	olution _	EXHIBIT B: City of Piedmont 6th Cycle (2023-2031) Draft Housing Element HCD Com	ments , February 16, 202	3, and Responses	D
Find	ing/Com	ment #	Response	Section Reference	Page Number
A. Rev	view and				
A	.1	Review the previous element to evaluate the appropriateness, effectiveness, and progress in imprevised element. (Gov. Code, § 65588 (a) and (b).)	lementation, and reflect the	e results of this review	in the
1.	A.1.1	While the element includes analysis of past programs' accomplishments over the previous planning period (pp. D-19 to 24), it should also evaluate the cumulative effectiveness of the previous housing element's programs to address the housing needs of special needs populations.	Expanded narrative to address the cumulative impact of 5th Cycle programs on Special Needs populations.	Appendix D: Evaluation of the 2015-2023 Housing Element	pp. D-19 - D- 24
B. Hou	using Nee	ds, Resources, and Constraints			
В	3.1	Affirmatively further[ing] fair housing in accordance with Chapter 15 (commencing with Section 8 of fair housing in the jurisdiction. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(10)(A).)	3899.50) of Division 1 of Titi	e 2shall include an c	issessment
2.	B.1.1	Outreach and Enforcement: While the element mentions the City does not have any pending legal matters related to fair housing laws such as lawsuits or settlements (p. F-3), it should also discuss how the City proactively complies with fair housing laws and regulations. Examples include Government Code sections 65008 and 8899.50. For additional information, please see	Added list of ways that the City proactively complies with fair housing laws, including direct references to State law.	Affirmatively Furthering Fair	pp. F-8 - F-9
3.	B.1.2	Income and Racially Concentrated Areas of Affluence (RCAAs): While the element includes some broad discussion of RCAAs (p. F-15), it should include specific analysis of income and RCAA at a regional level (City compared to the broader region). The analysis should at least address trends, coincidence with other fair housing factors (e.g., race, highest resource, overpayment), effectiveness or absence of past strategies (e.g., lack of publicly assisted housing and lack of multifamily zoning), local data and knowledge and other relevant factors such as past zoning or local initiatives (see below). The element must add or modify significant and meaningful programs based on the outcomes of this analysis, including actions to improve housing mobility within and beyond City boundaries that are not limited to the regional housing need allocation (RHNA).	Added region-wide RCAA map and additional language discussing the relationship of City in influencing regional trends. Included reference to housing element programs which help promote housing mobility and access to opportunity for all income levels. Analysis did not identify any new outcomes to warrant new programs.	Appendix F: Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (Section F.2.4)	pp. F-23
4.	B.1.3	Identified Sites and Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (AFFH): The element generally discusses identified sites relative to socio-economic categories. For example, the element notes 100 percent of sites intended for lower income households are identified in the highest resource TCAC category and are not located in concentrated areas of poverty but the entire City is the highest resource category and the City does not have any concentrated areas of poverty. Instead, to evaluate whether the inventory AFFH, the analysis should address the location (e.g., neighborhoods, planning areas), number of units by income group, magnitude of the impact and, particularly, any isolation of the RHNA then evaluate how the identified sites are expected to improve and/or exacerbate fair housing conditions. For example, a large portion of the lower-income RHNA is isolated in three remote areas on the boundaries of the City yet a significant portion of the moderate and above moderate RHNA is located throughout the City. The element should evaluate these patterns and based on the outcomes of this analysis, consider identifying additional sites and add or modify programs to promote housing mobility throughout the City (Not limited to the RHNA).	Added new maps and other data illustrating proximity of Sites Inventory to public transit and other local services/amenities, demonstrating the proximity of sites in the inventory to services and amenities and that the sites inventory does not concentrate RHNA in any isolated or remote parts of the community nor exacerbate any patterns of segregation or isolation.	Appendix F: Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing (Section F.3.2)	pp. F-52 - F- 53
5.	B.1.4	<u>Local Data and Knowledge:</u> The element includes state and federal data but must utilize other sources of local data and knowledge to supplement the data and mapping including planning documents such as locally adopted ordinances, other elements of the general plan, infrastructure assessments, and mobility assessments to fully describe the impacts on fair housing patterns and trends at a local and regional level.	Added new Section F.2.1 (History of Fair Housing Issues in Piedmont) to incorporate local knowledge; other additions made throughout Appendix F where relevant.	Appendix F: Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	pp. F-4 - F-7
6.		relevant factors that contribute to fair housing issues in the jurisdiction, such as zoning, growth control measures, and other initiatives. For instance, the element can analyze historical land use	Added new "Housing Units by Type" section to examine cumulative impact of predominance of single-family housing.	Affirmatively Furthering Fair	pp. F-41 - F- 42
7.	B.1.6	<u>Contributing Factors to Fair Housing Issues:</u> Upon a complete AFFH analysis, the element must assess and prioritize contributing factors to fair housing issues and add or modify programs as	Additional analysis did not yield any new findings regarding AFFH. Other programs are updated (see responses below).	Appendix F: Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing	See updated housing programs below.

