to an economy that stresses the importance of knowledge, and especially scientific and technological knowledge, to economic growth.

Learning

Learning refers to coming to make sense of what one is taught and happens when students' potentialities are evoked to come to understanding in agential ways of being and acting. Learning would fail to be learning, if students' potentials are not evoked in the quest to gain understanding, insight, and be encouraged to embark on an academic, political, economic, social and environmental journey with a quest for human flourishing. The broad

perspective of learning encompasses both learning as process, as experience, and as outcomes. Learning is a process of active meaning-making situated in context, based on which relatively permanent changes occur within any one or more of the following: human dispositions, capabilities, knowledge, behaviours, values, attitudes, and/ or preferences. Learning thus involves relational, embodied, affective and non-conscious ways of knowing and is inherently social, emotional, relational and affective. Learning is heavily influenced by cognitive, emotional, motivational and social brain processes that are all interdependent, as well as by culture (e.g., value and belief systems and practises shared by groups) and other environmental factors (e.g., socioeconomic status/SES).

Learning context

The learning context encompasses such factors as communication styles, performance expectations, classroom structures and rules, school organisational climate, commitment to the academic success for all students, district policies, and parental and community involvement.

Learning spaces

Learning spaces are physical (built or natural) and digital spaces or sites in which education and learning occurs, e.g., schools, outdoors, nature, virtual/ digital. Furthermore, 'spaces' here can be understood as the way in which geography shapes social relations and practises, connecting things and people.

GLOSSARY Working Group- 1

Learning trajectories

The learning trajectories reflect the changes in an individual's knowledge, values and practises as she or he engages with the curriculum, reacts and responds to it, which brings forth the learning experience.

Living well

Living well as a human being in this report means being engaged in relationships with e.g., humans, animals and the environment as well as being engaged in activities that are meaningful (i.e. aligned with both one's own values and humanistic values in a way that is satisfying to an individual) and having the agency to do so.

MNO

Metacognition

Metacognition is "thinking about thinking" or "learning to learn" and refers to processes such as monitoring of attention, emotion and behaviour. Students can use metacognitive processes and strategies to monitor and reflect on their own learning.

Mindfulness

Mindfulness refers to "both a mental state and a set of practises that are characterised by two components: 1) the self-regulation of attention, so that it is maintained on immediate experience, thereby allowing for the increased recognition of mental events in the present moment; and 2) the adoption of an orientation towards one's experiences in the present moment, characterised by curiosity, openness, and acceptance. Mindfulness has as its foundation awareness of breath, body, mind (thoughts and emotions), and phenomenal experience. Mindfulness practises tap cognitive processes (attention and EFs) as well as social-emotional skills (prosocial behaviour, emotion awareness).

Mixed/Blended theory of well-being

Mixed (or blended) theories of wellbeing see both the realisation of objective goods as necessary for well-being and the positive evaluation of this by the person herself.

Neuroplasticity

Neural plasticity (or neuroplasticity) refers to the anatomical and functional changes of the brain underlying cognitive and behavioural changes during development in relation to place, time and contextspecific experiences or in response to an intervention, e.g. learning or training.

Optimal development

Optimal development is used in this report to explicitly express the agentrelative (i.e., individual) aspirational quality of flourishing. For example, what is optimal for human A can be different from what is optimal for human B.

PQRS

Pillars of Learning

The four pillars of learning were brought forth by the Delors report (UNESCO, 1996) and include 1) Learning to know, 2) Learning to be, 3) Learning to do, and



4) Learning to live together. They are now known as the six learning trajectories. See also: Delors report

Prefrontal Cortex

The prefrontal cortex (PFC) is a brain region located at the front of the frontal lobe. The PFC linked to a variety of complex behaviours and processes such as metacognitive skills including monitoring of attention, emotions and thinking patterns, and executive functioning skills (e.g., working memory, inhibition/cognitive control and cognitive flexibility). The PFC regulates the activity of the limbic system (see Amygdala and Hippocampus).

Positive Education

Positive education refers to the use of approaches with empirical support from positive psychology used within educational settings, to enable students to learn and develop approaches which support flourishing and well-being.

Positive Neuroscience

Positive neuroscience seeks to unravel the neural mechanisms that support flourishing, psychological well-being, resilience and promotion of health.

Positive Psychology

Positive psychology is the scientific study of what makes life most worth living, focusing on both individual and societal well-being.