	olution _ ing/Com	EXHIBIT B: City of Piedmont 6th Cycle (2023-2031) Draft Housing Element HCD Comment #		Section Reference	Page Number
		Include an analysis of population and employment trends and documentation of projections and	· · · · · ·	ity's existing and proj	•
В	:. 2	for all income levels, including extremely low-income households. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (a)(Include an analysis and documentation of household characteristics, including level of payment c overcrowding, and housing stock condition. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (a)(2).) Analyze any special housing needs such as elderly; persons with disabilities, including a developm	ompared to ability to pay, h	_	_
		female heads of households; and families and persons in need of emergency shelter. (Gov. Code,	§ 65583, subd. (a)(7).)		Γ
8.	B.2.1	Overpayment: While the element identifies the total number of households overpaying for housing (pp. A-54 and 55), it must quantify and analyze the number of lower-income households overpaying for housing by tenure (i.e., renter and owner) and add or modify policies and programs as appropriate.	income households by tenure, added new table A- 19, referenced housing	Appendix A: Demographics and Housing Needs Assessment (Section A.5.3)	pp. A-63 - A- 64
9.	B.2.2	Extremely Low-Income Households (ELI): While the element includes some information on ELI households relative to race, it should also evaluate tenure, overpayment, resources and strategies available and the gap and magnitude of housing needs to better formulate policies and	proposed new resources	Appendix A: Demographics and Housing Needs Assessment (Section A.5.3)	pp. 73 - 74; pp. A-63 - A- 64
10.	B.2.3	Housing Costs: While the element includes information on sales and rental prices (pp. A-52 to 55), rental information from the American Community Survey does not fully reflect market conditions.	and rental units; added	Appendix A: Demographics and Housing Needs Assessment (Section A.5.2)	pp. A-54 - A- 59
11.	B.2.4	populations, it must also analyze their special housing needs. For a complete analysis of each population group, the element should discuss challenges faced by the population, the existing resources to meet those needs (availability of senior housing units, number of large units, number of deed restricted units, etc.,), an assessment of any gaps in resources, and proposed policies, programs, and funding to help address those gaps.	populations; added narrative pointing towards the Housing Element	Appendix A: Demographics and Housing Needs Assessment (Section A.3.4)	pp. A-22 - A- 41
В	. .3	An inventory of land suitable and available for residential development, including vacant sites an redevelopment during the planning period to meet the locality's housing need for a designated in public facilities and services to these sites. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (a)(3).)	-	·	-
12.	B.3.1	Realistic Capacity: The estimate of the number of units for each site must be adjusted based on the land use controls and site improvements and other factors. The element appears to identify sites with zoning that allows 100 percent nonresidential development. If so, the calculation of residential capacity should account for the likelihood of 100 percent nonresidential development. For example, the element could discuss existing or planned residential performance standards (e.g., 50 percent of development required to be residential), examine how often 100 percent nonresidential development occurs in the pertinent zone and adjust calculation accordingly or enhance policies and programs to encourage residential development.	Idevelonment does not	Appendix B: Housing Capacity Analysis and Methodology (Section B.2.3)	рр. В-7

Res	olution _	EXHIBIT B: City of Piedmont 6th Cycle (2023-2031) Draft Housing Element HCD Com	ments , February 16, 202	3, and Responses	
Finc	ling/Com	ment #	Response	Section Reference	Page Number
13.	B.3.2	Infrastructure: The element describes infrastructure concerns and limitations (p. C-30) such as water and sewer line retrofits and upsizing. However, it must also demonstrate sufficient total water and sewer capacity (existing and planned) to accommodate the regional housing need.	Expanded discussion of existing infrastructure capacity and confirmed that there is adequate infrastructure to serve the Piedmont community	Appendix C: Housing Constraints (Section C.3.7)	pp. C-3- to C- 39
14.	B.3.3	Large Sites: Sites larger than 10 acres in size are deemed inadequate to accommodate housing for lower-income households unless sites of equivalent size and affordability were developed in the prior planning period or other analysis demonstrates the suitability of these sites. The element identifies one large site of 11.9 acres (p. B-25). However, the element must also demonstrate the suitability of this site as described above. For example, the element could discuss how parceling will facilitate development with units affordable to lower-income households or how the housing will be incorporated into the overall development as part of a mixed-income approach. Based on the outcomes of this analysis, the element must add or modify programs to encourage housing for lower-income households on large sites.	Expanded narrative on opportunities for parcelization and provided additional details regarding the Surplus Lands Act, RFP for the Specific Plan, and how the site will be developed	Canacity Analysis and	pp. B-25
15.	B.3.4	Nonvacant Sites: The element describes the methodology for selecting nonvacant sites with residential development potential (p. B-9). However, to demonstrate the potential for redevelopment, the element should be revised, as follows:	See responses as follow immediately below.	Appendix B: Housing Capacity Analysis and Methodology; Section B.2.3	pp. B-14 to B-21
15.A	B.3.4	Extent Existing Uses Impede Additional Development: The element broadly describes potential for development based on parking areas and the age of structures but should further evaluate the existing uses to demonstrate the potential for redevelopment. For example, the element should describe whether the existing uses are anticipated to be razed or if the site will be intensified with existing uses remaining and if so, whether the needed parking is an impediment. The element should also discuss any indicators that the properties will turnover in the planning period such as property owner or developer interest, expiring leases, vacancy, marginal operations, lack of improvements or lack of market demand for the use.	Added description of existing use, recent improvements, and evidence of owner interest in redevelopment to all non-vacant sites at all income levels. No site presents an impediment to redevelopment in the planning period based on this additional analysis.	Appendix B: Housing Capacity Analysis and	pp. B-14 to B-21
15.B	B.3.4	Development Trends: The element lists several recent developments on nonvacant sites and concludes based on these trends, the identified sites will redevelop in the planning period. However, the element should support this conclusion by discussing how the characteristics of recent developments relate to identified sites. For example, the element lists a recent development on a site with an office building but should discuss the similarity to the identified site. For example, the element could discuss how the office building was a two-story building with operating offices, included a similarly situated parking lot and how the parking was replaced.	Provided additional information in Table B-9 describing the similarity of sample projects and the existing conditions for nonvacant sites, as well as the similarity of the projects with what is allowed in Piedmont	Appendix B: Housing Capacity Analysis and Methodology; Section B.2.3	pp. B-14 to B-21
15.C	B.3.4	In addition, if the element relies on nonvacant sites to accommodate more than 50 percent of the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) for lower-income households, it must demonstrate existing uses are not an impediment to additional residential development and will likely discontinue in the planning period. (Gov. Code, § 65583.2, subd. (g)(2).) For your information, absent findings in the resolution as part of adoption based on substantial evidence, the existing uses will be presumed to impede additional residential development and will not be utilized toward demonstrating adequate sites to accommodate the RHNA.	City will make necessary findings in resolution	n/a	n/a
16.	B.3.5	Publicly-Owned Sites: The element identifies four City-Owned parcels to accommodate a large portion of the City's lower-income RHNA and includes some broad discussion of the site characteristics and anticipated specific plan process. However, the element should specifically discuss the extent existing uses impede additional development including timing of any potential relocation or similar activity, any known conditions that preclude or affect the timing or feasibility of development in the planning period and potential schedule for development. Based on this analysis, the element should add or modify programs with a schedule of actions to facilitate development in the planning period.	Added additional description of the site conditions, the in progress RFP for the Specific Plan, and demonstrate exiting operations (under City control) do not present an impediment to redevelopment. Based on the analysis additional programs were not warranted. Program 1.L was updated with more detail and modified with new timeframes for implementation.	Canacity Analysis and	pp. B-25