Potentials

Potentials encompass capacity (i.e., possibility; having a capacity means that

one assumes that if the conditions are correct, someone would be able to do or become what the capacity indicates she is able to do or become, but does not say she will), propensity (i.e., a conditionally predictable endpoint will be reached if the right conditions are present) and capability (i.e., a person's power to effectively pursue what he has set out to do). Developing one's full potential is part of human flourishing. See also: capability, capacity, and propensity

Propensity

The propensity to become something or other or to acquire a feature of a certain sort expresses that a conditionally predictable endpoint (which can be good or bad) will be reached if conditions x-z are present.

See also: capability, capacity, and potentials

School climate

School climate is based on patterns of people's experiences of school life and reflects norms, goals, values, interpersonal relationships, teaching and learning practises, and organisational structures. Compare with: School culture.

School culture

School culture refers to a general set of norms, beliefs, and practises or "the way things are done around here". Compare with: School climate.

Science

Science is the pursuit and application of knowledge and understanding of the natural and social world following

GLOSSARY Working Group- 1

a systematic methodology based on evidence.

Science of Learning

Science of Learning is an approach that recognises the value and importance of cross-fertilization across multiple disciplines drawing on many different methods and techniques to understand how learning occurs with the ultimate goal of optimising learning for all.

Self-Determination Theory

Self-determination theory is a 'needs' theory of motivation positing that humans have three universal psychological needs, namely: the need for autonomy, the need for competence, and the need for relatedness, which promote optimal human functioning and well-being. The need for autonomy is satisfied when behaviour, feelings and thoughts are experienced as one's own choice and self-endorsed. The need for competence describes a sense of mastery in activities that one considers important. The need for relatedness concerns the sense of connectedness with those who are important to an individual, in the schoolcontext e.g., teachers and peers at school.

Self-Regulatory Capacity

The self-regulatory capacity, one of the two neurocognitive well-being capacities, describes the ability to manage our attention, emotions and behaviour in ways that foster our flourishing and postulates that adaptive regulation of mind wandering (daydreaming or random offtask ruminative thinking) is a necessary prerequisite for this ability.

Self-World Capacity

The self-world capacity, one of the two neurocognitive wellbeing capacities, describes an overarching integrated state or trait of cognition, affect and awareness that determines our sense of self and reality in connection to others and the world more broadly.

Social Emotional Learning (SEL)

Social emotional learning (SEL) involves the processes through which people acquire and effectively apply the knowledge, attitudes, skills and competencies to recognize, understand and manage their emotions, feel and show empathy, care and concern for others, establish and achieve positive goals, develop and maintain positive relationships, make responsible decisions and handle challenging situations.

Systemic Social Emotional Learning (SEL)

Systemic SEL is an approach to create equitable learning conditions that actively involve all Pre-K to Grade 12 students in learning and practising social, emotional, and academic competencies.

TUV

Teaching

Teaching refers to the activity in which the teacher provokes students to come to an understanding. Teaching is an activity in which an intention to propel changes in knowledge, understanding, behaviour, attitude or opinions in a student is exercised in a nurturing way through



diverse forms of human expression, such as speech, bodily demonstration, art, and silence. In contrast to notions of 'training' or 'instruction', the concept of 'teaching' encompasses the relationship between teachers and students, their shared interest in the learning process and, most importantly, an imaginative or creative sympathy joining the minds of teacher and student.

Technology

The word technology refers to the artefacts which are invented or adapted with the purpose of addressing human challenges. In this context, artefacts can assume a material (e.g. computer hardware) or nonmaterial form (e.g., software); technology also includes associated processes that surround the use of the artefacts. See also: EdTech.

Transformative Social Emotional Learning (SEL)

Transformative SEL is concerned with advancing equity in access to resources and outcomes in education. Transformative SEL competencies focus on identity, intersectionality, agency, belonging and engagement as central to furthering social-emotional development and achieving equity in education.

Ventral striatum

The ventral striatum is a brain region associated with, among others, rewarding experiences.

WXYZ

Well-being

Well-being is a multidimensional construct covering anything from cognitive appreciation of one's satisfaction with life up to subjective, highly affective experiences of happiness. In the ISEE Assessment, well-being is seen as an umbrella term of the two central concepts happiness and flourishing.



Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Education for Peace and Sustainable Development