Resc	olution _	EXHIBIT B: City of Piedmont 6th Cycle (2023-2031) Draft Housing Element HCD Com	ments , February 16, 202	3, and Responses	D
Find	ing/Com	ment #	Response	Section Reference	Page Number
17.	B.3.6	Environmental Constraints: While the element generally describes a few environmental conditions within the City (p. C-29), it must describe how these conditions relate to identified sites including any other known environmental or other conditions (e.g., shape, easements, relocation, parking replacement) that could impact or preclude housing development in the planning period.	Added analysis regarding the Moraga Canyon Specific Plan since it is the only site in the site inventory which could potentially be impacted by environmental constraints; added analysis regarding infrastructure constraints for development on water, sewage, and stormwater.	•	pp. C-37 - C- 41
18.	B.3.7	Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU): The element may utilize ADUs toward the RHNA based on past trends, affordability, resources and incentives and other relevant factors. While the element discusses ADU assumptions toward the RHNA based on past trends, it should also discuss other relevant factors and adjust assumptions as appropriate. Specifically, the analysis should account for the availability of the units for occupancy which was a key issue in the prior cycle as noted in the review and revise portion of the element (See Program 1.D – p. D-4). The analysis should incorporate the information gathered from the prior planning period, adjust assumptions as appropriate and if necessary, identify additional sites. In addition, for your information, HCD reviewed the City's ADU ordinance and noted some areas inconsistent with statutory requirements. HCD will provide a complete listing of ADU non-compliance issues under a separate cover. As a result, the element should add or modify existing programs to ensure the City's ADU ordinance will be undated to comply with state law	Added program 3.H to monitor ADU affordability and occupancy to support assumptions and make adjustments as needed pending the results. Added program 1.S. to confirm the ADU ordinance complies with State ADU law and will make any adjustments as needed. Maintained ADU unit assumptions.	Appendix B: Accessory Dwelling Unit estimates	рр. В-5
19.	B.3.8		Will provide electronic form after adoption.	n/a	n/a
20.	B.3.9	Zoning for a Variety of Housing Types (Emergency Shelters): The element mentions emergency shelters are permitted in Zone B (p. C-10). However, the element should also clarify shelters are permitted without discretionary action and discuss available acreage, including typical parcel sizes and the presence of reuse opportunities. In addition, the analysis should address proximity to transportation and services and any conditions inappropriate for human habitability. In addition, subsequent draft submissions may need to comply with the requirements of Chapter 654, Statutes of 2022 (AB 2339). For more information on timing requirements and these new statutory requirements, please see HCD's memo at https://www.hcd.ca.gov/sites/default/files/docs/planning-and-community/ab2339-notice.pdf.	added new figure C-1 to	Appendix C: Housing Constraints (Section C.2.2.4)	pp. C-12 - C- 14
В	.4	An analysis of potential and actual governmental constraints upon the maintenance, improvementhe types of housing identified in paragraph (1) of subdivision (c), and for persons with disability including land use controls, building codes and their enforcement, site improvements, fees and and permit procedures (Gov. Code, § 65583, s	ities as identified in the ana other exactions required of	lysis pursuant to para	graph (7),
21.	B.4.1	<u>Site Improvements:</u> While the element includes some broad description of on and off-site improvements (p. C-24), it must list actual standards and analyze their impact on the cost of development.	City's Transportation	Appendix C: Housing Constraints; Section C.2.5	pp. C-30 - C- 32

Reso	olution _	EXHIBIT B: City of Piedmont 6th Cycle (2023-2031) Draft Housing Element HCD Com	ments , February 16, 202	3, and Responses	_
Find	ing/Com	ment #	Response	Section Reference	Page Number
22.	B.4.2	the City's definition appears to have potential constraints on persons with disabilities such as requiring families to be "traditional", "sharing household activities" and requiring a "single written lease". Based on the outcomes of this analysis, the element should add or modify programs to address identified constraints and modify the family definition. In addition, the element briefly mentions a reasonable accommodation procedure, including some findings of approval. However, based on a cursory review, the procedure has provisions	Added Program 5.L to revise the definition of "family" to be broader in scope; added analysis of reasonable accommodation procedures; and subsequently added Program 4.U to modify the conditional use permit findings.	Appendix C: Housing Constraints, Section C.2.2.5	pp. C-17 - C- 19; pp. 66; pp. F-22
C. Ho	using Pro	grams			
23.	C.1.1	timelines are benchmarked to the date of housing element adoption. Those programs should be revised to reflect actual dates. Examples include Programs 1.D (Allow Religious Institution Affiliated Housing in Zone A), 1.F (Increase Allowances for Housing in Zone B), 1.G (Facilitating Multi-family development in Zone C), 1.H (Increase Allowances for Housing in Zone D), 1.P (General Plan Amendments, and 1.Q (Density Bonus Ordinance). Additionally, programs must have specific commitment to housing outcomes or deliverables. Several programs include actions with no description of how those actions will be implemented (e.g., "support", "study", "explore", "evaluate", etc.,). For example, Program 3.E states that the City will "investigate affordable housing fund" and "meet with Council in 2023 to discuss potential risks and opportunities". However, it does not make any firm commitment to establish an	Modified identified programs and other programs to include specific timing (month and year), moved some program timelines up, and generally amended programs to include specific action items	e local government is v. Code, § 65583, subd	undertaking (. (c).)
Identify actions that will be taken to make sites available during the planning period with appropriate zoning and development standards and facilities to accommodate that portion of the city's or county's share of the regional housing need for each income level that could not on sites identified in the inventory completed pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) without rezoning, and to comply with the requir Government Code section 65584.09. Sites shall be identified as needed to facilitate and encourage the development of a variety of types of income levels, including multifamily rental housing, factory-built housing, mobile homes, housing for agricultural employees, supportive his occupancy units, emergency shelters, and transitional housing. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(1).) As noted in Finding B3, the element does not include a complete site analysis; therefore, the		that could not be acco with the requirements of iety of types of housing , supportive housing, s	mmodated of g for all		
24.	C.2.1	linventory and analysis, the City may need to add or revise programs to address a shortfall of sites i	See responses as follow immediately below.	Section IV: Housing Plan: Goals, Policies, and Programs	n/a
24.A	C.2.1	Program 1.R (Lower-Income Sites to Address Shortfall): The element commits to rezone sites and address various requirements related to Government Code section 65583.2, subdivisions (h) and (i). However, the Program should also commit to the shortfall of sites, minimum acreage, allowable densities, and development standards that will facilitate maximum allowable densities.	Modified program to clarify that lower-income sites will also be subject to the densities, development standards, and uses allowed by various zoning programs.	Goal 1: New Housing Construction	pp. 50

	ing/Com	ment #	Response	Section Reference	Page
24.B	C.2.1		Modified program to, through issuance of an RFP, prepare a surplus land declaration and to receive necessary entitlements. The program sets specific timeframes.	Goal 1: New Housing Construction	Number pp. 45-48
24.C	C.2.1	Program 4.N (Allow Transitional and Supportive Housing): The Program commits to allow transitional and supportive housing similar to other dwellings. But, in addition, the element should specifically commit to permit permanent supportive housing without discretionary action in zones allowing multifamily and mixed-use development and comply with Government Code section 65651.	Modified program to permit permanent supportive housing without discretionary action in zones allowing multi-family and mixeduse development and to comply with GC 65651.	Goal 4: Elimination of Housing Constraints	pp. 69
C	:. 3	The Housing Element shall contain programs which assist in the development of adequate housing to meet the needs of extremely low-, very low-, low- and moderate-income households. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(2).)			
25.	C.3.1	The element must include programs with specific actions and timelines to assist in the development of housing for lower-income households, including ELI and special needs households, as follows:	See responses as follow immediately below.	Section IV: Housing Plan: Goals, Policies, and Programs	n/a
25.A	C.3.1		Modified program to establish a package of incentives for lower-income housing including funding, adopting priority processing, granting concessions and incentives beyond density bonus law, waiving park requirements/fees and assisting with site preparation or development. The program also commits to proactively and annually reaching out to developers of affordable housing and identify development opportunities	Goal 5: Special Needs Populations	рр. 76
25.B	C.3.1	Program 5.D (Persons with Development Disabilities): The Program should include actions beyond an explanation of the process to retrofit a home. For example, the Program could commit to work with regional providers and non-profit developers to identify development opportunities, pursue funding, develop a pilot program or other actions more geared toward housing outcomes.	identify development	Goal 5: Special Needs Populations	рр. 76-77
25.C	C.3.1	Program 5.H (ELI Households): While the Program commits to "explore" ways to expand housing for ELI households, it should also commit to pursue and establish strategies. In addition, the Program commits to amend zoning to allow SROs but should also commit to appropriate development standards and permit procedures that encourage the use.	Modified program to pursue and establish strategies to increase ELI housing and to circulate an RFP for consultants to develop standards to encourage SROs, cohousing, etc.	Goal 5: Special Needs Populations	pp. 79-81
25.D	C.3.1	Program 5.E (Housing Support for Families in Crisis): In addition to providing support and referral to County services, the element should also seek to develop opportunities within the City to address the housing needs of special needs populations such as specific efforts within Program 1.L (Specific Plan) or identifying additional sites, capacity, and funding to pursue permanent supportive housing.	Modified program to identify additional capacity and funding to pursue permanent supportive housing and to seek grant funding to support housing for families in crisis.	Goal 5: Special Needs Populations	pp. 77
25.E	C.3.1	Program 5.F (Faith Community Participation): The Program should go beyond identifying potential partners and commit to establish partnerships and pursue strategies such as providing technical assistance, amending zoning, and assisting with funding application and coordinating with non-profit agencies and developers.	Modified program to provide technical assistance, refer to zoning amendments, and conduct outreach meetings.	Goal 5: Special Needs Populations	pp. 78-79

Find	ing/Com	ment #	Response	Section Reference	Page Number
C.4		Address and, where appropriate and legally possible, remove governmental and nongovernmental constraints to the maintenance, improvement, and development of housing, including housing for all income levels and housing for persons with disabilities. The program shall remove constraints to, and provide reasonable accommodations for housing designed for, intended for occupancy by, or with supportive services for, persons with disabilities. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(3).)			
26.	C.4.1	1	See response as follows immediately below.	Section IV: Housing Plan: Goals, Policies, and Programs	n/a
26.A	C.4.1	development standards that improve approval certainty and facilitate maximum densities without exceptions. For example, Program 1.G (Development in Zone C) could commit to	Removed "consider" and "possible" language and specified particular height increases.	Goal 1: New Housing Construction	pp. 42-44
26.B	C.4.1	Charter on multifamily development and take appropriate action to address the constraint. These actions were not limited to the RHNA. In response, the City appears to have taken no action to address this fundamental constraint on housing choices and, as a result, the current programs must have specific commitment to address the constraint. For example, Program 4.H should go	Modified programs to include a schedule of actions and clarified that proposed zoning programs can take place without modification of the charter	-	pp. 64-66
26.C		Program 4.P (Residential Care Facilities): The Program should commit to permit group homes for six or fewer persons as a single-family residential use regardless of licensing. In addition, regarding group homes for seven or more persons, the Program commits to considering other provisions but, instead, should specifically commit to amend procedures and zoning to allow these uses in all residential zones with objectivity to promote approval certainty similar to other residential uses of the same form. For more information, please see HCD's guidance at https://www.hcd.ca.gov/sites/default/files/docs/planning-and-community/group-home-technical-advisory-2022.pdf.	Modified program to develop objective design standards and appropriate conditional use permit findings for residential care facilities for seven or more persons in Zone B and Zone D and to allow residential care facilities consistent with State law and consider provisions for care facilities for both up to six persons and for seven or more persons.	Goal 4: Elimination of Housing Constraints	pp. 70
С	5	Promote and affirmatively further fair housing opportunities and promote housing throughout the race, religion, sex, marital status, ancestry, national origin, color, familial status, or disability, and			-
27.	C.5.1	As noted in Finding A1, the element must include a complete assessment of fair housing. Based on the outcomes of that analysis, the element must add or modify programs. Programs to AFFH should go beyond status quo actions, include specific commitment, discrete timing, geographic targeting and metrics or numerical targets and should generally address housing mobility, encourage new housing choices in higher resource areas, improve place-based strategies toward community revitalization and protect existing residents from displacement. For example, the element must add significant and meaningful housing mobility actions to promote housing choices and affordability (not limited to the RHNA) and overcome the existing patterns in the City relative to the broader region.	Modified Program 1.L to clarify that all of Piedmont is a high resource area, and that any lower-income housing development in Piedmont promotes housing mobility actions to promote housing choices and affordability and to overcome the existing patterns in the City relative to the broader region. Additional analysis (see above) did not identify need for additional programs.	Section IV: Housing Plan: Goals Policies	pp. 47
С	7.6	Develop a plan that incentivizes and promotes the creation of accessory dwelling units that can be offered at affordable rent (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(7).)			

	ing/Com	ment #	Response	Section Reference	Page Number
28.	C.6.1	The element includes many meaningful actions to promote the creation of ADUs. However, the element should also commit to monitor the production and affordability of ADUs at least twice in the planning period and take appropriate action such as adjusting assumptions or rezoning within a specified time (e.g., 1 year) if assumptions are not realized.	•	n/a	pp. 60, pp. 51
D. Puk	olic Partio	cipation			
D	0.1	Local governments shall make a diligent effort to achieve public participation of all economic seg Element, and the element shall describe this effort. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd.(c)(9).)	ments of the community in	the development of th	e Housing
29.	D.1.1	While the City made considerable effort to include the public through workshops and surveys and included some broad responses to public comments under a separate City Council staff report, moving forward, the City should employ additional methods for public outreach efforts in the future, particularly to include lower-income and special needs households or representatives. For example, the City could conduct targeted stakeholder interviews or establish a committee representative of lower-income households in future public outreach efforts. In addition, the element explains various public comments but should also discuss how those comments were incorporated into the elements.	Added discussion of how comments were incorporated into the housing element. Bolstered outreach section. Also see Programs 1.C and 7.C	Section I: Introduction	pp. 14 - 22; pp. 40 and 87